GENERAL LEASE – RIGHT-OF-WAY USE

APPLICANTS:
IP Athos, LLC and IP Athos II, LLC

PROPOSED LEASE:

AREA, LAND TYPE, AND LOCATION:
1.31 acres, more or less, of State-owned school land within Section 16, Township 5 South, Range 16 East, SBM, northeast of Desert Center, Riverside County.

AUTHORIZED USE:
Construction, use, and maintenance of one overhead 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission line and up to three steel poles, up to 12, 34.5-kV underground circuits, one 12-kV underground distribution circuit, up to 14 underground fiber-optic cables, and an unpaved access road.

LEASE TERM:
20 years, beginning August 23, 2019.

CONSIDERATION:
$503 per year, with an annual Consumer Price Index adjustment.

SPECIFIC LEASE PROVISIONS:
1. Insurance: Liability insurance in an amount no less than $1,000,000 per occurrence.

2. Lessee will take all reasonable and necessary actions to prevent, suppress, and control fires on the Lease Premises.

3. No herbicidal chemical may be used for vegetation control without the prior written consent of Commission staff.
STAFF REPORT NO. 61 (CONT’D)

STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

Authority:
Public Resources Code sections 6005, 6216, 6217.5, 6501.1, 6503, and 8701; California of Regulations, title 2, sections 2000 and 2003.

State’s Best Interests Analysis:
The Applicants are applying for a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use on State-owned school land for the construction, use and maintenance of overhead and underground electrical transmission lines and circuits, underground fiber-optic lines and an unimproved access road. The right-of-way is needed for the proposed Athos Renewable Energy Project, a solar energy project on adjacent lands.

The proposed project is located on approximately 3,440 acres in the Desert Center area of Riverside County. The renewable energy facility sites encompass approximately 3,224 acres of largely disturbed (retired agricultural), privately owned land, which would minimize ground disturbance and impacts to environmental resources. The proposed project is expected to generate up to 500 megawatts (MW) of renewable energy and include up to 500 MW of integrated energy storage capacity.

The proposed lease is limited to a 20-year term, does not alienate the State’s fee simple interest, and does not grant the lessee exclusive rights to the lease premises. The lease requires the Applicants to insure and indemnify the State for any liability incurred as a result of the lessee’s activities on the lease premises and maintain the electrical transmission lines and circuits, fiber-optic cables and access road at its sole expense. The lease also requires the payment of annual rent, generating revenue for the California State Teachers’ Retirement System, consistent with Public Resources Code section 6217.5.

Climate Change:
As stated in Safeguarding California Plan: 2018 Update (California Natural Resources Agency 2018), climate change is projected to increase the frequency and severity of natural disasters related to flooding, fire, drought, extreme heat, and storms. The proposed lease area is primarily open land with moderate to low vegetation fuels and is vulnerable to the above events, including dust storms and flash flooding from thunderstorms and, to a lesser extent, wildland fires. However, these projected climate change effects are not expected to affect the proposed uses of the lease premises. Furthermore, the Applicants will be responsible for any damage, destruction, or loss occurring to State lands within the Lease Premises caused by the Applicants, and they shall indemnify and hold the State
harmless for any damage destruction, or loss occurring as a result of acts authorized by this Lease.

**Conclusion:**
For the reasons stated above, staff believes issuance of this lease is in the best interests of the State.

**OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:**

1. Approval or denial of the application is a discretionary action by the Commission. Each time the Commission approves or rejects a use of State-owned school land, it exercises legislatively delegated authority and responsibility as trustee of the State’s school lands as authorized by law. If the Commission denies the application, the Applicants would have no right to a lease. Upon expiration or prior termination of the lease, the lessee would have no right to a new lease or to renewal of any previous lease.

2. This action is consistent with Strategy 1.1 of the Commission’s Strategic Plan to deliver the highest levels of public health and safety in the protection, reservation and responsible economic use of the lands and resources under the Commission’s jurisdiction and Strategy 2.1 to optimize returns for the responsible development and use of State lands and resources, both onshore and offshore.

3. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR), State Clearinghouse No. 2018051021, was prepared for this project by Riverside County and certified on June 18, 2019. Staff has reviewed such document and Mitigation Monitoring Program prepared pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6) and adopted by the lead agency.

4. Findings made in conformance with the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §§ 15091, 15096) are contained in the attached Exhibit D.

5. This activity involves lands which have NOT been identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to Public Resources Code section 6370 et seq.; however, the Commission has declared that all lands are significant by nature of their public ownership (as opposed to environmentally significant). Since such declaration of significance is not based upon the requirements and criteria of Public Resources Code section 6370 et seq., use classifications for such lands have not been designated. Therefore, the finding of the project’s consistency with the use
classification as required by California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 2954 is not applicable.

EXHIBITS:
A. Land Description  
B. Site and Location Map  
C. Mitigation Monitoring Program  
D. Statement of Findings

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
It is recommended that the Commission:

CEQA FINDING:
Find that an EIR, State Clearinghouse No. 2018051021, was prepared for this project by Riverside County and certified on June 18, 2019, and that the Commission has reviewed and considered the information contained therein.

Adopt the Mitigation Monitoring Program, as contained in the attached Exhibit C.

Adopt the Statement of Findings, made in conformance with California Code of Regulations, title 14, sections 15091 and 15096, subdivision (h), as contained in the attached Exhibit D.

Determine that the project, as approved, will not have a significant effect on the environment.

STATE’S BEST INTERESTS:
Find that the proposed lease is in the best interests of the State.

AUTHORIZATION:
Authorize issuance of a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use to IP Athos, LLC and IP Athos II, LLC, beginning August 23, 2019, for a term of 20 years, for construction, use, and maintenance of one overhead 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission line and up to three steel poles, up to 12, 34.5-kV underground circuits, one 12-kV underground distribution circuit, up to 14 underground fiber-optic cables, and an unpaved access road, as described in Exhibit A and shown in Exhibit B, attached and by this reference made a part hereof; rent in the amount of $503 per year, with an annual Consumer Price Index adjustment; and liability insurance in an amount no less than $1,000,000 per occurrence.
EXHIBIT A

LAND DESCRIPTION

A portion of the S ½ of the SW ¼ of Section 16, Township 5 South, Range 16 East, San Bernardino Meridian, according to the Official Township Plat approved July 12, 1856, County of Riverside, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

That portion of a strip of land, one hundred (100) feet in width, being described as an exception in the patent from the State of California to Frederick R. Stowell Recorded in Book 2327 at Page 494 on September 4, 1958 in Official Records of said Riverside County, lying within the West 500.00 Feet of said S ½ of the SW ¼.

Prepared 7/10/2019 by the California State Lands Commission Boundary Unit.
PORTION OF THE SW 1/4 OF SECTION 16, T5S, R16E, SBM.

EXHIBIT B
A2030
IP ATHOS, LLC
AND IP ATHOS II, LLC
APN 811-180-027
GENERAL LEASE -
RIGHT-OF-WAY USE
RIVERSIDE COUNTY

THIS EXHIBIT IS SOLELY FOR PURPOSES OF GENERALLY DEFINING
THE LEASE PREMISES, IS BASED ON UNVERIFIED INFORMATION
PROVIDED BY THE LESSEE OR OTHER PARTIES AND IS NOT INTENDED
TO BE, NOR SHALL IT BE CONSTRUED AS, A WAIVER OR LIMITATION
OF ANY STATE INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT OR ANY OTHER PROPERTY.

JAK 7/19
EXHIBIT C
CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM
IP ATHOS RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT
(A2030, State Clearinghouse No. 2018051021)

The California State Lands Commission (Commission or CSLC) is a responsible agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the IP Athos Renewable Energy Project (Project). The CEQA lead agency for the Project is Riverside County.

In conjunction with approval of this Project, the Commission adopts this Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP) for the implementation of mitigation measures for the portion(s) of the Project located on Commission lands. The purpose of a MMP is to impose feasible measures to avoid or substantially reduce the significant environmental impacts from a project identified in an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) or a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND). State CEQA Guidelines section 15097, subdivision (a), states in part:

In order to ensure that the mitigation measures and project revisions identified in the EIR or negative declaration are implemented, the public agency shall adopt a program for monitoring or reporting on the revisions which it has required in the project and the measures it has imposed to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects. A public agency may delegate reporting or monitoring responsibilities to another public agency or to a private entity which accepts the delegation; however, until mitigation measures have been completed the lead agency remains responsible for ensuring that implementation of the mitigation measures occurs in accordance with the program.

The lead agency certified an EIR, State Clearinghouse No. 2018051021, adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the whole of the Project (see Exhibit C, Attachment C-1), and remains responsible for ensuring that implementation of the mitigation measures occurs in accordance with its program. The Commission’s action and authority as a responsible agency apply only to the mitigation measures listed in Table C-1 below. The full text of each mitigation measure, as set forth in the MMRP prepared by the CEQA lead agency and listed in Table C-1, is incorporated by reference in this Exhibit C.

---

1 The State CEQA Guidelines are found at California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15000 et seq.
### Table C-1. Project Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measure (MM)²</th>
<th>Difference Between CSLC MMP and Lead Agency MMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AES-1</td>
<td>MM AES-1, AQ-1, BIO-5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ-2</td>
<td>MM AQ-1 to AQ-4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-AQ</td>
<td>MM AQ-1 to AQ-4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIO-1</td>
<td>MMs BIO-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -7, -8, -9, -10, -12, -13, -14</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BIO</td>
<td>MMs BIO-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -7, -8, -9, -10, -12, -13, -14</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUL-1</td>
<td>MM CUL-1 through CUL-9/MM AES-4</td>
<td>See below (MM CUL-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUL-3</td>
<td>MM CUL-1 through CUL-9/MM AES-4</td>
<td>See below (MM CUL-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR-1</td>
<td>MM CUL-1 to CUL-9/MM AES-4</td>
<td>See below (MM CUL-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1</td>
<td>MM PAL-1 to PAL-5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-PAL</td>
<td>MM PAL-1 to PAL-5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRA-1</td>
<td>MM TRA-1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, Final EIR Table 2-3 provides a list of Applicant-proposed measures (APMs) specific to the Project.

---

**MM CUL-7: Artifact Disposition.** Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection, the landowner(s) shall relinquish ownership of all cultural resources that are unearthed on the Project property during any ground-disturbing activities, including previous investigations and/or Phase III data recovery. The final disposition of if archaeological, historical, and paleontological resources are recovered on state lands under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission final disposition must be approved by the Commission.

**Historic Resources** – all historic archaeological materials recovered during the archaeological investigations (this includes collections made during an earlier project, such as testing of archaeological sites that took place years ago), shall be curated at the Western Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the Guidelines.

**Prehistoric Resources** – One of the following treatments shall be applied:

a. Reburial of the resources on the Project property. The measures for reburial shall include, at least, the following: Measures to protect the reburial area from any future impacts. Reburial shall not occur until all required cataloguing, analysis

² See Attachment C-1 for the full text of each MM taken from the MMP prepared by the CEQA lead agency.
and studies have been completed on the cultural resources, with an exception that sacred items, burial goods and Native American human remains are excluded. Any reburial processes shall be culturally appropriate. Listing of contents and location of the reburial shall be included in the confidential Phase IV Report. The Phase IV Report shall be filed with the County under a confidential cover and not subject to a Public Records Request.

b. Curate the resources on the Project property. If reburial is not agreed upon by the Consulting Tribes then the resources shall be curated at a culturally appropriate manner at the Western Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the Guidelines. The collection and associated records shall be transferred, including title, and are to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation. Evidence of curation in the form of a letter from the curation facility stating that subject archaeological materials have been received and that all fees have been paid, shall be provided by the landowner to the County. There shall be no destructive or invasive testing on sacred items, burial goods and Native American human remains.
ATTACHMENT C-1

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
Adopted by Riverside County
Appendix O

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Aesthetics

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM AES-1: Night Lighting Management Plan.** To the extent feasible, consistent with safety and security considerations, the Project owner shall design and install all permanent exterior lighting and all temporary construction lighting such that (a) lamps and reflectors are not visible from beyond the Project site, including any off-site security buffer areas; (b) lighting does not cause excessive reflected glare; (c) direct lighting does not illuminate the nighttime sky, except for required FAA aircraft safety lighting (which should be an on-demand, audio-visual warning system that is triggered by radar technology); (d) illumination of the Project and its immediate area is minimized, and (e) the plan complies with local policies and ordinances.

The Project owner shall also consult with the NPS Night Sky Program Manager in the development of the Night Lighting Management Plan and comply with stricter standards for light intensity. All permanent light sources shall be below 3,500 Kelvin color temperature (warm white) and shall have cutoff angles not to exceed 45 degrees of nadir. The use of LED lighting with a Correlated Color Temperature (CCT) above 2,700 would introduce blue light into the environment that would have negative impacts on the night skies and wildlife of that area. If LED light bulbs are used, they will have a CCT of 2,700 or less. A CCT above 2,700 would increase blue light into the environment that would impact wildlife and visors and increase light pollution. All lights, temporary and permanent, are to be fully shielded such that the emission of light above the horizon will be prevented. Prior to construction, the Applicant shall submit to Riverside County, BLM and NPS JTN for review, and for approval by Riverside County, a Night Lighting Management Plan that includes the following:

A. Location and direction of light fixtures shall take the lighting mitigation requirements into account;

B. Lighting design shall consider setbacks of Project features from the site boundary to aid in satisfying the lighting mitigation requirements;

C. Lighting shall incorporate fixture hoods/shielding, with light directed downward or toward the area to be illuminated;

D. Light fixtures that are visible from beyond the Project boundary shall have cutoff angles that are sufficient to prevent lamps and reflectors from being visible beyond the Project boundary, except where necessary for security;

E. All lighting shall be of minimum necessary brightness consistent with operational safety and security;

F. Lights in high illumination areas not occupied on a continuous basis (such as maintenance platforms) shall have (in addition to hoods) switches, timer switches, or motion detectors so that the lights operate only when the area is occupied;

G. Specification that LPS or amber LED lighting will be emphasized, and that white lighting (metal halide) would (a) only be used when necessitated by specific work tasks, (b) not be used for dusk-to-dawn lighting, and (c) would be less than 3500 Kelvin color temperature;

H. Specification and map of all lamp locations, orientations, and intensities, including security, roadway, and task lighting;

I. Specification of each light fixture and each light shield;

J. Total estimated outdoor lighting footprint expressed as lumens or lumens per acre;

K. Definition of the threshold for substantial contribution to light pollution in JTNP, in coordination with the Night Sky Program Manager (see below);

L. Specifications on the use of portable truck-mounted lighting;

M. Specification of motion sensors and other controls to be used, especially for security lighting;

N. Surface treatment specification that will be employed to minimize glare and skyglow;

O. Results of a Lumen Analysis (based on final lighting plans), in consultation with the NPS Night Sky Program Manager, in order to determine the extent of night lighting exposures in the surrounding NPS lands. If the lighting exposure on NPS lands exceeds the allowable threshold (which is to be determined in consultation with the NPS Night Sky Program Manager), additional control measures will be instituted to reduce the lighting exposures to levels below the action threshold; and

P. Documentation that the necessary coordination with the NPS Night Sky Program Manager has occurred. If the County does not respond to submittal of the draft Plan within 60 days, the Project owner may consider this a waiver of the County’s authority to comment and the Plan may be considered approved.

| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Monitoring Party</th>
<th>Riverside County, BLM, and NPS JTNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM AES-2: Surface Treatment of Project Structures and Buildings.** To the extent commercially feasible, the Project owner shall treat the surfaces of all non-temporary large Project structures and buildings (O&M building, inverters, electrical enclosures, gen-tie poles and conductors) visible to the public such that

- (a) their colors minimize visual intrusion and contrast by blending with (matching) the existing characteristic landscape colors;
- (b) their colors and finishes do not create excessive glare; and
- (c) their colors and finishes are consistent with local policies and ordinances. The transmission line conductors shall be non-specular and non-reflective, and the insulators shall be non-reflective and non-refractive.

Following consultation with the Riverside County Visual Resources specialist (for solar and gen-tie facilities on non-BLM lands) and the BLM Visual Resources specialist (for gen-tie facilities on BLM lands) and other representatives as deemed necessary, the Project owner shall submit for the County’s (for solar and gen-tie facilities on non-BLM lands) and BLM’s (for gen-tie facilities on BLM lands) review and approval, a specific Surface Treatment Plan that will satisfy these requirements. The consultation would be in-field at the agencies’ election, or desktop review if preferred by the agencies. The treatment plan shall include:

A. A description of the overall rationale for the proposed surface treatment, including the selection of the proposed color(s) and finishes based on the characteristic landscape. Colors will be field tested using the actual distances from the KOPs to the proposed structures, using the proposed colors painted on representative surfaces;

B. A list of each major Project structure, building, tank, pipe, and wall; the transmission line towers and/or poles; and fencing, specifying the color(s) and finish proposed for each. Colors must be identified by vendor, name, and pantone number; or according to a universal designation system;

C. One set of color brochures or color chips showing each proposed color and finish;

D. A specific schedule for completion of the treatment; and

E. A procedure to ensure proper treatment maintenance for the life of the Project. The Project owner shall not specify to the vendors the treatment of any buildings or structures treated during manufacture or perform the final treatment on any buildings or structures treated in the field, until the Project owner receives notification of approval of the treatment plan by Riverside County and the BLM (gen-tie only).

Subsequent modifications to the treatment plan are prohibited without the County’s and BLM’s approval for components under their respective authorities; however, the project owner may consider the agencies’ failure to respond to a request for review within 60 days an acceptance of the proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM AES-3: Project Design.** To the extent possible, the Project owner will use proper design fundamentals to reduce the visual contrast to the characteristic landscape. These include proper siting and location; reduction of visibility; repetition of form, line, color and texture of the landscape; and reduction of unnecessary disturbance. Design strategies to address these fundamentals will be based on the following factors:

- **Vegetation Manipulation:** Retain as much of the existing vegetation as possible. Use existing vegetation to screen the development from public viewing. Use scalloped, irregular cleared edges to reduce line contrast. Use irregular clearing shapes to reduce form contrast. Feather and thin the edges of cleared areas and retain a representative mix of plant species and sizes.

- **Structures:** Minimize the number of structures and combine different activities in one structure. Use natural, self-weathering materials and chemical treatments on surfaces to reduce color contrast. Bury all or part of structures to the extent practical. Use natural appearing forms to complement the characteristic landscape. Screen the structure from view by using natural land forms and vegetation. Reduce the line contrast created by straight edges.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ <strong>Linear Alignments:</strong> Use existing topography to hide induced changes associated with roads, lines, and other linear features. Select alignments that follow landscape contours. Avoid fall-line cuts. Hug vegetation lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ <strong>Reclamation and Restoration:</strong> Reduce the amount of disturbed area and blend the disturbed areas into the characteristic landscape. Where feasible, replace soil, brush, rocks, and natural debris over disturbed area. Newly introduced plant species should be of a form, color, and texture that blends with the landscape.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM AES-4: Retention of Roadside Vegetation.** Retain SR-177 roadside vegetation along both directions of travel. Specifically, maintain a minimum 50-foot natural vegetation buffer as measured from the outer edge of the road shoulder along both northbound and southbound lanes for the purpose of providing visual screening of Project facilities and reducing visible contrast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Air Quality**

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM AQ-1: Fugitive Dust Control Plan.** The Project owner would prepare and implement a Fugitive Dust Control Plan to address fugitive dust emissions during Project construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning. The plan would include measures to minimize fugitive dust emissions from development of laydown and staging areas, site grading, vegetation management, and installing all Project facilities through post-construction cleanup. The Project owner would take every reasonable precaution to prevent all airborne fugitive dust plumes from leaving the Project site and to prevent visible particulate matter from being deposited upon public roadways. The plan would be subject to review and approval by the SCAQMD (Rule 403).

The following measures would be included within the plan:

▪ During construction, all unpaved roads, disturbed areas (e.g., areas of scraping, excavation, backfilling, grading, and compacting), and loose materials generated during construction activities shall be stabilized with a non-toxic soil stabilizer or soil weighting agent or watered two times daily or as frequently as necessary to minimize fugitive dust generation. Non-water-based soil stabilizers shall be as efficient as or more efficient for fugitive dust control than ARB-approved soil stabilizers and shall not increase any other environmental impacts, including loss of vegetation, adverse odors, or emissions of ozone precursor reactive organic gases (ROG) or volatile organic compounds (VOC).

▪ The main access roads through the site shall be either paved or stabilized using soil binders, or equivalent methods, to provide a stabilized surface that is similar for the purposes of dust control to paving, that may or may not include a crushed rock (gravel or similar material with fines removed) top layer, prior to initiating construction. Delivery, laydown, and staging areas for construction or O&M supplies shall be paved or treated prior to taking initial deliveries.

▪ Grading and earthwork activities, including vegetation removal, cut and fill movement, and soil compacting, shall be phased across the site to minimize the amount of exposed or disturbed area on any single day.

▪ No vehicle shall exceed 15 miles per hour on unpaved areas within the construction site, with the exception that vehicles may travel up to 25 miles per hour on stabilized unpaved roads as long as such speeds do not create visible dust emissions.

▪ Visible speed limit signs shall be posted at the construction site entrances.

▪ All construction equipment vehicle tires shall be inspected and washed as necessary to be cleaned free of dirt prior to entering paved roadways.
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>SCAQMD and Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>SCAQMD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE** MM AQ-2: Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment Emissions. The Project owner, when entering into construction contracts or when procuring off-road equipment or vehicles for on-site construction or O&M activities, shall ensure that only new model year equipment or vehicles are obtained. The following measures would be included with contract or procurement specifications:

- All construction diesel engines not registered under California Air Resources Board’s Statewide Portable Equipment Registration Program, with a rating of 50 hp or higher shall meet the Tier 4 California Emission Standards for Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines, as specified in California Code of Regulations, Title 13, section 2423(b)(1), unless a good faith effort demonstrates that such engine is not available for a particular item of equipment. In the event that a Tier 4 engine is not available for any off-road equipment larger than 100 hp, a Tier 3 engine shall be used or that equipment shall be equipped with retrofit controls to reduce exhaust emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and diesel particulate matter (DPM) to no more than Tier 3 levels unless certified by the engine manufacturers that the use of such devices is not practical for specific engine types.
- All diesel-fueled engines used in the construction of the facility shall have clearly visible tags showing that the engine meets the standards of this measure.
- All equipment and trucks used in the construction or O&M of the facility shall be properly maintained and the engines tuned to the engine manufacturer’s specifications.
- All diesel heavy construction equipment shall not idle for more than five minutes. Vehicles that need to idle as part of their normal operation (such as concrete trucks) are exempted from this requirement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction; during operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE** MM AQ-3: Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks. The Project owner, when entering into construction contracts or when selecting vendors, shall specify that vendors and haulers use model year 2010 and newer diesel haul trucks (e.g., for material delivery trucks, water trucks, and other hauling trucks). If 2010 model year or newer diesel trucks cannot be obtained, the Project owner shall specify that vendors and haulers use trucks that meet EPA 2007 model year NOx emissions control requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM AQ-4: Construction Activity Management Plan. The Project owner shall prepare and implement a construction activity or phasing plan that requires construction contractors to schedule the overlapping activities of on-road motor vehicles and off-road equipment to avoid excessive daily emissions. The activity management plan shall reflect the ultimate design of the solar facility and gen-tie line development timing, and shall reflect the anticipated make-up of the construction equipment fleet and workforce. The plan would need to reflect dust control practices (Mitigation Measure AQ-1), off-road equipment engine standards (Mitigation Measure AQ-2), and use of newer vehicles for vendor and hauling trucks (Mitigation Measure AQ-3). The plan shall be submitted to the County and accepted by the County prior to the County issuing final permits.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITIGATION MEASURE</td>
<td>APM B-1: Wildlife Relocation. The Applicant will prepare and implement a Wildlife Relocation Plan (POD Appendix M) to ensure that special-status wildlife species, including (but not limited to) desert tortoise, burrowing owl, and desert kit fox, are safely avoided or relocated off the Project site prior to construction. The Wildlife Relocation Plan will conform to USFWS guidelines for desert tortoise surveys, avoidance, and relocation, and to CDFW guidelines for burrowing owl and desert kit fox passive relocation, including scheduling to avoid disturbance to natal dens or burrows. The Wildlife Relocation Plan will specify methodology for pre-construction clearance surveys on the proposed solar fields and gen-tie routes; monitoring or tracking special-status species, burrows, or dens that may be located during the surveys; construction of off-site artificial burrows if needed; avoidance to allow for wildlife to safely move out of harm’s way, or methods for localized “out of harm’s way” desert tortoise relocation; passive relocation methods for burrowing owl or desert kit fox; qualifications of field personnel who may handle desert tortoises; and follow-up monitoring of translocated animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITIGATION MEASURE</td>
<td>MM BIO-1: Biological Monitoring. The Applicant will assign a Lead Biologist as the primary point of contact for the lead and resource agencies regarding biological resources mitigation and compliance. For desert tortoise protection measures (BIO-9, below), the Lead Biologist will serve as the Field Contact Representative (FCR). The Applicant will provide the resume of the proposed Lead Biologist to the County (as appropriate) for concurrence prior to onset of ground-disturbing activities. The Lead Biologist will have demonstrated expertise with the biological resources within the Project area. The Lead Biologist duties will vary during the construction, O&amp;M, and decommissioning phases. In general, the duties will include, but will not be limited to those listed below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Clearly mark sensitive biological resource areas during construction, O&M, and decommissioning, and inspect these areas at appropriate intervals for compliance with regulatory terms and conditions.
- Conduct or oversee bi-weekly compliance inspections during ground disturbing construction activities. Inspections will include delineating limits of disturbance, fence construction activities, pre-construction clearance surveys; and initial clearing, grubbing, and grading.
- Inspect or oversee daily inspection of active construction or O&M activity areas where animals may have become trapped. At the end of each work day, either inspect installation of structures that prevent entrapment or allow escape during periods of construction inactivity. Periodically inspect areas with high vehicle activity (e.g., parking lots) for animals in harm’s way and relocate them if necessary.
- During the operations phase of the Project, conduct quarterly compliance inspections (fencing condition, trash management, wildlife mortality logs, etc.); conduct weed monitoring and control (according to the Integrated Weed Management Plan).
- Immediately notify the Applicant, County, and resource agencies (as applicable) in writing of dead or injured special-status species, or of any non-compliance with biological mitigation measures or permit conditions.
- During construction, provide weekly verbal or written updates to Riverside County, and, for any information pertinent to state or federal permits, to the BLM or resource agencies.
- During construction and O&M, prepare and submit monthly and annual compliance reports, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to ground disturbance; during construction and operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM BIO-2: Worker Environmental Awareness Training. The Lead Biologist will prepare and implement a Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP). The Applicant will be responsible for ensuring that all workers at the site receive WEAP training prior to beginning work on the Project and throughout construction and operations. The WEAP will be available in English and Spanish. The Applicant will submit the WEAP to Riverside County for approval prior to implementation. If the County does not respond to submittal of the draft Plan within 60 days, the Project owner may consider this a waiver of the County's authority to comment and the Plan may be considered approved. The WEAP will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Be developed by or in consultation with the Designated Biologist and consist of an on-site or training center presentation with supporting written material and electronic media, including photographs of protected species, available to all participants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Provide an explanation of the function of flagging that designates authorized work areas; specify the prohibition of soil disturbance or vehicle travel outside designated areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Discuss general safety protocols such as vehicle speed limits, hazardous substance spill prevention and containment measures, and fire prevention and protection measures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Review mitigation and biological permit requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Explain the sensitivity of the vegetation and habitat within and adjacent to work areas, and proper identification of these resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Discuss the federal and State Endangered Species Acts, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the consequences of non-compliance with these acts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Discuss the locations and types of sensitive biological resources on the Project site and adjacent areas and explain the reasons for protecting these resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Inform participants that no snakes, other reptiles, birds, bats, or any other wildlife will be harmed or harassed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Place special emphasis on species that may occur on the Project site and/or gen-tie lines, including special-status plants, desert tortoise, Mojave fringe-toed lizard, burrowing owl, golden eagle, nesting birds, desert kit fox, American badger, and burro deer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Specify guidelines for avoiding rattlesnakes and reporting rattlesnake observations to ensure worker safety and avoid killing or injuring rattlesnakes. Wherever feasible, rattlesnakes should be safely removed from the work area using appropriate snake handling equipment, including a secure storage container for transport.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Describe workers' responsibilities for avoiding the introduction of invasive weeds onto the Project site and surrounding areas, describe the Integrated Weed Management Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Provide contact information for the Lead Biologist and instructions for notification of any vehicle-wildlife collisions or dead or injured wildlife species encountered during Project-related activities;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Include a training acknowledgment form to be signed by each worker indicating that they received training and will abide by the guidelines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to beginning work on the project and throughout construction and operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM BIO-3: Minimization of Vegetation and Habitat Impacts. Prior to ground-disturbing activities, work areas (including, but not limited to, staging areas, access roads, and sites for temporary placement of construction materials and spoils) will be delineated with construction fencing (e.g., the common orange vinyl material) or staking to clearly identify the limits of work and will be verified by the Lead Biologist. No paint or permanent discoloring agents shall be applied to rocks or vegetation (to indicate surveyor construction activity limits or for any other purpose). Fencing/staking will remain in place for the duration of construction. Spoils will be stockpiled in disturbed areas. All disturbances, vehicles, and equipment will be confined to the fenced/flagged areas. When feasible, construction activities will minimize soil and vegetation disturbance to minimize impacts to soil and root systems. Upon completion of construction activities in any given area, all unused materials, equipment, staking and flagging, and refuse shall be removed and properly disposed of, including wrapping material, cables, cords, wire, boxes, rope, broken equipment parts, twine, strapping, buckets, and metal or plastic containers. Any unused or leftover hazardous products shall be properly disposed of onsite. Hazardous materials will be handled and spills or leaks will be promptly corrected and cleaned up according to applicable requirements. Vehicles will be properly maintained to prevent spills or leaks. Hazardous materials, including motor oil, fuel, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, will not be allowed to enter drainage channels. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to ground disturbance and during construction |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County |

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM BIO-4: Integrated Weed Management Plan. The Applicant will prepare and implement an Integrated Weed Management Plan (IWMP) to minimize or prevent invasive weeds from infesting the site or spreading into surrounding habitat. Riverside County and the BLM (for gen-tie segments on BLM lands) must approve the plan. If the County does not respond to submittal of the draft IWMP within 60 days, the Project owner may consider this a waiver of the County’s authority to comment and the Plan may be considered approved. The IWMP will identify weed species occurring or potentially occurring in the Project area, means to prevent their introduction or spread (e.g., vehicle cleaning and inspections), monitoring methods to identify infestations, and timely implementation of manual or chemical (as appropriate) suppression and containment measures to control or eradicate invasive weeds. The IWMP will identify herbicides that may be used for control or eradication, and avoid herbicide use in or around any environmentally sensitive areas. The IWMP will also include a reporting schedule, to be implemented by the Lead Biologist. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County and BLM |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to ground disturbance and during construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County and BLM |

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM BIO-5: Vegetation Resources Management Plan. The Applicant will prepare and implement a Vegetation Resources Management Plan, to be reviewed and approved by Riverside County. If the County does not respond to submittal of the draft Plan within 60 days, the Project owner may consider this a waiver of the County’s authority to comment and the Plan may be considered approved. The goal will be to prevent further degradation of areas that may be temporarily disturbed by Project activities, but not to restore pre-disturbance habitat values (those impacts are mitigated through off-site compensation). The Vegetation Resources Management Plan will detail the methods to revegetate temporarily impacted sites; salvage cacti from the Project footprint; and long-term vegetation management within the solar facility during its operations. |

- Revegetation of temporarily impacted sites. The Plan will specify methods to prevent or minimize further site degradation; stabilize soils; maximize the likelihood of vegetation recovery over time (for areas supporting native vegetation); and minimize soil erosion, dust generation, and weed invasions. The nature of revegetation will differ according to each site, its pre-disturbance condition, and the nature of the construction disturbance (e.g., drive and crush, vs. blading). The Plan will include: (a) soil preparation measures, including locations of recontouring, decompacting, imprinting, or other treatments; (b) details for topsoil storage, as applicable; (c) plant material collection and acquisition guidelines, including guidelines for salvaging, storing, and handling plants from the Project site, as well as obtaining replacement plants.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to ground disturbance and during construction and operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MITIGATION MEASURE

**MM BIO-6: Compensation for Natural Habitat Impacts on County-administered Land.** The Applicant will acquire and protect, in perpetuity, compensation habitat to offset loss of natural habitat on County-administered lands on the Project site. No compensation would be required for impacts to anthropogenic land use or recovering areas. The acreages and ratios will be based upon final calculation of impacted acreage and thus would be less for the Reduced Project Alternative than the proposed Project. Acreages will be adjusted as appropriate for other alternatives or future modifications during implementation. To the extent that Sonoran creosote bush scrub may substantially recover from drive and crush site preparation, total impact acreage will be reduced.

Compensation will be provided for impacts to the following resources, at the specified ratios (acres acquired and preserved to acres impacted):

- **Desert dry wash woodland:** 3:1
- **Sonoran creosote bush scrub:** 0.5:1

Criteria for the acquisition, initial protection and habitat improvement, and long-term maintenance and management of compensation lands will include all the following: Provide habitat value that is comparable to the habitat impacted, taking into consideration soils, vegetation, topography, human-related disturbance, invasive species, wildlife movement opportunity, proximity to other protected lands, management feasibility, and other habitat values. The primary focus area for acquiring parcels to maintain/improve connectivity will be along the I-10 corridor between Desert Center and Cactus City with a priority on parcels that connect conserved lands on either side of the I-10 through large culverts or bridges. Mitigation may be "nested" or "layered," to the extent that it meets habitat requirements for multiple species that will or may be impacted by the Project.

The Applicant shall provide funding or bonding for the acquisition in fee title or in easement, initial habitat improvements and long-term maintenance and management of the compensation lands prior to construction activities on native habitat. Within 18 months of completing construction, the Applicant or an approved third party will prepare a Compensation Plan, identifying the proposed compensation lands, and specifying the land ownership, conservation easement terms, long-term management, and responsibility for funding or endowment. The Compensation Plan will be submitted for review and approval to Riverside County. The County will consult with CDFW or another land manager in its review of the Compensation Plan to ensure that the mitigation will support any permits and authorizations to be issued by CDFW.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verification Approval Party</th>
<th>Riverside County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MITIGATION MEASURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MM BIO-7: Emory’s Crucifixion Thorn Mitigation.</strong></td>
<td>The Applicant will mitigate impacts to Emory’s crucifixion thorn (CRPR 2) through one or a combination of the following strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance. Project design will avoid at minimum 75 percent of the Emory’s crucifixion thorn occurrences within the Project boundaries or other work areas, including the gen-tie line, as identified in the BRTR and recorded in accompanying GPS data and will provide a minimum 100-foot buffer area surrounding each avoided occurrence, where no Project activities will take place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site compensation. The Applicant will provide compensation lands consisting of occupied Emory’s crucifixion thorn habitat at a 1:1 ratio for any occupied habitat affected by the Project, according to the terms described in MM BIO-6 (Compensation for Natural Habitat Impacts). Occupied habitat will be calculated on the Project site and on the compensation lands as including each special status plant occurrence and a surrounding 100-foot buffer area. Off-site compensation will be incorporated into the Project’s Habitat Compensation Plan, for review and approval by Riverside County. Mitigation may be “nested” or “layered,” to the extent that it meets habitat requirements for multiple species that will or may be impacted by the Project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvage. The Applicant will consult with Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden (RSABG) regarding the success of salvage efforts for this species at the Desert Sunlight Solar Farm Project site. If the strategy has been shown to be feasible, then the Applicant will prepare and implement an Emory’s Crucifixion Thorn Salvage and Relocation Plan, to be reviewed and approved by Riverside County prior to disturbance of any occupied Emory’s crucifixion thorn habitat. Emory’s crucifixion thorn on private lands may also be subject to the provisions of the California Desert Native Plants Act. The Applicant will contract with RSABG or another entity with comparable experience and qualifications, to salvage at minimum 75 percent of Emory’s crucifixion thorn individuals from the proposed Project site and transfer them to a suitable off-site location.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horticultural propagation and off-site introduction. If salvage and relocation is not believed to be feasible for Emory’s crucifixion thorn, then the Applicant will consult with RSABG or another qualified entity, to develop and implement an appropriate experimental propagation and relocation strategy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to ground disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MITIGATION MEASURE</strong></td>
<td>MM BIO-8: Wildlife Protection. The Applicant shall undertake the following measures during construction and O&amp;M to avoid or minimize impacts to wildlife. Implementation of all measures shall be subject to review and approval by Riverside County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife avoidance. Wherever feasible, Project activities will avoid interference with wildlife (include ground-dwelling species, birds, bats) by allowing animals to escape from a work site prior to disturbance; conducting pre-construction surveys and exclusion measures for certain species as specified in other measures; checking existing structures (homes, trailers, etc.) for animals such as bats, barn owls, skunks, or snakes that may be present, and safely excluding them prior to removing the structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimize traffic impacts. The Applicant will specify and enforce maximum vehicle speed limits as specified in the Traffic Control Plan, to minimize risk of wildlife collisions and fugitive dust.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimize lighting impacts. Night lighting, when in use, shall be designed, installed, and maintained to prevent side casting of light towards surrounding fish or wildlife habitat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid use of toxic substances. Soil bonding and weighting agents used for dust suppression on unpaved surfaces shall be non-toxic to wildlife and plants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimize noise and vibration impacts. The Applicant will conform to noise requirements specified in the noise analysis of this EIR to minimize noise to offsite habitat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water. Potable and non-potable water sources such as tanks, ponds, and pipes shall be covered or otherwise secured to prevent animals (including birds) from entering. Prevention methods may include storing water within closed tanks or covering open tanks with 2-centimeter netting. Dust abatement will use the minimum amount of water on dirt roads and construction areas to meet safety and air quality standards. Water sources (e.g., hydrants, tanks, etc.) shall be checked periodically by biological monitors to ensure they do not create puddles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project Owner | Riverside County | Riverside County | Riverside County | Riverside County | Riverside County
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- **Trash.** All trash and food-related waste shall be contained in vehicles or covered trash containers inaccessible to ravens, coyotes, or other wildlife and removed from the site regularly.
- **Workers.** Workers shall not feed wildlife or bring pets to the Project site. Except for law enforcement personnel, no workers or visitors to the site shall bring firearms or weapons.
- **Wildlife netting or exclusion fencing.** The Applicant may install temporary or permanent netting or fencing around equipment, work areas, or Project facilities to prevent wildlife exposure to hazards such as toxic materials or vehicle strikes, or prevent birds from nesting on equipment or facilities. Bird deterrent netting will be maintained free of holes and will be deployed and secured on the equipment in a manner that, insofar as possible, prevents wildlife from becoming trapped inside the netted area or within the excess netting. The biological monitor will inspect netting (if installed) twice daily, at the beginning and close of each work day. The biological monitor will inspect exclusion fence (if installed) weekly.
- **Wildlife entrapment.** Project-related excavations shall be secured to prevent wildlife entry and entrapment. Holes and trenches shall be backfilled, securely covered, or fenced. Excavations that cannot be fully secured shall incorporate wildlife ramp or other means to allow trapped animals to escape. At the end of each work day, a biological monitor shall ensure that excavations have been secured or provided with appropriate means for wildlife escape.
- **All pipes or other construction materials or supplies will be covered or capped in storage or laydown areas.** No pipes or tubing will be left open either temporarily or permanently, except during use or installation. Any construction pipe, culvert, or other hollow materials will be inspected for wildlife before it is moved, buried, or capped.
- **Dead or injured wildlife** will be reported to CDFW or the local animal control agency, as appropriate (special-status species must be reported to CDFW). A biological monitor shall safely move the carcass out of the road or work area if needed and dispose of the animal as directed by the agency. If an animal is entrapped, a biological monitor shall free the animal if feasible, or work with construction crews to free it, in compliance with safety requirements, or work with animal control or CDFW to resolve the situation.
- **Pest control.** No anticoagulant rodenticides, such as Warfarin and related compounds (indandiones and hydroxycoumarins), may be used within the project site, on off-site project facilities and activities, or in support of any other project activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and CDFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction, operation, and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE: MM BIO-9: Desert Tortoise Protection.** No desert tortoise may be handled or relocated without authorization from USFWS and CDFW. The Applicant may seek incidental take authorization from both agencies to handle or translocate desert tortoise. If incidental take authorization is obtained, then desert tortoises would be handled or translocated according to a Wildlife Relocation Plan, to be prepared as specified in APM B-1 (Wildlife Relocation), pending approval by both agencies. If incidental take authorization is not obtained, desert tortoises would not be handled or translocated.

The Applicant will employ a biologist who is qualified to conduct desert tortoise clearance surveys (qualified biologist), who will be on-site during all construction. Additionally, the Applicant will designate a Lead Biologist as the Field Contact Representative (FCR) for purposes of the desert tortoise protection measures identified below.

The qualified biologists may be the Project’s Lead Biologist, a biological monitor, or another individual. The qualified biologist’s qualifications will be subject to review and approval by Riverside County. Qualifications may include work as a compliance monitor on a project in desert tortoise habitat, work on desert tortoise trend plot or transect surveys, conducting surveys for desert tortoise, or other research or field work on desert tortoise. Attendance at a training course endorsed by the agencies (e.g., Desert Tortoise Council tortoise training workshop) is a supporting qualification.

The qualified biologist shall conduct pre-construction clearance surveys for each work area, watch for tortoises wandering into the construction areas, check under vehicles, and examine excavations and other potential pitfalls for entrapped animals. The qualified biologist will be responsible for overseeing compliance with desert tortoise protective measures and for coordination with the Project’s Lead Biologist/FCR (described below). The qualified biologist shall have the authority to halt all Project activities that are in violation of these measures or that may result in take of a desert tortoise. The qualified biologist will not handle...
or relocate desert tortoises unless specifically authorized by the USFWS and CDFW. Any incident that is considered by the qualified biologist to be in noncompliance with these measures will be documented immediately by the qualified biologist.

The FCR will be responsible for overseeing compliance with desert tortoise protective measures and for coordination with resource agencies. The FCR will have the authority to halt any Project activities that may risk take of a desert tortoise or that may be inconsistent with adopted mitigation measures or permit conditions. Neither the FCR nor any other Project employee may bar or limit any communications between any Natural Resource Agency or The County of Riverside Environmental Programs Division and any Project biologist, biological monitor or contracted biologist. Upon notification by the qualified biologist or another biological monitor of any noncompliance the FCR will ensure that appropriate corrective action is taken. Corrective actions will be documented by the qualified biologist. The following incidents will require immediate cessation of any Project activities that could harm a desert tortoise: (1) location of a desert tortoise within a work area; (2) imminent threat of injury or death to a desert tortoise; (3) unauthorized handling of a desert tortoise, regardless of intent; (4) operation of construction equipment or vehicles outside a Project area cleared of desert tortoise, except on designated roads; and (5) conducting any construction activity without a biological monitor where one is required.

The Applicant will be responsible for implementing the following requirements, under direction by the qualified biologist and FCR where appropriate.

- **Preconstruction Clearance Survey.** Transects will be spaced 15 feet apart. Clearance will be considered complete after two successive 100-percent coverage surveys have been conducted without finding any desert tortoises. Clearance surveys must be conducted during the active season for desert tortoises (April through May or September through October). If a tortoise or an occupied tortoise burrow is located during clearance surveys, work activities will only proceed at the site and within a suitable buffer area after the tortoise has either moved away of its own accord, or if it has been translocated off the site under authorization by the USFWS and CDFW.

- **Worker Training:** The following specifications will be incorporated into the WEAP training, identified in Mitigation Measure BIO-2. Prior to the onset of construction activities, a desert tortoise education program will be presented by the FCR or qualified biologist to all personnel who will be present on Project work areas. Following the onset of construction, any new employee will be required to formally complete the tortoise education program prior to working on-site. At a minimum, the tortoise education program will cover the following topics:
  - A detailed description of the desert tortoise, including color photographs;
  - The distribution and general behavior of the desert tortoise;
  - Sensitivity of the species to human activities;
  - The protection the desert tortoise receives under the state and federal Endangered Species Acts, including prohibitions and penalties incurred for violation;
  - The protective measures being implemented to conserve the desert tortoise during construction activities; and
  - Procedures and a point of contact if a desert tortoise is observed on-site.

- **Construction phase tortoise exclusion fencing.** Prior to construction of solar facilities, temporary or permanent desert tortoise exclusion fencing will be installed around the work areas. The fence will adhere to USFWS design guidelines, where applicable. The qualified biologist will conduct a clearance survey before the tortoise fence is enclosed to ensure no tortoises are in the work area. Any potentially occupied burrows will be avoided until monitoring or field observations (e.g., with a motion-activated camera or fiber-optic mounted video camera) determines absence. If live tortoises or an occupied tortoise burrow are identified in the work area, tortoises shall be relocated under authorization by USFWS and CDFW or allowed to leave on their own accord before enclosing the fence. The fence shall be either continuously monitored prior to closure, or clearance surveys shall be repeated prior to closure after tortoises are removed. Once installed, exclusion fencing will be inspected at least monthly and following all rain events, and corrective action taken if needed to maintain it. Fencing around each work area will include a "cattle guard" or desert tortoise exclusion gate at each entry point. This gate will remain closed at all times, except when vehicles are entering or leaving the Project area. If it is deemed necessary to leave the gate open for extended periods of time (e.g., during high traffic periods), the gate may be left open as long as a qualified biologist is present to monitor for tortoise activity in the vicinity.

- **Unfenced work areas.** As an alternative to exclusion fencing, any work conducted in an area that is not fenced to exclude desert tortoises must be monitored by a qualified biologist who will stop work if a
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>USFWS, CDFW, and Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction and during construction, operation, and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>USFWS, CDFW, and Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM BIO-10: Desert Kit Fox and American Badger Relocation.** This measure supplements APM B-1 (Wildlife Relocation) by specifying further detail regarding desert kit fox and American badger avoidance and passive relocation. Under direction of the Lead Biologist, biological monitors shall conduct pre-construction surveys for desert kit fox and American badger no more than 30 days prior to initiation of construction activities. Surveys shall also consider the potential presence of dens within 100 feet of the Project boundary (including utility corridors and access roads) and shall be performed for each phase of construction. If dens are detected each den shall then be further classified as inactive, potentially active, or definitely active. Inactive dens directly impacted by construction activities shall be excavated by hand and backfilled to prevent reuse. Potentially active dens directly impacted by construction activities shall be monitored by the Biological Monitor for three consecutive nights using a tracking medium such as diatomaceous earth or fire clay and/or infrared camera stations at the entrance. If no tracks are observed in the tracking medium or no photos of the target species are captured after three nights, the den shall be excavated and backfilled by hand. If tracks are observed, dens shall be fitted with the one-way trap doors to encourage animals to move off-site. After 48 hours post installation, the den shall be excavated by hand and collapsed. Dens shall be collapsed prior to construction of the perimeter fence, to allow animals the opportunity to move off-site without impediment. If an active natal den is detected on the site, the CDFW shall be contacted within 24 hours. The course of action would depend on the age of the pups, location of the den site, status of the perimeter fence, and the pending construction activities proposed near the den. A 500-foot no disturbance buffer shall be maintained around all active dens. Alternatively, a designated biologist authorized by CDFW shall trap and remove animals from occupied dens and move them off-site into appropriate habitat. Additionally, the following measures are required to minimize the likelihood of distemper transmission:
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and CDFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>No more than 30 days prior to initiation of construction activities and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and CDFW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM BIO-11: Wildlife Water Source.** The Applicant will coordinate with the County, BLM, CDFW, and USFWS to offset potential Project impacts to burro deer and other wildlife resulting from loss of existing irrigation water supplies at Parcel Group G. In coordination with the agencies, the Applicant will support replacement, repairs, maintenance, or monitoring of existing wildlife water sources in the Project vicinity; support access improvements to existing sources; support removal of invasive tamarisk (or saltcedar) from natural water sources (to improve surface flow); or provide an alternative water source as a replacement or supplement to existing sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County, BLM, CDFW, and USFWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to ground disturbance and during construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County, BLM, CDFW, and USFWS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM BIO-12: Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy (BBCS).** The Applicant will prepare and implement a Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy to avoid or minimize take of migratory birds that may nest on the site or may be vulnerable to collision with Project components. The BBCS will identify potential hazards to birds during construction and O&M phases of the Project and specify measures to recognize, minimize, or avoid those hazards. The BBCS will articulate the Applicant’s commitment to reduce risk to birds and bats. Over the course of construction and O&M, progress and challenges that are encountered may necessitate review or revision of the BBCS, on mutual agreement among the Applicant and County. The initial goals of the BBCS are to:

- Provide an organized and cost-effective framework for compliance with State and federal laws protecting birds
- Specify record keeping, reporting, and communication procedures to document compliance with the terms of the BBCS
- Foster a sense of stewardship with the Applicant and on-site staff

**Construction.** Pre-construction surveys for active nests will be conducted by one or more qualified biologists at the direction of the Project Lead Biologist. The biologists’ qualifications will be subject to review and approval by Riverside County. Nest surveys will be conducted for all Project activities throughout the nesting season, identified here as beginning January 1 for raptors and hummingbirds and February 1 for other species, and continuing through August 15. Nest surveys will be completed at each work site no more than 7 days prior to initiation of site preparation or construction activities. Nest surveys will cover all work sites, including the solar facility and gen-tie, and adjacent off-site habitat areas of 1,200 feet for raptors and 250 feet for other species. If adjacent properties are not accessible to the field biologists, the off-site nest surveys may be conducted with binoculars.

At each active nest, the qualified biologist will establish and mark a buffer area surrounding the nest where construction activities that could disrupt nesting behavior will be excluded. The BBCS may identify species-specific buffer distances or variable distances, depending on activity levels (e.g., driving past the nest to access work sites may be less disruptive than foundation construction). Alternately, buffer distances will be 1,200 feet for raptor nests and 250 feet for other species. The extent of nest protection will be based on proposed construction activities, species, human activities already underway when the nest is initiated (e.g., a house finch nest built in the eaves of an occupied structure would warrant less avoidance or protection than a loggerhead shrike nest build in native shrubland), topography, vegetation cover, and other factors. The avoidance and protection measures will remain in effect until the nest is no longer active.

- Any kit fox hazing activities that include the use of animal repellents such as coyote urine must be cleared through the CDFW prior to use; and
- Any documented kit fox mortality shall be reported to the CDFW within 24 hours of identification. If a dead kit fox is observed, it shall be retained and protected from scavengers until the CDFW determines if the collection of necropsy samples is justified.
If for any reason a bird nest must be removed during the nesting season, the Applicant or its agent will notify the CDFW and USFWS and retain written documentation of the correspondence. Nests would be removed only if they are inactive, or if an active nest presents a hazard.

**Operation and Maintenance.** The BBCS will specify monitoring and conservation measures to be implemented by the Applicant to document bird mortality that may result from bird injury or mortality caused by collision with Project components, including gen-tie line collisions. The BBCS will include:

- A statement of the Applicant’s understanding of the importance of bird and bat safety and management’s commitment to remain in compliance with relevant laws
- Documentation of conservation measures to be implemented through design and operations to minimize bird and bat fatalities at the solar facilities and gen-tie line
- Consistent, practical and up-to-date direction to O&M staff on how to avoid, reduce, and monitor bird and bat fatalities
- A 3-year O&M monitoring and reporting program for potential bird and bat fatalities
- Identification of fatality thresholds that, if surpassed, would trigger adaptive management measures such as changes to Project O&M
- An adaptive management framework to be applied if thresholds are surpassed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County, CDFW, and USFWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction and during construction, operation, and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM BIO-13: Burrowing Owl Avoidance and Relocation:** This measure supplements APM B-1 (Wildlife Relocation) by specifying further detail regarding burrowing owl. Burrowing owl protection and relocation will incorporate the following requirements:

- Pre-construction surveys for burrowing owls, possible burrows, and sign of owls (e.g., pellets, feathers, white wash) will be conducted throughout each work area no more than 14 days prior to construction.
- Should any of the pre-construction surveys identify burrowing owl or active burrows within the solar facility, the Lead Biologist will coordinate with the Construction Contractor to implement avoidance and set-back distances. Disturbance of owls or occupied burrows during the breeding season (February 1 through August 31) will not be permitted.
- Any unoccupied suitable burrows within the solar facility footprint will be excavated and filled in under the supervision of the Lead Biologist prior to site preparation.
- The Plan will specify detailed methods for passive relocation of burrowing owls if needed and monitoring and management of the passive relocation including a three-year monitoring program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM BIO-14: Gen-tie lines.** Gen-tie line support structures and other facility structures shall be designed in compliance with current standards and practices to discourage their use by raptors for perching or nesting (e.g., by use of anti-perching devices). This design would also reduce the potential for increased predation of special-status species, such as the desert tortoise. Mechanisms to visually warn birds (permanent markers or bird flight diverters) shall be placed on gen-tie lines at regular intervals to prevent birds from colliding with the lines (APLIC, 2006). To the extent practicable, the use of guy wires shall be avoided because they pose a collision hazard for birds and bats. Necessary guy wires shall be clearly marked with bird flight diverters to reduce the probability of collision. Shield wires shall be marked with devices that have been scientifically tested and found to significantly reduce the potential for bird collisions. Gen-tie lines shall maintain sufficient distance between all conductors and grounded components to prevent potential for electrocution of the largest birds that may occur in the area (e.g., golden eagle and turkey vulture). They shall utilize non-specular conductors and non-reflective coatings on insulators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM BIO-15: Streambed and Watershed Protection.** Prior to ground-disturbing activities in jurisdictional waters of the state, the Applicant will obtain a Streambed Alteration Agreement from the CDFW and applicable authorization (if any) from the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Applicant will implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) identified below to minimize adverse impacts to streambeds and watersheds.

- Vehicles and equipment will not be operated in ponded or flowing water except as specified by resource agencies.
- The Applicant will minimize road building, construction activities, and vegetation clearing within ephemeral drainages to the extent feasible.
- The Applicant will prevent water containing mud, silt, or other pollutants from grading or other activities from entering ephemeral drainages or being placed in locations that may be subjected to high storm flows.
- Spoil sites will not be located within 30 feet from the boundaries of drainages or in locations that may be subjected to high storm flows, where spoils might be washed back into drainages.
- Raw cement/concrete or washings thereof, asphalt, paint or other coating material, oil or other petroleum products, or any other substances that could be hazardous to vegetation or wildlife resources, resulting from Project-related activities, will be prevented from contaminating the soil and/or entering ephemeral drainages. The Applicant shall ensure that safety precautions specified by this measure, as well as all other safety requirements of other measures and permit conditions are followed during all phases of the Project.
- When operations are completed, any excess materials or debris will be removed from the work area. No rubbish will be deposited within 150 feet of the high-water mark of any drainage during construction, operation, and decommissioning the Project.
- No equipment maintenance will occur within 150 feet of any category 3, 4, or 5 streambed or any streambed greater than 10 feet wide and no petroleum products or other pollutants from the equipment will be allowed to enter these areas or enter any off-site state-jurisdictional waters under any flow.
- With the exception of the drainage control system installed for the Project, the installation of bridges, culverts, or other structures will be such that water flow (velocity and low flow channel width) is not impaired. Bottoms of temporary culverts will be placed at or below stream channel grade.
- No broken concrete, debris, soil, silt, sand, bark, slash, sawdust, rubbish, or other organic or earthen material from any construction or associated activity of whatever nature will be allowed to enter into, or be placed where it may be washed by rainfall or runoff into, off-site state-jurisdictional waters.
- Stationary equipment such as motors, pumps, generators, and welders located within or adjacent to a drainage will be positioned over drip pans. Stationary heavy equipment will have suitable containment to handle a catastrophic spill/leak. Clean up equipment such as brooms, absorbent pads, and skimmers will be on site prior to the start of construction.
- The cleanup of all spills will begin immediately. Riverside County will be notified immediately by the Applicant of any spills and will be consulted regarding clean-up procedures.

**Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources**

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM CUL-1: Project Archaeologist.** Prior to issuance of grading permits: The applicant/developer shall provide evidence to the County of Riverside Planning Department that a County certified professional archaeologist (Project Archaeologist) has been contracted to implement a Cultural Resource Monitoring Program.
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM CUL-2: Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan.** Prior to issuance of grading permits: The applicant/developer shall provide evidence to the County of Riverside Planning Department that a Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan has been developed with input from the consulting tribes that addresses the details of all activities and provides procedures that must be followed in order to reduce the impacts to cultural and historic resources to a level that is less than significant (except for the Project’s contribution to a significant cumulative impact to the PTNCL, which would remain significant after mitigation) as well as address potential impacts to undiscovered buried archaeological resources associated with this project. A fully executed copy of the contract and a wet-signed or DocuSigned (e-signature) copy of the Monitoring Plan shall be provided to the County Archaeologist to ensure compliance with this condition of approval.

Working directly under the Project Archaeologist, an adequate number of qualified Archaeological Monitors shall be present to ensure that all earth moving activities are observed and shall be on-site during all grading activities for areas to be monitored including off-site improvements. Inspections shall vary based on the rate of excavation, the materials excavated, and the presence and abundance of artifacts and features. The frequency and location of inspections shall be determined by the Project Archaeologist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM CUL-3: Archaeological Monitor.** Prior to issuance of grading permits: The applicant/developer shall provide evidence to the County of Riverside Planning Department that an adequate number of qualified archaeological monitors shall be onsite to ensure all earth moving activities are observed for areas being monitored. This includes all grubbing, grading and trenching onsite and for all offsite improvements. Inspections shall vary based on the rate of excavation, the materials excavated, and the presence and abundance of artifacts and features. The frequency and location of inspections shall be determined and directed by the Project Archaeologist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM CUL-4: Native American Monitor.** Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the developer/permit applicant shall enter into an agreement with the consulting tribe(s) for at least one Native American Monitor. The Native American Monitor(s) shall be on-site during all initial ground disturbing activities and excavation of each portion of the project site including clearing, grubbing, tree removals, grading and trenching. In conjunction with the Archaeological Monitor(s), the Native American Monitor(s) shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect or halt the ground disturbance activities to allow identification, evaluation, and potential recovery of cultural resources. The developer/permit applicant shall submit a fully executed copy of the agreement to the County Archaeologist to ensure compliance with this condition of approval. Upon verification, the Archaeologist shall clear this condition. This agreement shall not modify any condition of approval or mitigation measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Native American Monitor(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

**MITIGATION MEASURE** | MM CUL-5: Tribal Cultural Sensitivity Training. Prior to ground disturbance, the developer/permit applicant shall enter into an agreement with the consulting tribe(s) to provide Cultural Sensitivity Training. A representative designated by the consulting Tribe(s) shall provide Cultural Sensitivity Training for all construction personnel. Training shall include a brief review of the cultural sensitivity of the Project and the surrounding area; what resources could potentially be identified during earthmoving activities; the protocols that apply in the event unanticipated cultural resources are identified, including who to contact and appropriate avoidance measures until the find(s) can be properly evaluated; and any other appropriate protocols. This is a mandatory training and all construction personnel must attend prior to beginning work on the project site. A copy of the agreement and a copy of the sign in sheet shall be submitted to the County Archaeologist to ensure compliance with this condition of approval. A record of attendance shall be available to the consulting tribes upon request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Consulting Tribe(s) Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE** | MM CUL-6: Discovery of Unanticipated Resources. In the event that previously unidentified potentially significant cultural resources are discovered, the Archaeological and/or Tribal Monitor(s) shall have the authority to divert or temporarily halt ground disturbance operations in the area of discovery to allow evaluation of potentially significant cultural resources. The Project Archaeologist, in consultation with the Tribal monitor, shall determine the significance of the discovered resources. The County Archaeologist must concur with the evaluation before construction activities shall be allowed to resume in the affected area. Further, before construction activities are allowed to resume in the affected area, the artifacts shall be recovered or if feasible, preserved in place if requested by the tribe(s), and features recorded using professional archaeological methods. The Project Archaeologist shall determine the amount of material to be recovered for an adequate artifact sample for analysis. Isolates and clearly non-significant deposits shall be minimally documented in the field and the monitored grading can proceed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Archaeological and/or Tribal Monitor(s), Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE** | MM CUL-7: Artifact Disposition. Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection, the landowner(s) shall relinquish ownership of all cultural resources that are unearthed on the Project property during any ground-disturbing activities, including previous investigations and/or Phase III data recovery. The final disposition of archaeological, historical, and paleontological resources recovered on state lands under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission must be approved by the Commission. 

*Historic Resources* – all historic archaeological materials recovered during the archaeological investigations (this includes collections made during an earlier project, such as testing of archaeological sites that took place years ago), shall be curated at the Western Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the Guidelines.

*Prehistoric Resources* – One of the following treatments shall be applied:

a. **Reburial of the resources on the Project property.** The measures for reburial shall include, at least, the following: Measures to protect the reburial area from any future impacts. Reburial shall not occur until all required cataloguing, analysis and studies have been completed on the cultural resources, with an exception that sacred items, burial goods and Native American human remains are excluded. Any reburial processes shall be culturally appropriate. Listing of contents and location of the reburial shall be included in the confidential Phase IV Report. The Phase IV Report shall be filed with the County under a confidential cover and not subject to a Public Records Request.

b. **Curate the resources on the Project property.** If reburial is not agreed upon by the Consulting Tribes then the resources shall be curated at a culturally appropriate manner at the Western Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Landowner(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM CUL-8: Monitoring Report.** Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection, a Phase IV Cultural Resources Monitoring Report shall be submitted that complies with the Riverside County Planning Department’s requirements for such reports for all ground disturbing activities associated with this grading permit. The report shall follow the County of Riverside Planning Department Cultural Resources (Archaeological) Investigations Standard Scopes of Work posted on the TLMA website. The report shall include results of any feature relocation or residue analysis required as well as evidence of the required cultural sensitivity training for the construction staff held during the required pre-grade meeting and evidence that any artifacts have been treated in accordance to procedures stipulated in the Cultural Resources Management Plan. Consulting tribes shall have 30 days to review and comment on the draft Monitoring Report, upon request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM CUL-9: Temporary Fencing.** Temporary fencing shall be required for the protection of cultural site(s) AE-3752-066H, P-33-018393/CA-RIV-9481H and P-33-025150/CA-RIV-12372H during any construction activities along the Gen-Tie lines. Prior to commencement of construction activities, the project archaeologist shall confirm the site boundaries and determine an adequate buffer for protection of the site(s). The applicant shall direct the installation of fencing under the supervision of the project archaeologist and Native American Monitor. The fencing shall be regularly checked to ensure that it remains in place and intact. The fencing can be removed only after construction activities have been completed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Archaeological and/or Tribal Monitor(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction activities along Gen-Tie lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM CUL-10: Journal Article.** Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection, the Project owner shall retain a cultural resources specialist to prepare and submit for publication a journal article summarizing the results of research on AE-3752-066H (historic refuse dump), AE-3752-106H (historic road segment), and P-33-025150/CA-RIV-12372H (SR-177/Rice Road segment). The County Archaeologist shall review and approve the article prior to submission. The article shall be submitted to a local historical journal such as the Journal of the Riverside Historical Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County Archaeologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>After research on AE-3752-066H (historic refuse dump), AE-3752-106H (historic road segment), and P-33-025150/CA-RIV-12372H (SR 177/Rice Road segment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM CUL-11: Desert Center DTC/C-AMA Summary Report and District DPR Form. | In order to address direct impacts to all DTC/C-AMA resources eligible for the CRHR as well as cumulative impacts to the DTC/C and any contributor to the district, prior to ground disturbance, the Project owner shall retain cultural resources specialists with previous knowledge of the DTC/C-AMA. These specialists shall review and synthesize the information contained in DPR forms for DTC/C-AMA-associated resources in the Chuckwalla Valley. The results shall be summarized in a report and district DPR form, if appropriate, for the Desert Center vicinity. Some of the key resources shall include the Chuckwalla Valley Maneuver Area, the Desert Center Army Airfield, Desert Center Observer's Camp, 18th Ordnance Battalion Campsite, the Desert Center Small Arms Range, the Desert Center Supply Depot, and the Desert Center Evacuation Hospital. The report and DPR forms shall be submitted to the County for review prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection. After review and approval, the report and DPR forms shall be submitted to the California Historical Resources Information System Eastern Information Center within 30 days. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County |

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM CUL-12: Prehistoric Trails Summary Report. | In order to address cumulative and indirect impacts to the Prehistoric Trails Network Cultural Landscape/Historic District (PTNCL) prior to ground disturbance the Project owner shall retain cultural resources specialists with prior experience working with prehistoric resources in the Blythe and/or Desert Center vicinity. These specialists shall review and synthesize the information contained in DPR forms and previously prepared reports regarding prehistoric trails and associated artifacts and features in the Chuckwalla Valley. Ethnographic documentation and reports describing local landscapes will also be reviewed to provide interpretive context. The results shall be summarized in a report and district DPR form, if appropriate, for the Desert Center vicinity. The report and DPR forms shall be submitted to the County for review prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection. Within 30 days after County review and approval, the report and DPR forms shall be submitted to the California Historical Resources Information System Eastern Information Center. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County |

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM CUL-13: Archival and Field Studies for Historic-Era Resources. | Prior to grading, the consultant shall conduct archival research to determine context and association with major historical themes for AE-3752-064H, which has been identified as a historical resource for purposes of CEQA, and for CA-RIV-9854H, -9857H, and -20572, which will be avoided by the Project but are still of interest to the County. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County |

### Hazards and Hazardous Materials

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM HAZ-1: Soil Investigation. | Prior to issuance of a grading permit, a Phase II soil investigation shall be prepared by a qualified environmental consultant to evaluate the potential presence of residual contaminants as recommended in the Phase I report (see Appendix K). Any soils found to contain residual contaminants in exceedance of regulatory action levels that are determined by the consultant to represent a potential hazard to construction workers or future workers and visitors shall be removed from the site in accordance with Riverside County Department of Environmental Health oversight. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County Department of Environmental Health |
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior to issuance of a grading permit</td>
<td>Riverside County Department of Environmental Health</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
<td>During construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
<td>Riverside County and BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **MITIGATION MEASURE** | **MM HAZ-2: Worker Environmental Awareness Program.** The Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) shall include a personal protective equipment (PPE) program, an Emergency Action Plan (EAP), and an Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) to address health and safety issues associated with normal and unusual (emergency) conditions. It will be reviewed by the County and BLM for their respective jurisdictions. Construction-related safety programs and procedures shall include a respiratory protection program, among other things. Construction would be undertaken sequentially in accordance with a Construction Plan that shall include the final design documents, work plan, health and safety plans, permits, Project schedule, and operation and maintenance manuals. Construction Plan documents shall relate at least to the following:  
  - Environmental health and safety training (including, but not limited, to training on the hazards of Valley Fever, including the symptoms, proper work procedures, how to use PPE, and informing supervisor of suspected symptoms of work-related Valley Fever)  
  - Site security measures  
  - Site first aid training  
  - Construction testing (non-destructive examination, hydro, etc.) requirements  
  - Site fire protection and extinguisher maintenance, guidance, and documentation  
  - Furnishing and servicing of sanitary facilities records  
  - Trash collection and disposal schedule/records  
  - Disposal of hazardous materials and waste guidance in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations | Project Owner | Riverside County and BLM |
| **MITIGATION MEASURE** | **MM HAZ-3: UXO Identification, Training and Reporting Plan.** Where ground disturbance work is involved, contractor(s) should be OSHA HAZWOPER-trained in accordance with standard 29CFR1910.120 and hold a current certification. The Applicant shall prepare a UXO Identification, Training and Reporting Plan to properly train all site workers in the recognition, avoidance and reporting of military waste debris and ordnance. The Applicant shall submit the plan to the County and BLM for review and approval for their respective jurisdictions prior to the start of construction. The plan shall contain, at a minimum, the following:  
  - A description of the training program outline and materials, and the qualifications of the trainers; and  
  - Identification of available trained experts that will respond to notification of discovery of any ordnance (unexploded or not); and  
  - Work plan to recover and remove discovered ordnance, and complete additional field screening, possibly including geophysical surveys to investigate adjacent areas for surface, near surface or buried ordnance in all proposed land disturbance areas. | Project Owner | Riverside County and BLM |
| **MITIGATION MEASURE** | **MM HAZ-4: Pre-demolition surveys and appropriate hazardous materials removal.** Prior to the removal of any structures, perform a survey for lead based paint and asbestos containing materials. If found, all lead based paint must be removed from the property prior to construction/demolition activities with the potential to disturb painted surfaces and disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws. If the activities would not disturb painted surfaces, the entire structure with lead base paint must be disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws. If found, all asbestos containing materials must be disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws. | Project Owner | Riverside County and BLM |
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to removal of any structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrology and Water Quality

MITIGATION MEASURE MM HWQ-1: Drainage Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (DESCP). Prior to site mobilization, the Applicant shall submit to the County of Riverside a Drainage Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (DESCP) for managing storm water during Project construction and operations. The DESCP must ensure proper protection of water quality and soil resources, address exposed soil treatments in the solar fields for both road and non-road surfaces, and identify all monitoring and maintenance activities. The plan must also cover all linear Project features such as the proposed gen-tie line for which the plan must also be reviewed by the BLM. The DESCP shall contain, at minimum, the elements presented below that outline site management activities and erosion and sediment-control Best Management Practices (BMPs) to be implemented during site mobilization, excavation, construction, and post construction (operating) activities.

A. Vicinity Map – A map(s), at a minimum scale 1 inch to 500 feet, shall be provided indicating the location of all Project elements with depictions of all significant geographic features including swales, storm drains, drainage concentration points and sensitive areas.

B. Site Delineation – All areas subject to soil disturbance for the proposed Project shall be delineated showing boundary lines of all construction areas and the location of all existing and proposed structures and drainage facilities.

C. Clearing and Grading Plans – The DESCP shall provide a delineation of all areas to be cleared of vegetation and areas to be preserved. The plan shall provide elevations, slopes, locations, and extent of all proposed grading as shown by contours, cross sections, or other means. The locations of any disposal areas, fills, or other special features shall also be shown. Existing and pro-posed topography shall be illustrated by tying in proposed contours with existing topography.

D. Clearing and Grading Narrative – The DESCP shall include a table with the estimated quantities of material excavated or filled for the site and all Project elements, whether such excavation or fill is temporary or permanent, and the amount of such material to be imported or exported.

E. Erosion Control – The plan shall address exposed soil treatments to be used during construction and operation including specifically identifying all chemical-based dust palliatives, soil bonding, and weighting agents appropriate for use that would not cause adverse effects to vegetation. BMPs shall include measures designed to prevent wind and water erosion including application of chemical dust palliatives after rough grading to limit water use.

F. Best Management Practices Plan – The DESCP shall identify on the topographic site map(s) the location of the site specific BMPs to be employed during each phase of construction (initial grading, Project element excavation and construction, and final grading/stabilization). BMPs shall include measures designed to control dust, stabilize construction access roads and entrances, and control storm water runoff and sediment transport.

G. Best Management Practices Narrative – The DESCP shall show the location, timing, and maintenance schedule of all erosion- and sediment-control BMPs to be used prior to initial grading, during excavations and construction, final grading/stabilization, and operation. Separate BMP implementation schedules shall be provided for each Project element for each phase of construction. The maintenance schedule shall include post-construction maintenance of structural-control BMPs, or a statement provided about when such information would be available.

The DESCP shall be prepared, stamped and sealed by a professional engineer or erosion control specialist. The DESCP shall include copies of recommendations, conditions, and provisions from the County of Riverside and/or BLM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and the BLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to site mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County and the BLM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Responsible Monitoring Party</th>
<th>Monitoring Phase/Timing</th>
<th>Verification Approval Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM HWQ-2: Septic System Rehabilitation. Before the start of construction, the Applicant shall submit to the County an evaluation of the existing septic system to ensure that the proposed use of the system is consistent with the existing use, and if necessary shall make modifications to the system to ensure that it would have capacity for any increased use without creating additional impacts to groundwater.</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM HWQ-3: Mitigation of Impacts to the Palo Verde Mesa (PVMGB) Groundwater Basin. If water for the Project is to be obtained from onsite wells, the Applicant shall develop a Colorado River Water Supply Plan (Plan) to monitor groundwater extractions and prevent, replace or mitigate Project impacts that deplete the PVMGB groundwater budget. The amount of PVMGB depletion requiring mitigation shall be equal to the amount of withdrawals from below the Colorado River Accounting Surface. The Plan shall identify measures that will be taken to replace water on an acre-foot to acre-foot basis, if the Project results in consumption of any water from within or below the Colorado River Accounting Surface, towards the purpose of ensuring that no allocated water from the Colorado River is consumed without entitlement to that water. The Plan shall be submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation for review and approval prior to the initiation of construction and is required to be implemented at any time during the life of the Project that groundwater withdrawals reach the Accounting Surface. No pumping of groundwater below the accounting surface shall occur without compensatory mitigation according to the approved plan. A copy of the Plan shall also be submitted to the Metropolitan Water District for review and comment.</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
<td>United States Bureau of Reclamation and Riverside County</td>
<td>Any time groundwater withdrawals will likely reach Accounting Surface during life of Project</td>
<td>United States Bureau of Reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM HWQ-4: Project Drainage Plan. The Project owner shall provide Riverside County with a drainage plan, for review and approval prior to construction, which includes the following information: A. Hydrologic assessment of flood discharges affecting each parcel. B. A detailed onsite hydraulic analysis utilizing FLO-2D or similar two-dimensional hydraulic model acceptable to the Riverside County which models pre- and post-development flood conditions for the 10- and 100-year storm events. The post-development model must include all proposed Project features, contours, and drainage improvements. Graphical output must include depth and velocity mapping as well as mapping which graphically shows the changes in both parameters between the pre- and post-development conditions. C. The Drainage Plan shall show the location of all watercourses, drainage concentration points and drainage ditches as they enter, cross and exit the site. It shall include pre-development and post-development peak flow estimates. It shall include hydraulic calculations to determine flood conditions, floodplain limits, flood depths and velocities. It shall show the relationship of drainage and flood features to the features of the proposed Project, including buildings, fences, substations, access roads, culverts, linear features and panel supports, demonstrating adequate design to protect from flooding, erosion and scour, and to do so without adversely affecting adjacent property, inducing erosion or concentrating or diverting flows. D. The Plan shall show how drainage will be conveyed through the site without adversely affecting other property, either through increased flood hazard or increased potential for scour and erosion. No flow obstructing fences (chain link, block wall, etc.) shall be constructed perpendicular to existing drainage patterns. Proposed fencing shall allow runoff to traverse the project site unencumbered. E. The Plan shall include an assessment of existing diversion berms and channels around parcel perimeters and the magnitude and frequency of flood that would be diverted by these existing features, and the probable integrity of these features to withstand flows. It shall show how those that are on the Project site will be affected by Project grading. It shall include an assessment of flows approaching proposed perimeter fences, whether or not adjacent to existing berms, and make design recommendations to avoid diversion of flows by these fences. Design recommendations may include creating fence...</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
<td>United States Bureau of Reclamation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
openings large enough to allow the passage of debris-laden flows without the potential for diversions to other property.

F. The Plan shall have detailed design of flood retention features necessary to avoid any increase in downstream flood peak flow rates.

G. Drainage of Project Site Narrative – The Plan shall include a narrative of the measures necessary to protect the site and Project features from flooding, erosion and sedimentation, and measures taken to prevent Project-induced erosion and flooding of adjacent property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM HWQ-5: Flood Protection.** Substations, the O&M Building, energy storage system, and all other Project buildings shall either be situated outside of the 100-year floodplain or sufficiently protected against dislodgement by flooding where placement outside the floodplain is not practical. Flood protection shall consist of elevating the structures on fill to at least the highest anticipated adjacent flood level per County requirements. Solar panels shall be situated at least one foot above the highest anticipated local flood level per County requirements. All structures using posts or poles for foundations, including transmission poles or towers, shall be designed to protect against substantial scour from the 100-year flood event. The Project must comply with Riverside County Ordinance No. 458 for projects within a Special Flood Hazard Area or floodplain: electrical, heating, ventilation, plumbing, and air conditioning equipment and other service facilities must be designed or located to prevent water from entering or accumulating within the components during flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to final engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Noise**

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM N-1: Construction Restrictions.** Heavy equipment operation and noisy construction work relating to any Project features shall be restricted to the times delineated below, unless a special permit has been issued by the County of Riverside:

- June through September: 6 a.m. to 6 p.m.
- October through May: 7 a.m. to 6 p.m.

Haul truck engines and other engines powering fixed or mobile construction equipment shall be equipped with adequate mufflers. Haul trucks shall be operated in accordance with posted speed limits. Truck engine exhaust brake use shall be limited to emergencies.

The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas to create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise sensitive receivers nearest the Project site during Project construction. Where feasible, the construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the Project site. No music or electronically reinforced speech from construction workers shall be audible at noise-sensitive properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM N-2: Public Notification Process. At least 15 days prior to the start of ground disturbance, the Project owner shall notify all residents within one mile of the Project site and the linear facilities, by mail or by other effective means, of the commencement of Project construction. At the same time, the Project owner shall establish a telephone number for use by the public to report any undesirable noise conditions associated with the construction and operation of the Project. If the telephone is not staffed 24 hours a day, the Project owner shall include an automatic answering feature, with date and time stamp recording, to answer calls when the phone is unattended. This telephone number shall be posted at the Project site during construction where it is visible to passersby. This telephone number shall be maintained until the Project has been operational for at least one year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>At least 15 days prior to ground disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM N-3: Noise Complaint Process. Throughout the construction and operation of the Project, the Project owner shall document, investigate, evaluate, and attempt to resolve all Project-related noise complaints. The Project owner or authorized agent shall: 1. Use a Noise Complaint Resolution Form, or other documentation procedure acceptable to the County, to record and report the Project owner’s response to resolving each noise complaint; 2. Attempt to contact the person(s) making the noise complaint within 24 hours; 3. Conduct an investigation to determine the source of noise in the complaint; 4. If the noise is Project-related, take all feasible measures to reduce the source of the noise; and 5. Submit a report to the County documenting the complaint and actions taken. The report shall include: a complaint summary, including the final results of noise reduction efforts and, if obtainable, a signed statement by the complainant stating that the noise problem has been resolved to the complainant’s satisfaction.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction and operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM N-4: Noise Restrictions. The Project design and implementation shall include appropriate noise mitigation measures adequate to ensure that the operation of the Project will not cause the noise levels due to plant operation alone to exceed an average of 43 dBA Leq measured at or near an inhabited dwelling. No new pure-tone components shall be caused by the power inverters or transformers associated with the Project. No single piece of equipment shall be allowed to stand out as a source of noise that draws legitimate complaints. The Project design in site plans shall avoid placing stationary sources of noise within 800 feet of an inhabited dwelling. If the final design of the Project includes any battery or flywheel, air conditioner, inverter, transformer, substation or switchyard within 800 feet of an inhabited dwelling, then the following adaptive management measures shall be required: A. When the Project first achieves a sustained output of 85% or greater of rated capacity, the Project owner shall conduct a 25-hour community noise survey by monitoring levels at locations of any affected inhabited dwelling, or at a closer location acceptable to the County. The measurement of power plant noise for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with this mitigation measure may alternatively be made at a location, acceptable to the County, closer to the plant (e.g., 100 feet from power inverters or transformers) and this measured level then mathematically extrapolated to determine the plant noise contribution at the affected dwelling. B. If the results from the noise survey indicate that the power plant noise at the affected receptor site exceeds the above value during the above time period, mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce noise to a level of compliance with this limit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Monitoring Party</th>
<th>Riverside County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During project design and during operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Paleontological Resources

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM PAL-1: Project Paleontologist.** Prior to issuance of grading permits the applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist ("Project Paleontologist") approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a Project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM PAL-2: Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program.** Prior to issuance of grading permits the Project Paleontologist retained shall prepare a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). The PRIMP shall be submitted to the Riverside County Geologist for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit by the county. The project Owner may consider the PRIMP approved if the County’s Geologist does not respond within 60 days of submittal of the draft PRIMP. Information to be contained in the PRIMP, at a minimum and in addition to other industry standard and Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards, are as follows:
- Description of the proposed site and planned grading operations.
- Description of the level of monitoring required for all earthmoving activities in the Project area.
- Identification (name) and qualifications of the qualified paleontological monitor to be employed for grading operations monitoring.
- Identification of personnel with authority and responsibility to temporarily halt or divert grading equipment to allow for recovery of large specimens.
- Direction for any fossil discoveries to be immediately reported to the property owner who in turn will immediately notify the Riverside County Geologist of the discovery.
- Means and methods to be employed by the paleontological monitor to quickly salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays.
- Sampling of sediments that are likely to contain the remains of small fossil invertebrates and vertebrates.
- Procedures and protocol for collecting and processing of samples and specimens.
- Fossil identification and curation procedures to be employed.
- Identification of the permanent repository to receive any recovered fossil material. The County of Riverside must be consulted on the repository or museum to receive the fossil material and a written agreement between the property owner/developer and the repository must be in place prior to site grading.
- All pertinent exhibits, maps and references.
- Procedures for reporting of findings.
- Identification and acknowledgement of the developer for the content of the PRIMP as well as acceptance of financial responsibility for monitoring, reporting and curation fees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of grading permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM PAL-3: Paleontological Monitoring.** Full-time monitoring by a qualified paleontological monitor will take place during all ground disturbing activities in sediments classified as High or Undetermined sensitivity. The supervising paleontologist will have the authority to reduce monitoring once he/she determines the probability of encountering any additional fossils has dropped below an acceptable level.
# Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During ground disturbing activities in sediments classified as High or Undetermined sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM PAL-4:** Paleontological Awareness Training. Prior to ground disturbance, the developer/permit applicant shall enter into an agreement with the Project Paleontologist to provide Paleontological Awareness Training. A qualified paleontologist designated by the Project Paleontologist shall provide Paleontological Awareness Training for all construction personnel as a part of the Project’s Worker Environmental Awareness Training. Training will include a brief review of the paleontological sensitivity of the Project and the surrounding area; what resources could potentially be identified during earthmoving activities; the protocols that apply in the event unanticipated paleontological resources are identified, including who to contact and appropriate avoidance measures until the find(s) can be properly evaluated; and any other appropriate protocols. This is a mandatory training and all construction personnel must attend prior to beginning work on the Project site. A copy of the agreement and a copy of the sign-in sheet shall be submitted to the County Paleontologist to ensure compliance with this condition of approval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to ground disturbance and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**MM PAL-5:** Paleontological Monitoring Report Requirement. The Applicant shall submit to the Riverside County Geologist one wet-signed copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report prepared for site grading operations at the site. The report shall be certified by the professionally qualified Project Paleontologist responsible for the content of the report. The Project Paleontologist must be on Riverside County’s Paleontology Consultant List. The report shall contain a discussion of findings made during all site grading activities and an appended itemized list of fossil specimens recovered during grading (if any) and proof of accession of fossil materials into the pre-approved museum or other repository. In addition, all appropriate fossil location information shall be submitted to the Western Information Center, the San Bernardino County Museum and the Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, at a minimum, for incorporation into their Regional Locality Inventories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>After site grading operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Traffic and Transportation

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**APM T-1:** Public Easement Access. All designated public roadway easements directly impacted by the solar facility will remain open to the public during construction and operation as not to preclude access to nearby properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction and operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**  
**APM T-2:** Alternative Routes. If any designated vehicle routes are temporarily impacted by Project activities, the Applicant will develop alternative routes to allow for continued vehicular access. Traffic Safety Coordinator(s) will oversee the installation of proper signage to ensure safe public use of open routes and other recreation opportunities on public lands in the Project area.

| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Monitoring Party</th>
<th>Riverside County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>During construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MITIGATION MEASURE**

**MM TRA-1: Construction Traffic Control Plan.** Prior to the start of construction, the Project owner shall submit a Construction Traffic Control Plan for review and approval by Caltrans and Riverside County for affected roads and intersections that would be directly affected by the construction activities and/or would require permits and approvals. The Construction Traffic Control Plan shall include, but not be limited to:

- If multiple construction projects occur at the same time and conditions at the intersection warrant, plans for installation of a temporary signal or use of manual intersection control during the construction period at the I-10 westbound ramp at SR-177. Additionally, if conditions warrant, geometry changes shall be considered in coordination with Caltrans and Riverside County, and implemented, if necessary, in addition to signalization at the I-10 westbound ramp and SR-177. These geometry changes should include a 50-foot westbound right turn pocket, as well as a southbound 50-foot right turn pocket. If manual intersection control is used in the morning peak hour, no manual intersection control is needed in the afternoon peak hour, and the southbound right turn pocket would likely not be needed.

- The locations and use of flaggers, warning signs, barricades, delineators, cones, arrow boards, etc., according to standard guidelines outlined in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, and/or the California Joint Utility Traffic Control Manual.

- The locations of all road or traffic lane segments that would need to be temporarily closed or disrupted due to construction activities.

- The locations where guard poles, netting, or similar means to protect transportation facilities for any construction or conductor installation work requiring the crossing of a local street, highway, or rail line are proposed.

- The use of continuous traffic breaks operated by the California Highway Patrol on state highways (if necessary).

- Additional methods to reduce temporary traffic delays to the maximum extent feasible during morning (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.) and afternoon (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) peak traffic periods, or as directed in writing by the affected public agency in encroachment or other permits). This should also include feasible ways to avoid construction-related trips on I-10 and SR-177 during peak traffic periods.

- Plans to encourage or provide ridesharing opportunities for construction and operational workers.

- Plans to provide written notification to property owners and tenants at properties affected by access restrictions to inform them about the timing and duration of obstructions and to arrange for alternative access if necessary. The coordination shall occur at least one week prior to any blockages.

- Plans to coordinate in advance with emergency service providers to avoid restricting the movements of emergency vehicles. Police departments and fire departments shall be notified in advance by the Project owner of the proposed locations, nature, timing, and duration of any roadway disruptions, and shall be advised of any access restrictions that could impact their effectiveness. At locations where roads will be blocked, provisions shall be ready at all times to accommodate emergency vehicles, such as immediately stopping work for emergency vehicle passage, providing short detours, and developing alternate routes in conjunction with the public agencies.

- Provisions for ensuring detours or safe movement of local resident vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles through all affected facilities.

- Define the method to maintaining close coordination, prior to and during construction, with Caltrans and Riverside County to minimize cumulative impacts of multiple simultaneous construction projects affecting shared portions of the circulation system. Coordination with adjacent development projects to spread work shifts into multiple hours (instead of peak hour) or the installation of additional temporary traffic signals or manual traffic control officers during peak hours to mitigate the temporary impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Caltrans and Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to and during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Caltrans and Riverside County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table O-1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MITIGATION MEASURE</th>
<th>MM TRA-2: Comply with FAA 7460-1 Determination Recommendations. Pursuant to FAA guidelines, the Project owner shall submit FAA Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration, to the Manager of the FAA Air Traffic Division for review and comment. These filings shall specify the heights and locations of all applicable gen-tie transmission structures and conductor wire spans, pursuant to final engineering, per the requirements of FAA Form 7460-1. The Project owner shall implement all recommended safety features or Project design changes recommended by the FAA through the FAA 7460-1 process.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Project Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Monitoring Party</td>
<td>Manager of the FAA Air Traffic Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Phase/Timing</td>
<td>Prior to construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification Approval Party</td>
<td>Manager of the FAA Air Traffic Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| MITIGATION MEASURE | MM TRA-3: Repair Roadways and Transportation Facilities Damaged by Construction Activities. If roadways, sidewalks, medians, curbs, shoulders, or other such transportation features are damaged by Project construction activities, as determined by the affected public agency, such damage shall be repaired and restored to their pre-Project condition by the Project owner. Prior to construction, the Project owner shall confer with Riverside County regarding the roads within 500 feet in each direction of Project access points (where heavy vehicles will leave public roads to reach Project sites); and Riverside County and Caltrans regarding the roads to be crossed by the proposed gen-tie line. At least 30 days prior to construction, or as requested by Riverside County or Caltrans, the Project owner shall photograph or video record all affected roadway segments and shall provide Riverside County and Caltrans with a copy of these images, if requested. At the end of major construction, the Project owner shall coordinate with each affected jurisdiction to confirm what repairs are required. Any damage demonstrable to the Project is to be repaired to the pre-construction condition within 60 days from the end of all construction, or on a schedule mutually agreed to by the Project owner and the affected jurisdiction. If multiple projects are using the transportation features, Athos will pay its fair share of the required repairs. the Project owner shall provide Riverside County and Caltrans (as applicable) proof when any necessary repairs have been completed. |
| Responsible Party | Project Owner |
| Responsible Monitoring Party | Riverside County and Caltrans |
| Monitoring Phase/Timing | Prior to construction and at end of major construction |
| Verification Approval Party | Riverside County and Caltrans |
EXHIBIT D – IP ATHOS LLC’S
ATHOS RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
STATEMENT OF FINDINGS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The California State Lands Commission (Commission or CSLC), acting as a responsible agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), makes these findings to comply with CEQA as part of its discretionary approval to authorize issuance of a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use to IP Athos, LLC and IP Athos II, LLC, for use of school lands associated with the proposed Athos Renewable Energy Project (Project). (See generally Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; State CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.)

In 1853, the U.S. Congress granted to California nearly 5.5 million acres of land for the specific purpose of supporting public schools. In 1984, the State Legislature passed the School Land Bank Act (Act), which established the School Land Bank Fund (SLBF) and appointed the Commission as its trustee (Pub. Resources Code, § 8700 et seq.). The Act directed the Commission to develop school lands into a permanent and productive resource base for revenue generating purposes. The Commission manages approximately 462,830 +/- acres of school lands still held in fee ownership by the state and the reserved mineral interests on an additional 790,000± acres where the surfaces estates have been sold. Revenue from school lands is deposited in the State Treasury for the benefit of the Teachers’ Retirement Fund (Pub. Resources Code, § 6217.5).

The Commission is a responsible agency under CEQA for the Project because the Commission must approve a lease for the Project to go forward and because Riverside County (County), as the CEQA lead agency, has the principal responsibility for approving the Project and has completed its environmental review under CEQA. The County analyzed the environmental impacts associated with the Project in a Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (State Clearinghouse [SCH] No. 2018051021) and, in June 2019, certified the EIR and adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), and Findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations.

The Project would include construction, operation, and decommissioning of a 500 megawatt (MW) solar photovoltaic electrical generation and storage facility, and associated infrastructure to generate and deliver renewable electricity to the statewide electricity transmission grid at Southern California Edison’s Red Bluff Substation. School lands within the Project area would consist of 1.31 acres located in a portion of the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 16, Township 5 south, Range 16 east, San Bernardino Meridian.

---

1 CEQA is codified in Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq. The State CEQA Guidelines are found in California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15000 et seq.
The County determined that the Project could have significant environmental effects on the following environmental resources:

- Aesthetics
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Cultural Resources - Tribal
- Geology/Soils
- Hazards/Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology/Water Quality
- Noise
- Paleontology
- Transportation
- Energy

Of the 12 resources areas noted above, Project components within the Commission’s jurisdiction (road and gen-tie line installations) could have significant environmental effects on 10 of the resource areas, as follows:

- Aesthetics
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Cultural Resources - Tribal
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Noise
- Paleontological Resources
- Traffic and Transportation
- Energy

In certifying the Final EIR and approving the Project, the County imposed various mitigation measures for Project-related significant effects on the environment as conditions of Project approval and concluded that Project-related impacts would be substantially lessened with implementation of these mitigation measures. However, even with the integration of all feasible mitigation, the County concluded in the EIR that some of the identified impacts would remain significant. As a result, the County adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations to support its approval of the Project despite the significant and unavoidable impacts (Attachment D-1). The County determined that, after mitigation, the Project would result in significant and unavoidable impacts in aesthetics, as well as a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative impact under aesthetics and cultural resources. Because the CSLC lease area is a relatively minor portion of the Project and is not within the areas noted by the County as contributing to these significant impacts, these significant and unavoidable impacts identified by the County are outside the jurisdiction of the Commission, and a Statement of Overriding Considerations is therefore not required by the Commission.
As a responsible agency, the Commission complies with CEQA by considering the EIR and reaching its own conclusions on whether, how, and with what conditions to approve a project. In doing so, the Commission may require changes in a project to lessen or avoid the effects, either direct or indirect, of that part of the project which the Commission will be called on to carry out or approve. In order to ensure the identified mitigation measures and/or Project revisions are implemented, the Commission adopts the Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP) as set forth in Exhibit C as part of its Project approval.

2.0 ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND CUSTODIAN OF THE RECORD

These Findings are supported by substantial evidence contained in the EIR and other relevant information provided to the Commission or existing in its files, all of which is contained in the administrative record. The administrative record is located at the California State Lands Commission, 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South, Sacramento, CA 95825. The custodian for the administrative record is the California State Lands Commission Division of Environmental Planning and Management.

3.0 FINDINGS

The Commission’s role as a responsible agency affects the scope of, but not the obligation to adopt, findings required by CEQA. Findings are required under CEQA by each “public agency” that approves a project for which an EIR has been certified that identifies one or more significant impacts on the environment (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081, subd. (a); State CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a).) Because the EIR certified by the County for the Project identifies potentially significant impacts that fall within the scope of the Commission’s approval, the Commission makes the Findings set forth below as a responsible agency under CEQA. (State CEQA Guidelines, § 15096, subd. (h); Riverwatch v. Olivenhain Mun. Water Dist. (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 1186, 1202, 1207.

While the Commission must consider the environmental impacts of the Project as set forth in the EIR, the Commission’s obligation to mitigate or avoid the direct or indirect environmental impacts of the Project is limited to those parts which it decides to carry out, finance, or approve (Pub. Resources Code, § 21002.1, subd. (d); State CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15041, subd. (b), 15096, subds. (f)-(g).) Accordingly, because the Commission’s exercise of discretion involves only issuing a General Lease – Right-of-Way Use for this Project, the Commission is responsible for considering only the environmental impacts related to lands or resources subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction. With respect to all other impacts associated with implementation of the Project, the Commission is bound by the legal presumption that the EIR fully complies with CEQA.

The Commission has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Project EIR. All significant adverse impacts of the Project identified in the EIR relating to the
Commission’s approval of a lease, which would allow the installation of a road and gen-
tie lines, are included herein and organized according to the resource affected.

These Findings, which reflect the independent judgment of the Commission, are
intended to comply with CEQA’s mandate that no public agency shall approve or carry
out a project for which an EIR has been certified that identifies one or more significant
environmental effects unless the agency makes written findings for each of those
significant effects. Possible findings on each significant effect are:

(1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project
that avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified
in the Final EIR.

(2) Such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of
another public agency and not the Commission. Such changes have been
adopted by such other agency or can and should be adopted by such other
agency.

(3) Specific economic, legal, social, technological or other considerations, including
provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible
the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the Final EIR.²

A discussion of supporting facts follows each Finding.

- Whenever Finding (1) occurs, the mitigation measures that lessen the significant
environmental impact are identified in the facts supporting the Finding.

- Whenever Finding (2) occurs, the agencies with jurisdiction are specified. These
agencies, within their respective spheres of influence, have the responsibility to
adopt, implement, and enforce the mitigation discussed.

The mitigation measures are briefly described in these Findings; more detail on the
mitigation measures is included in the Final EIR.

A. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Based on public scoping, the proposed Project will have No Impact on the following
environmental issue areas:

- Energy
- Land Use and Planning

The EIR subsequently identified the following impacts as Less Than Significant:

- Agriculture and Forestry Resources
- Geology, Soils and Mineral Resources
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions

² See Public Resources Code section 21081, subdivision (a) and State CEQA Guidelines section 15091,
subdivision (a).
• Population and Housing
• Public Services and Utilities
• Recreation

For the remaining potentially significant effects, the Findings are organized by significant impacts within the EIR issue areas as presented below.

B. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS

The impacts identified in Table 1 were determined in the Final EIR to be potentially significant absent mitigation. After application of mitigation, however, several impacts were determined to be less than significant (LTSM). For the full text of each mitigation measure (MM), please refer to Exhibit C, Attachment C-1.

Table 1 – Significant Impacts by Issue Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Issue Area</th>
<th>Impact Nos.</th>
<th>LTSM</th>
<th>SU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>AES-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>AQ-2, C-AQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Resources</td>
<td>BIO-1, C-BIO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>CUL-1, CUL-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Cultural Resources</td>
<td>TCR-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>HAZ-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>N-1, N-2, C-N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleontological Resources</td>
<td>PAL-1, C-PAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic and Transportation</td>
<td>TRA-1, C-TRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. IMPACTS REDUCED TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVELS WITH MITIGATION (LTSM)

The impacts identified below were determined in the Final EIR to be potentially significant absent mitigation; after application of mitigation, however, the impacts were determined to be less than significant.
1. AESTHETICS

CEQA FINDING NO. AES-1

Impact: Impact AES-1. Project construction activities and associated industrial character could cause short-term aesthetic impacts resulting from increased visual contrast.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

Construction activities could cause short-term direct and indirect aesthetic impacts from the visible presence of equipment, materials, vehicles, and workforce at the solar facility sites and along the gen-tie right-of-way; from visible contrast associated with vegetation removal; from visible fugitive dust; from construction night lighting (on an occasional basis); and from increased vehicle traffic on roadways beyond the immediate Project area (indirect effect).

Implementation of MM(s) AES-1, AQ-1, and BIO-5, has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level. AES-1 would include the use of downward-directed, fully shielded lights during construction that would prevent the emission of light above the horizontal. AQ-1 would include the application of dust control palliatives (e.g., water), which would substantially limit the generation of fugitive dust, and BIO-5 would ensure that much of the vegetation removed during ground disturbance and construction would be replaced.

AES-1. Night Lighting Management Plan

AQ-1. Fugitive Dust Control Plan

BIO-5. Vegetation Resources Management Plan

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.
2. AIR QUALITY

CEQA FINDING NO. AQ-2

Impact: Impact AQ-2. The Project could result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is in nonattainment.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

The proposed Project would contribute air pollutant emissions to the region during construction activities. Emissions during the construction phase would include criteria air pollutants that could exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors or PM10 would represent a cumulatively considerable net increase of nonattainment pollutants.

MMs AQ-1, AQ-2, AQ-3, and AQ-4 would include requirements for a fugitive dust control plan; the use of the newest off-road equipment to reduce NOx, PM10, and PM2.5 in diesel exhaust emissions; specifying use of model year 2010 and newer heavy-duty and medium-duty trucks in contracts to mitigate the NOx emissions; and a construction activity management plan to assist in scheduling overlapping activities of on-road motor vehicles and off-road equipment to avoid excessive daily NOx emissions.

Implementation of MMs AQ-1, AQ-2, AQ-3, and AQ-4 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM AQ-1: Fugitive Dust Control Plan**

**MM AQ-2: Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment Emissions**

**MM AQ-3: Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks**

**MM AQ-4: Construction Activity Management Plan**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.
CEQA FINDING. CUMULATIVE AIR QUALITY

Impact: Impact C-AQ. The construction-phase emissions related to the proposed gen-tie lines could occur concurrently with those of other cumulative projects nearby.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

The effects of cumulative projects would combine with the short-term construction emissions from the proposed Project and would contribute to violations of the state ambient air quality standards for ozone and PM10, resulting in a cumulative impact.

MMs AQ-1, AQ-2, AQ-3, and AQ-4 would include requirements for a fugitive dust control plan; the use of the newest off-road equipment to reduce NOx, PM10, and PM2.5 in diesel exhaust emissions; specifying use of model year 2010 and newer heavy-duty and medium-duty trucks in contracts to mitigate the NOx emissions; and a construction activity management plan to assist in scheduling overlapping activities of on-road motor vehicles and off-road equipment to avoid excessive daily NOx emissions.

Implementation of MMs AQ-1, AQ-2, AQ-3, and AQ-4 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

MM AQ-1: Fugitive Dust Control Plan

MM AQ-2: Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment Emissions

MM AQ-3: Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks

MM AQ-4: Construction Activity Management Plan

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.
3. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

CEQA FINDING NO. BIO-1

Impact: Impact BIO-1. The Project would cause substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, to rare, threatened, endangered, or other special-status species.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

Potential effects to special-status plants and animals could result from construction or operation and maintenance (O&M) of the proposed Project and substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of endangered, rare, or threatened species. Construction activities would minimize grubbing and grading, except for specific facilities (roads, foundations, parking and service areas, etc.). Construction activities could accumulate dust on special-status plants that could diminish gas exchange or photosynthesis. Construction could cause mortality of small mammals and reptiles, including special-status species, which may be crushed by construction equipment. Noise and lighting during construction could affect wildlife in adjacent habitats by disrupting foraging, breeding, sheltering, and other activities; or may cause animals to avoid otherwise suitable habitat surrounding the site.

Biological MMs relevant to impacts on state lands include Biological Monitoring, Worker Environmental Awareness Training, Minimization of Vegetation and Habitat Impacts, Integrated Weed Management Plan, Vegetation Resources Management Plan, and other vegetation and wildlife measures. MM BIO-1 would require monitoring and reporting to ensure compliance with all biological resource measures, including avoidance and minimization of habitat impacts. MM BIO-2 would require training of on-site workers to require avoidance of and minimization of impacts to special-status species and their habitat. MM BIO-3 would require clear demarcation of work areas and limitation of activities within those areas, to minimize adverse effects to habitat. MM BIO-4 would require an Integrated Weed Management Plan (IWMP) to prevent introductions or infestations of invasive weeds, and control or eradicate any infestations that may occur. MM BIO-5 would require revegetation of temporarily disturbed areas to minimize dust and erosion, to minimize their effects to habitat. MM BIO-7 would mitigate this potential impact by either avoiding the plants or horticultural propagation and off-site introduction. MM BIO-8 and MM BIO-9 outline that if an incidental take authorization is obtained, then desert tortoises may be handled or translocated according to a Wildlife Relocation Plan. MM BIO-9 and BIO-10 would prevent or minimize potential injury to desert kit fox and American badger. MM BIO-12 would minimize potential effects to nesting birds by identifying and avoiding active nests. Together these measures would effectively minimize adverse impacts to native birds. MM BIO-13 would prevent or minimize potential injury to burrowing owl by identifying occupied burrows and safely excluding the owls through passive relocation. MM BIO-14 would require design and
construction of the gen-tie lines to avoid potential for electrocution and minimize potential for roosting on the structures or colliding with them. Implementation of MMs shown below has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM BIO-1: Biological Monitoring**

**MM BIO-2: Worker Environmental Awareness Training**

**MM BIO-3: Minimization of Vegetation and Habitat Impacts**

**MM BIO-4: Integrated Weed Management Plan**

**MM BIO-5: Vegetation Resources Management Plan**

**MM BIO-7: Emory’s Crucifixion Thorn Mitigation**

**MM BIO-8: Wildlife Protection**

**MM BIO-9: Desert Tortoise Protection**

**MM BIO-10: Desert Kit Fox and American Badger Relocation**

**MM BIO-12: Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy**

**MM BIO-13: Burrowing Owl Avoidance and Relocation**

**MM BIO-14: Gen-tie Lines**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Impact C-BIO. The Project could contribute to cumulative effects to Biological Resources starting with the initiation of on-site activities and continuing throughout the O&amp;M phase, through final decommissioning.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finding(s)</td>
<td>(1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)**

Cumulative effects for biological resources apply to both plant and wildlife species and must consider distribution, habitat availability, designated critical habitat, local rarity or
commonness, and likely responses to Project effects for each species. As the number of solar projects and other development and land use changes increase in the region, the cumulative impacts to biological resources, such as habitat loss also increase.

Implementation of MM(s) has been incorporated into the Project to reduce cumulative impacts to a less than significant level. MM BIO-1 would require monitoring and reporting to ensure compliance with all biological resource measures, including avoidance and minimization of habitat impacts. MM BIO-2 would require training of on-site workers to require avoidance of and minimization of impacts to special-status species and their habitat. MM BIO-3 would require clear demarcation of work areas and limitation of activities within those areas, to minimize adverse effects to habitat. MM BIO-4 would require an Integrated Weed Management Plan (IWMP) to prevent introductions or infestations of invasive weeds, and control or eradicate any infestations that may occur. MM BIO-5 would require revegetation of temporarily disturbed areas to minimize dust and erosion, to minimize their effects to habitat. MM BIO-7 would mitigate this potential impact by either avoiding the plants or horticultural propagation and off-site introduction. MM BIO-8 and MM BIO-9 outline that if an incidental take authorization is obtained, then desert tortoises may be handled or translocated according to a Wildlife Relocation Plan. MM BIO-9 and BIO-10 would prevent or minimize potential injury to desert kit fox and American badger. MM BIO-12 would minimize potential effects to nesting birds by identifying and avoiding active nests. Together these measures would effectively minimize adverse impacts to native birds. MM BIO-13 would prevent or minimize potential injury to burrowing owl by identifying occupied burrows and safely excluding the owls through passive relocation. MM BIO-14 would require design and construction of the gen-tie lines to avoid potential for electrocution and minimize potential for roosting on the structures or colliding with them.

Implementation of the MMs shown below has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM BIO-1: Biological Monitoring**

**MM BIO-2: Worker Environmental Awareness Training**

**MM BIO-3: Minimization of Vegetation and Habitat Impacts**

**MM BIO-4: Integrated Weed Management Plan**

**MM BIO-5: Vegetation Resources Management Plan**

**MM BIO-7: Emory’s Crucifixion Thorn Mitigation**

**MM BIO-8: Wildlife Protection**

**MM BIO-9: Desert Tortoise Protection**
MM BIO-10: Desert Kit Fox and American Badger Relocation

MM BIO-12: Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy

MM BIO-13: Burrowing Owl Avoidance and Relocation

MM BIO-14: Gen-tie Lines

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

4. CULTURAL

CEQA FINDING NO. CUL-1

Impact: Impact CUL-1. The Project could alter or destroy an historical site or archaeological site or cause adverse change in significance of historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

There are no known cultural resources within the Project’s state lands; however, there may be the potential for the discovery of unanticipated cultural resources during construction.

MMs associated with impacts on state lands would include oversight by an archaeologist, a cultural resource monitoring plan, monitors, and cultural training. MM AES-4 would involve the retention of roadside vegetation, which reduce the visual contrast to characteristics of the landscape. MM CUL-1 would assure that a Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan will be implemented by a certified professional archaeologist. MM CUL-2 would require evidence that the Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan was developed with input from the consulting tribes and would address undiscovered buried archaeological resources. MM CUL-3 would ensure that all earth moving activities are monitored by an adequate number of qualified archeological staff. MM CUL-4 would assure that a Native American monitor would be on-site during ground-disturbing activities. MM CUL-5 requires cultural sensitivity training for all construction personnel. MM CUL-6 outlines the procedure to be followed should unanticipated resources be discovered. MM CUL-7 summarizes how artifact disposition would be conducted. MM CUL-8 requires the preparation of a Cultural Resources Monitoring Report that would document sensitivity training, any feature relocation or residue analysis.
Implementation of the MMs shown below has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM AES-4: Retention of Roadside Vegetation**

**MM CUL-1: Project Archaeologist**

**MM CUL-2: Cultural Resources Monitoring Plan**

**MM CUL-3: Archaeological Monitor**

**MM CUL-4: Native American Monitor**

**MM CUL-5: Tribal Cultural Sensitivity Training**

**MM CUL-6: Discovery of Unanticipated Resources**

**MM CUL-7: Artifact Disposition**

**MM CUL-8: Monitoring Report**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### CEQA FINDING NO. CUL-3

**Impact:** Impact CUL-3. The Project could disturb human remains including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

**Finding(s):** (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

### FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

There are no known human remains within the Project’s presence on state lands; however, there is the potential for the discovery of human remains during construction.

MMs associated with impacts on state lands would include oversight by an archaeologist, a cultural resource monitoring plan, monitors, and cultural training. MM AES-4 would involve the retention of roadside vegetation, which reduce the visual contrast to characteristics of the landscape. MM CUL-1 would assure that a Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan will be implemented by a certified professional archaeologist. MM CUL-2 would require evidence that the Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan was developed with input from the consulting tribes and would address undiscovered buried archaeological resources. MM CUL-3 would ensure that all earth moving activities are monitored by an adequate number of qualified archeological staff. MM CUL-4 would
Exhibit D – Findings

assure that a Native American monitor would be on-site during ground-disturbing activities. MM CUL-5 requires cultural sensitivity training for all construction personnel. MM CUL-6 outlines the procedure to be followed should unanticipated resources be discovered. MM CUL-7 summarizes how artifact disposition would be conducted. MM CUL-8 requires the preparation of a Cultural Resources Monitoring Report that would document sensitivity training, any feature relocation, or residue analysis.

Implementation of MMs shown below has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

- **MM AES-4: Retention of Roadside Vegetation**
- **MM CUL-1: Project Archaeologist**
- **MM CUL-2: Cultural Resources Monitoring Plan**
- **MM CUL-3: Archaeological Monitor**
- **MM CUL-4: Native American Monitor**
- **MM CUL-5: Tribal Cultural Sensitivity Training**
- **MM CUL-6: Discovery of Unanticipated Resources**
- **MM CUL-7: Artifact Disposition**
- **MM CUL-8: Monitoring Report**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

5. CULTURAL RESOURCES - TRIBAL

**CEQA FINDING NO. TCR-1**

**Impact:** Impact TCR-1. The Project could cause adverse change in the significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource determined by the Lead Agency.

**Finding(s):** (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

**FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)**

The direct and indirect impacts of road and gen-tie construction and operation could cause disturbance or damage to tribal cultural resources. This would be a significant
impact (adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resources identified through tribal consultation). However, impacts are not anticipated because no tribal cultural resources have been found in the Project area or identified through Tribal consultation.

MMs associated with impacts on state lands would include oversight by a Native American monitor and archaeologist, a cultural resource monitoring plan, monitors, and cultural training. MM AES-4 would involve the retention of roadside vegetation, which reduce the visual contrast to characteristics of the landscape. MM CUL-1 would assure that a Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan will be implemented by a certified professional archaeologist. MM CUL-2 would require evidence that the Cultural Resource Monitoring Plan was developed with input from the consulting tribes and would address undiscovered buried archaeological resources. MM CUL-3 would ensure that all earth moving activities are monitored by an adequate number of qualified archeological staff. MM CUL-4 would assure that a Native American monitor would be on-site during ground-disturbing activities. MM CUL-5 requires cultural sensitivity training for all construction personnel. MM CUL-6 outlines the procedure to be followed should unanticipated resources be discovered. MM CUL-7 summarizes how artifact disposition would be conducted. MM CUL-8 requires the preparation of a Cultural Resources Monitoring Report that would document sensitivity training, any feature relocation or residue analysis.

Implementation of MMs shown below has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM AES-4: Retention of Roadside Vegetation**

**MM CUL-1: Project Archaeologist**

**MM CUL-2: Cultural Resources Monitoring Plan**

**MM CUL-3: Archaeological Monitor**

**MM CUL-4: Native American Monitor**

**MM CUL-5: Tribal Cultural Sensitivity Training**

**MM CUL-6: Discovery of Unanticipated Resources**

**MM CUL-7: Artifact Disposition**

**MM CUL-8: Monitoring Report**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.
6. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

CEQA FINDING NO. HAZ-1

Impact: Impact HAZ-1. The Project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

Construction of the Project would involve the use of small amounts of hazardous materials, such as fuels and greases to fuel and service construction equipment. In addition, there have been former agriculture use areas in Parcel Group E, and residual pesticides and metal-based herbicides may persist in soils above the regulatory thresholds or hazardous waste levels.

The potential presence of low concentrations of agricultural chemicals in the Project area would be evaluated through implementation of MM HAZ-1, and HAZ-2 would ensure that the potential impacts associated with residual pesticides or agricultural chemicals would be less than significant. Implementation of MMs HAZ-1 and HAZ-2 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

MM HAZ-1: Soil Investigation

MM HAZ-2: Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP)

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

7. NOISE

CEQA FINDING NO. N-1

Impact: Impact N-1. The Project could generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of established standards.

Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.
FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

Temporary or periodic increases in ambient noise levels would occur during construction, and the construction noise would affect the receptors closest to the Project site where the increase in noise levels could be perceptible and could represent an adverse impact.

MM N-1 would limit the daily hours of construction activity and specify additional steps to be taken to avoid construction noise. MM N-2 would require the Project owner to notify all nearby residents of the commencement of Project construction and to provide the means for reporting undesirable noise conditions. MM N-3 would require the Project owner to document, investigate, evaluate, and attempt to resolve all noise complaints, and MM N-4 would ensure that the Project design and implementation includes appropriate noise mitigation measures to ensure that stationary noise sources of the Project do not exceed an average of 43 dBA Leq measured at or near an inhabited dwelling. Implementation of MMs N-1, N-2, N-3, and N-4 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

- MM N-1: Construction Restrictions
- MM N-2: Public Notification Process
- MM N-3: Noise Complaint Process
- MM N-4: Noise Restrictions

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEQA FINDING. CUMULATIVE NOISE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact: Impact C-N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

The proposed road construction and gen-tie line components would be built near cumulative projects that occur in the geographic scope for noise and vibration. The noise and vibration effects of the equipment used for construction of the proposed components and the cumulative projects may overlap spatially and temporally.

MM N-1 would limit the daily hours of construction activity and specify additional steps to be taken to avoid construction noise. MM N-2 would require the Project owner to notify all nearby residents of the commencement of Project construction and the provide
the means for reporting undesirable noise conditions. MM N-3 would require the Project owner to document, investigate, evaluate, and attempt to resolve all noise complaints, and MM N-4 would ensure that the Project design and implementation includes appropriate noise mitigation measures to ensure that stationary noise sources of the Project do not exceed an average of 43 dBA Leq measured at or near an inhabited dwelling. Implementation of MMs N-1, N-2, N-3, and N-4 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM N-1: Construction Restrictions**

**MM N-2: Public Notification Process**

**MM N-3: Noise Complaint Process**

**MM N-4: Noise Restrictions**

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### 8. PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEQA FINDING NO. PAL-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact:</strong> Impact PAL-1. Project implementation could directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding(s):</strong> (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)**

The lease area is within an area with high paleontological sensitivity. Ground disturbance associated with the overhead or underground construction and operation of the gen-tie lines could result in direct impacts to surficial and buried paleontologically sensitive geologic rock units, which could adversely impact significant non-renewable paleontological resources. Indirect effects include the potential for increased unauthorized collection of fossils and other paleontological resources resulting from the presence of larger numbers of people in the Project vicinity during construction.

MM PAL-1 would require the retention of a qualified paleontologist to create and implement a monitoring plan. MM PAL-2 would require the qualified paleontologist to prepare a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program that would detail the procedures and protocols for paleontological resources. MM PAL-3 details when monitoring must take place and the authority of the supervising paleontologist. MM PAL-4 requires paleontological awareness training. MM PAL-5 obligates the applicant to
submit a copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report, which must discuss findings and activities.

Implementation of MMs PAL-1 through PAL-5 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM PAL-1: Project Paleontologist**

**MM PAL-2: Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program**

**MM PAL-3: Paleontological Monitoring**

**MM PAL-4: Paleontological Awareness Training**

**MM PAL-5: Paleontological Monitoring Report Requirement**

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION.** With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### CEQA FINDING. CUMULATIVE PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

**Impact:** Impact C-PAL. Ground disturbance caused by individual projects in the cumulative scenario, if not properly mitigated, could combine to cause a cumulative loss of scientific information through disturbance or destruction of potentially significant fossil resources.

**Finding(s):** (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

### FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

Cumulative development in eastern Riverside County in the Desert Center region of Southern California has the potential to directly or indirectly destroy paleontological resources, particularly during earth moving activities such as grading and excavation in areas containing Quaternary alluvium, which contain a high potential for significant paleontological resources. In addition, collection of fossil materials, dislodging of fossils from their preserved environment, or physical damage of fossil specimens could also adversely affect paleontological resources. Together these potential direct and indirect impacts associated with development in the cumulative scenario could result in a cumulatively significant impact to paleontological resources.

MM PAL-1 would require the retention of a qualified paleontologist to create and implement a monitoring plan. MM PAL-2 would require the qualified paleontologist to prepare a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program that would detail the procedures and protocols for paleontological resources. MM PAL-3 details when monitoring must take place and the authority of the supervising paleontologist. MM PAL
4 requires paleontological awareness training. MM PAL-5 obligates the applicant to submit a copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report, which must discuss findings and activities.

Implementation of MMs PAL-1 through PAL-5 has been incorporated into the Project to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM PAL-1: Project Paleontologist**

**MM PAL-2: Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program**

**MM PAL-3: Paleontological Monitoring**

**MM PAL-4: Paleontological Awareness Training**

**MM PAL 5: Paleontological Monitoring Report Requirement**

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION.** With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### 9. TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEQA FINDING NO. TRA-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact: Impact TRA-1. The Project could conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit and roadway facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding(s): (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)**

Construction activities could result in temporary construction trips. Construction of the Project would require large vehicles travel on local roadways to access the site. In addition, Overhead and underground gen-tie line construction could require the temporary closure of a road or travel lanes on affected roadway segments.

Implementation of MM TRA-1 would require the Construction Traffic Control Plan be reviewed and approved by Caltrans and Riverside County and would include provisions for ensuring detours or safe movement of buses through all affected areas. Implementation of MM TRA-1 would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MM TRA-1: Construction Traffic Control Plan**
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### CEQA FINDING NO. CUMULATIVE TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

**Impact:** Impact C-TRA. The Project could conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit and roadway facilities.

**Finding(s):** (1) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effect as identified in the EIR.

### FACTS SUPPORTING THE FINDING(S)

The cumulative impact analysis focused on traffic volumes generated during construction of the proposed Project. Cumulative impacts due to increased transportation hazards could be significant if simultaneous construction activities resulted in significant volumes of heavy truck trips that affected safe use of a roadway.

MM TRA-1 would require the Project applicant to define the methods to maintaining close coordination with Caltrans and Riverside County, prior to and during construction, to minimize cumulative impacts of multiple simultaneous construction projects affecting shared portions of the circulation system. In addition, the measure would require the applicant to ensure plans for carpooling are incorporated into construction and operation. Implementation of MM TRA-1 would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

#### MM TRA-1: Construction Traffic Control Plan

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION. With the mitigation described above, this impact is reduced to a less than significant level.

### D. FINDINGS ON ALTERNATIVES

As explained in *California Native Plant Society v. City of Santa Cruz* (2009) 177 Cal.App.4th 957, 1000:

*When it comes time to decide on project approval, the public agency’s decisionmaking body evaluates whether the alternatives [analyzed in the EIR] are actually feasible.... At this final stage of project approval, the agency considers whether ‘[s]pecific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations...make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the environmental impact report.’ Broader considerations of policy thus come into play when the decisionmaking body is considering actual feasibility than when the EIR preparer is assessing potential feasibility of the alternatives [citations omitted].*
The two alternatives analyzed in the EIR represent a reasonable range of potentially feasible alternatives that could reduce one or more significant impacts of the Project. These alternatives include:

1) Proposed Project
2) Alternative 1: No Project Alternative
3) Alternative 2: Reduced Footprint Alternative
4) Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option

As presented in the EIR, the alternatives were described and compared with each other and with the proposed Project.

Under State CEQA Guidelines section 15126.6, subdivision (e)(2), if the No Project Alternative is identified as the environmentally superior alternative, the EIR must also identify an environmentally superior alternative among the other alternatives. The proposed Project would be the Environmentally Superior Alternative. While the proposed Project and Reduced Footprint Alternative would both create significant visual impacts from the solar facility and gen-tie line to travelers along SR-177, the proposed Project has been located to minimize environmental impacts and land disturbance associated with solar development by siting the facility on relatively flat, contiguous lands with high solar insolation, in close proximity to established utility corridors, existing transmission lines with available capacity to facilitate interconnection and road access. The surrounding federal lands are designated as a "Solar Energy Zone" and a "Development Focus Area" in order to allow for development of solar energy generation and appurtenant facilities on public lands in this specific area. Furthermore, construction and operation of the Project would bring jobs to eastern Riverside County and would assist California with achieving its renewable energy generation goals. Given the location of the proposed Project on disturbed land in an area identified for solar generation, the Project's renewable energy and economic benefits would outweigh the Project's unavoidable adverse environmental impacts on visual resources.

The County independently reviewed and considered the information on alternatives provided in the EIR and in the record. The EIR reflects the County's independent judgment as to alternatives. The County found that the proposed Project provides the best balance between the Project goals and objectives and the Project's benefits. The three CEQA alternatives proposed and evaluated in the EIR were rejected as being infeasible for reasons provided in the County's Resolution (Attachment D-1).

Based upon the objectives identified in the Final EIR and the detailed mitigation measures imposed upon the Project, the Commission has determined that the Project should be approved, subject to such mitigation measures (Exhibit C, Mitigation Monitoring Program).
Riverside County’s Statement of Overriding Considerations And Findings Regarding Alternatives
# LIST OF ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEQA</td>
<td>California Environmental Quality Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRHR</td>
<td>California Register of Historical Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUP</td>
<td>Conditional Use Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVGB</td>
<td>Chuckwalla Valley Groundwater Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCP</td>
<td>Drainage Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFA</td>
<td>Development focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Department of Parks and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRECP</td>
<td>Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTC/C-AMA</td>
<td>Desert Training Center and the California-Arizona Maneuver Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTCCL</td>
<td>Desert Training Center Cultural Landscape/Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIR</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Interstate 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Megawatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHV</td>
<td>Off-highway vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTNCL</td>
<td>Prehistoric Trails Network Cultural Landscape/Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>Southern California Edison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEZ</td>
<td>Solar Energy Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>State Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWPPP</td>
<td>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UXO</td>
<td>Unexploded ordnance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP</td>
<td>Worker Environmental Awareness Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSA</td>
<td>Water Supply Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWII</td>
<td>World War II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that the following impacts potentially resulting from the adoption of the EIR No. CEQ180007 cannot be fully mitigated and will be only partially avoided or lessened in consideration of existing regulations, Project Design Features or mitigation measures specified in Attachment A (Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, incorporated by reference into this document). Accordingly, and as further explained below, the County makes the following findings as to each of the following impacts as allowed by State CEQA Guidelines section 15091(a): “Changes or alterations [that might further reduce Project impacts] are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the [County]. Such changes have been adopted by such other agency”; or Specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the final EIR.” Therefore, a statement of overriding considerations consistent with State CEQA Guidelines sections 15093, 15216(b), and 15126.2(b) and discussed in the Final EIR Section 15132 is required and included herein:

A. Aesthetics

Impact: Visual Quality

Threshold: The Project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings with implementation of mitigation measures.

Findings of Fact. Significant and Unavoidable:

The Project’s visible contrast associated with visually discordant structural features and
industrial character would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of
the site and its surroundings as follows:

- The resulting visual change would be adverse and unavoidable in the immediate
  vicinity of the gen-tie span of SR-177 and immediately adjacent to Parcel Group C
  (approximately 13 percent of the combined northbound and southbound affected
  travel distance along SR-177).

- The Project would result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to
  public view. The visible contrast associated with the change in visual character
  during operation would result in an impact that would be significant even with
  implementation of mitigation for the area along SR-177 that is located in the
  immediate vicinity of the gen-tie span of SR-177 and immediately adjacent to Parcel
  Group C.

- Project decommissioning activities and associated industrial character would cause
  short-term and/or and long-term aesthetic effects resulting from increased visual
  contrast. Revegetation in this desert region is difficult and generally of limited
  success. Therefore, visual recovery from land disturbance associated with closure
  and decommissioning activities would likely occur only over a long period of time.
  While Mitigation Measure BIO-5 (Vegetation Resources Management Plan) requires
  the implementation of several steps to address temporarily impacted sites, the
  extensive time required for any meaningful vegetation recovery and reduction in
  visual contrast would result in an adverse and significant visual impact that cannot
  be mitigated to a level that would be less than significant. (EIR pp. 3.2-15 to 3.2-

Mitigation Measures:

BIO-5 (Vegetation Resources Management Plan)
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that it has considered, consistent
with CEQA’s requirements, the impacts of the Project together with all other pending or approved
projects within the affected area for each resource area, and finds that:

A. Aesthetics Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Cumulatively Considerable.

Although numerous existing cultural modifications are visible along the I-10 corridor and in
the Desert Center area of the Chuckwalla Valley (transmission lines; substations; pipelines;
solar projects; 4-wheel drive tracks; widely scattered commercial buildings, dilapidated
structures, and roadside signs; and a few agricultural operations), the grand scale of the open
desert panoramas impart an overall general impression of a relatively unimpaired, isolated
desert landscapes. The cumulative scenario includes many large-scale solar plants and
transmission lines whose scale and pervasiveness would have adverse cumulative effects. If
all the projects were implemented, they would substantially degrade the visual character and
general scenic appeal of the existing landscape, resulting in the conversion of a relatively
undeveloped desert landscape into a more industrialized appearance.

In some viewing cases, the visibility and apparent scale of the projects would be diminished
somewhat by favorable topographic relationships and vegetative screening. For other
viewing opportunities, some projects would appear reduced in visual prominence due to their
viewing distances and low angle of view. In still other cases, projects would blend in with
the vegetation or horizon line of the valley floor, and the rugged mountains would remain
the dominant visual features in the landscape.

As a result, the proposed Project in combination with the 15 local energy projects and one
regional energy project would result in significant cumulative visual impacts when viewed
by sensitive viewing populations along I-10 and SR-177, from nearby residences, and in the
surrounding mountains and wilderness. Impacts would result from the introduction of
substantial visual contrast associated with discordant geometric patterns in the landscape;
large-scale, built facilities with prominent industrial character; un-natural lines of
demarcation in the valley floor landscape; inconsistent color contrasts; and visible night
lighting within the broader Chuckwalla Valley. For many travelers along I-10, the scenic experience would be substantially degraded due to the perceived “industrialization” of the landscape.

Effective implementation of Mitigation Measures AES-1 (Night Lighting Management Plan), AES-2 (Surface Treatment of Project Structures and Buildings), AES-3 (Project Design), AES-4 (Retention of Roadside Vegetation), and BIO-5 (Vegetation Resources Management Plan) would reduce the severity of the cumulative visual effects. However, even with implementation of the above mitigation measures, there would be significant cumulative visual impacts when viewed by sensitive viewing populations along I-10 and SR-177, from nearby residences, and in the surrounding mountains and wilderness. (EIR pp. 3.2-38 and 3.2-39).

Mitigation Measures:

MM AES-1 (Night Lighting Management Plan)

MM AES-2 (Surface Treatment of Project Structures and Buildings)

MM AES-3 (Project Design)

MM AES-4 (Retention of Roadside Vegetation)

MM BIO-5 (Vegetation Resources Management Plan)

B. Agriculture and Forestry Resources Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Implementation of the proposed Project, in combination with other projects in the Desert Center area, could include land zoned for agricultural uses that would be utilized for non-agricultural uses or would cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property. However, with the issuance of a CUP, developments under the cumulative scenario constitute allowed uses within Agricultural zones that have been found to be consistent with zoning. The proposed Project would not involve other changes in the existing environment that may result in the conversion of other agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no forest lands or timber resources in the Project...
area and neither the proposed Project nor the cumulative projects would convert any
Important Farmland to non-agricultural uses. The solar arrays would be placed above ground
and after the Project and the cumulative projects are decommissioned, the sites would be
available to be returned to agricultural uses. Overall, the proposed Project’s impacts
combined with those of nearby projects would not result in a cumulatively significant impact
to agricultural resources. (EIR p. 3.3-11).

C. Air Quality Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

The construction-phase emissions related to the proposed solar facility would be likely to
occur concurrently with those of other cumulative projects in the Mojave Desert Air Basin
and would contribute to the adverse effects of other cumulative projects to result in a
cumulative significant impact to air quality. The incremental contribution of the proposed
solar facility to the cumulative impact would be reduced through implementing Mitigation
Measures AQ-1 (Fugitive Dust Control Plan), AQ-2 (Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment
Emissions), AQ-3 (Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks), and
AQ-4 (Construction Activity Management Plan) identified in the discussion of Impact AQ-
2. Because construction-related criteria air pollutant emissions would be mitigated and
would entirely cease with completion of the 30 month duration of work, the construction
emissions would not cause substantial impacts, and the incremental contribution of the
proposed Project to the cumulative air quality impact would not be cumulatively
considerable during construction. (EIR p. 3.4-18).

Mitigation Measures:

MM AQ-1 (Fugitive Dust Control Plan)

MM AQ-2 (Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment Emissions)

MM AQ-3 (Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks)

MM AQ-4 (Construction Activity Management Plan)
D. Biological Resources Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Construction-related impacts of the cumulative projects would temporarily increase noise and activities, dust, and other habitat disturbances throughout the region. On completion of construction, longer-term land use conversion would contribute to reduced habitat availability and increased habitat fragmentation. In the context of the number of past, present, and future projects many of which are large solar projects, the effects of the proposed Project would contribute incrementally to the cumulative significant impacts to vegetation and habitat. However, the Project’s incremental contribution to the cumulative impact would not be considerable because the majority of the Project site has been disturbed by past or ongoing land uses and the loss of natural habitats that would result from the Project would be offset by protecting compensation lands off-site. Sonoran desert scrub, a widespread and common habitat type, would be offset at a 1:1 ratio, while desert dry wash woodland, a sensitive community, would be offset at a 3:1 ratio. By implementing these compensation ratios, the residual net loss of native habitat would be relatively minor, and would not make a material difference to the scope, nature or extent of the cumulative impact to vegetation and habitat.

Special-status Plants. The proposed Project could affect special status plants. No threatened or endangered plants, nor any BLM Sensitive Species, were identified on the site. There is a low possibility that it may affect one BLM Sensitive Plant, Harwood’s eriastrum. A few individual Emory’s crucifixion-thorn would be affected, and several additional more widespread special-status plants could be affected. The past, present, and future projects would have similar or greater impacts to special-status plants which would result in a cumulatively significant impact to regional special-status plants. The contribution of the Project would not be considerable because of the limited number of special-status plants onsite and because mitigation measures identified under Impact BIO-1 would reduce the impacts so that residual effects would be minimal. The residual net loss of special-status
plants would not make a material difference to the scope, nature or extent of the cumulative
impact.

The proposed Project would cumulatively reduce habitat availability for a number of special-
status wildlife species. Similarly, the Project would cumulatively reduce habitat availability
for common species. Project activities could cause mortality or injury to common species,
or could eliminate reduce availability of natural habitats or communities. The loss of largely
disturbed habitat would not, however, substantially reduce the habitat of a wildlife species,
cause a wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, or threaten to eliminate a
plant or animal community. Indeed, the Project is not expected to take any desert tortoise,
although this analysis recognizes the possibility. Take of other wildlife species would
similarly be limited. In addition, Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-14 would reduce
the cumulative contribution of the Project.

The proposed Project would affect desert dry wash woodland and unvegetated ephemeral
dry wash, which meet criteria as jurisdictional waters of the State. Many of the cumulative
projects would have similar impacts to desert dry wash woodland and unvegetated
ephemeral dry wash due to the nature of the area and the large washes that cross it, resulting
in a significant cumulative impact. The effects of the proposed Project would contribute
incrementally to the cumulative impacts to sensitive habitat and jurisdictional waters of the
State, but this incremental contribution would not be considerable because mitigation
measures identified under Impact BIO-4 and BIO-5 would reduce the impacts so that
residual effects would be minimal. The net loss of sensitive habitat and jurisdictional waters
would not make a material difference to the scope, nature or extent of the cumulative impact.

(EIR pp. 3.5-35 to 3.5-39).

Mitigation Measures:

MM BIO-1 (Biological Monitoring)

MM BIO-2 (Worker Environmental Awareness Training)

MM BIO-3 (Minimization of Vegetation and Habitat Impacts)

MM BIO-4 (Integrated Weed Management Plan)
E. Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Cumulatively Considerable.

The effects of the proposed Project or an alternative when combined with impacts from past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects, contribute to the cumulatively considerable adverse impacts to two cultural landscapes/historic districts in eastern Riverside County. Based on the number of acres that would be disturbed (Athos cultural resources study area 3,533 acres), direct impacts associated the Project would contribute approximately 2.8 percent of the cumulative impacts within the cumulative analysis study area (122,440 acres) in eastern Riverside County.

A total of 31 cultural resources and portions of two historic districts are present within the direct effects study area of the proposed Project. Seventeen (17) of the 31 resources are WWII-era historic resources and are contributors to the DTCCL. Four of these resources are eligible in their own right for the CRHR. Thirteen (13) of these resources are not individually eligible for listing on the CRHR. The destruction of both eligible and ineligible contributors as a result of the Project contributes in a small but measurable way to the destruction of the DTCCL as a whole. Cumulative impacts to the DTCCL would be addressed through MM CUL-11 (Desert Center DTC/C-AMA Summary Report and District DPR Form). With
implementation of MM CUL-11, the Project would not result in a considerable contribution
to cumulative effects on these WWII-era resources.

Three sensitive prehistoric archaeological resources are present in the indirect effects study
area. These include: prehistoric site CA-RIV-1515, North Chuckwalla Petroglyph National
Register District (CA-RIV-1383), and Coco-Maricopa Trail (CA-RIV-53T) segments (c)
and (d). All of these resources are contributors to the PTNCL. The addition of more industrial
components to the Chuckwalla Valley contributes in a small but measurable way to a visual
intrusion upon the setting of the PTNCL, a defining characteristic of the resource under
Criterion 1. This visual intrusion compromises the integrity of the resource.

Cumulative impacts to the PTNCL as a result of visual intrusion would be addressed with
implementation of MM CUL-12 (Prehistoric Trails Summary Report). Implementation of
CUL-12 would reduce the contribution of the Project but the cumulative impact would
remain significant. (EIR pp. 3.6-37 to 3.6-39).

Mitigation Measures:

MM AES-1 (Night Lighting Management Plan)

MM AES-2 (Surface Treatment of Project Structures and Buildings)

MM AES-3 (Project Design)

MM AES-4 (Retention of Roadside Vegetation)

MM CUL-11 (Desert Center DTC/C-AMA Summary Report and District DPR Form)

MM CUL-12 (Prehistoric Trails Summary Report)

F. Geology, Soils and Mineral Resources Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

The proposed Project is adjacent to other large solar projects that would require substantial
ground disturbance. While each project's soil disturbance could result in offsite water and
wind erosion, each project has or would undergo an environmental review under NEPA and
CEQA and would be required to abide by existing regulations such that they would have a
DESCP, Drainage Plan, and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that would
reduce wind and water erosion and eliminate it from leaving each project's site. Because the wind and water erosion would not leave the Project site, it would not combine with the erosion from nearby projects and would not combine to create a cumulatively significant impact due to erosion. The Project site is not currently used for mineral production, nor is it under claim, lease, or permit for the production of locatable, leasable, or salable minerals. As the Project would have a negligible and temporary effect on the availability of sand and gravel resources, and no significant impact on the availability of geothermal or other mineral resources, no adverse cumulative impacts would result for these resources. (EIR pp. 3.7-12 and 3.7-13).

Mitigation Measures:

MM AQ-1 (Fugitive Dust Control Plan)

MM HWQ-1 (Drainage Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan [DESCP])

MM HWQ-4 (Project Drainage Report and Plans)

G. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not cumulatively considerable.

Because the direct environmental effect of GHG emissions is to influence global climate change, GHG emissions are inherently a cumulative concern. Indeed, no single project could, by itself, result in a substantial change in climate. As the analysis for the solar facility and 220 kV gen-tie lines concerns cumulative global impacts, there is no separate cumulative impacts analysis for global climate change. Furthermore, the evaluation of GHG impacts presented here evaluated the contribution of the Project to inherently cumulative climate change effects and demonstrated that the Project would result in a long-term net reduction of GHGs and would not conflict with GHG reduction goals. The Project-specific incremental impact on GHG emissions would therefore not be cumulatively considerable. (EIR p. 3.8-8).

H. Hazards and Hazardous Materials Cumulative Impacts
Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Construction of the Project could encounter previously documented and un-documented hazardous materials sites within the Project area. Since portions of the proposed Project site are located in areas with a history of agricultural production, there is a potential for residual, low-level concentrations of pesticides and other agricultural chemicals to be present in shallow soils and/or groundwater. The implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-1 (Soil Investigation) and HAZ-2 (WEAP) would address potential impacts associated with residual pesticides or agricultural chemicals, Mitigation Measure HAZ-4 (Pre-demolition Surveys and Appropriate Hazardous Materials Removal) would address hazards during removal of existing structures, which along with Mitigation Measure HAZ-3 (UXO Identification, Training and Reporting Plan) to address potential encounter of UXO, would help minimize the Project-specific health and safety hazards to a less than significant level. Projects DC50 and Victory Pass would be located on land with a history of agriculture production so may have a similar potential for residual agricultural chemicals and UXO, and would also likely require a WEAP and/or similar measures to minimize impacts on and off the sites. Because of the history of contamination in this area, the projects collectively could help reduce the overall impacts due to hazards once they are operational and have implemented hazardous material remediation. Under cumulative conditions, implementation of the Project in conjunction with development of cumulative projects is not anticipated to present a cumulatively significant impact to public health and safety hazard to residents. (EIR p. 3.9-17).

Mitigation Measures:

MM HAZ-1 (Soil Investigation)

MM HAZ-2 (Worker Environmental Awareness Program)

MM HAZ-3 (UXO Identification, Training and Reporting Plan)

MM HAZ-4 Pre-demolition surveys and appropriate hazardous materials removal.

Prior to the removal of any structures, perform a survey for lead based paint and asbestos containing materials. If found, all lead based paint must be removed from the property.
prior to construction/demolition activities with the potential to disturb painted surfaces and
disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws. If the activities would not disturb
painted surfaces, the entire structure with lead base paint must be disposed of in
accordance with all applicable laws. If found, all asbestos containing materials must be
disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws.

I. Hydrology and Water Quality Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

All foreseeable future projects in the Chuckwalla Valley Hydrologic Unit would be subject
to similar measures as the proposed Project when obtaining the required permits that
implement compliance with State and Federal clean water regulations and Riverside County
floodplain development regulations. As all projects would go through an environmental
review process, they would be subject to similar mitigation measures as those proposed to
address potential water quality impacts for the Athos Project. Because the projects are in a
similar hydrologic setting and most are similar types of projects, individual project impacts
are expected to be reduced to less than significant. Therefore, the combined effects to water
quality from the cumulative projects within the geographic scope would not be considered
significant.

A cumulative groundwater analysis has been performed in the WSA. The results show that
with the proposed Project and all cumulative projects in place, there would be an initial
groundwater overdraft of up to 12,673 af in the year 2023. The CVGB would then begin to
slowly recover. By the end of the 30 year period of analysis, the cumulative groundwater
deficit would be approximately 10,601 acre-feet (approximately 0.07 percent of total
storage). Without the Athos Project and all other cumulative projects in place, there would
be a surplus of 71,700 acre-feet at the end of the 30 year period (Approximately 0.48 percent
of total storage). Under this scenario, though there would be an initial overdraft of
approximately 0.08 percent of total CVGB storage, cumulative Project water use would be
slightly less than the current CVGB surplus, meaning the cumulative impact would be less
than significant. (EIR pp. 3.10-21 and 3.10-22).

Mitigation Measures:

MM HWQ-1 (Drainage Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan [DESCP])

MM HWQ-2 (Septic System Rehabilitation)

MM HWQ-3 (Mitigation of Impacts to the Palo Verde Mesa (PVMGB) Groundwater Basin)

MM HWQ-4 (Project Drainage Plan)

MM HWQ-5 (Flood Protection)

J. Land Use and Planning Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Potential land use impacts require evaluation on a case-by-case basis because of the interactive effects of a specific development and its surrounding land use environment. The Project would be consistent with the goals and policies of the Riverside County General Plan, and other applicable local land use plans, policies, and regulations and with the Federal plans. In addition, with approval of all discretionary requests, the Project would be an allowable use that would not conflict with the land use or zoning classifications for the site. Therefore, Project’s incremental contribution to cumulative impacts to land use would not be considerable. (EIR pp. 3.1-12 and 3.11-13).

K. Noise Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Cumulative noise impacts would be reduced through compliance with local laws and regulations and implementation of typical mitigation to protect sensitive receptors from noise and implement feasible noise controls. Cumulative renewable energy projects and other development that is subjected to the environmental permitting process would have a detailed analysis of noise and land use conflicts as part of the Project-level environmental review. The permitting process normally requires each project to comply with local
standards and to avoid noise-related land use conflicts. This means that all projects, even if unrelated to the proposed Project, would need to comply with the local community noise standards, such as the Riverside County Noise Ordinance. Additional mitigation may be applied to the cumulative projects through environmental permitting by lead agencies. Although sources of noise associated with cumulative project operations, including employee vehicles accessing the sites, power inverters, and other power system infrastructure could impact residences that are near the proposed Project, the mitigation recommended in this analysis would ensure that the Project’s incremental contribution to the cumulative noise impact would not be considerable. (EIR pp. 3.12-17 and 3.12-18).

Mitigation Measures:

MM N-1 (Construction Restrictions)

MM N-2 (Public Notification Process)

MM N-3 (Noise Complaint Process)

MM N-4 (Noise Restrictions)

L. Paleontological Resources Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Cumulative development in eastern Riverside County in the Desert Center region of Southern California has the potential to directly or indirectly destroy paleontological resources, particularly during earth moving activities such as grading and excavation in areas containing Quaternary alluvium, which contain a high potential for significant paleontological resources. In addition, collection of fossil materials, dislodging of fossils from their preserved environment, and/or physical damage of fossil specimens could also adversely affect paleontological resources. Together these potential direct and indirect impacts associated with development in the cumulative scenario could result in a cumulatively significant impact to paleontological resources. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measures PAL-1 through PAL-5, paleontological resource impacts would be reduced to a less than significant level. The proposed Project, as well as
other development projects, would be required to provide mitigation for any impacts to paleontological resources in accordance with provisions of CEQA, as well as with regulations currently implemented by the County of Riverside and the proposed guidelines of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. Therefore, the Athos Project incremental contribution to cumulative impacts for paleontological resources would not be cumulatively considerable based on the degree of protection afforded by these requirements (EIR p. 3.13-15).

Mitigation Measures:

MM PAL-1 (Project Paleontologist)
MM PAL-2 (Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program)
MM PAL-3 (Paleontological Monitoring)
MM PAL-4 (Paleontological Awareness Training)
MM PAL-5 (Paleontological Monitoring Report Requirement)

M. Population and Housing Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

There is an ample supply of housing units to accommodate workers drawn from outside the two-hour commute area. Therefore, cumulative impacts in the cumulative scenario on housing are projected to be less than significant. The proposed Project would contribute an additional peak labor need of approximately 530 individuals. Given the availability of housing units, the incremental effects of the Project, when considered together with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, would not result in a cumulatively significant impact. (EIR p. 3.14-5 and 3.14-6).

N. Public Services and Utilities Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

The implementation of Project-specific Fire Prevention Plan would reduce the Project-related demand for fire, law enforcement, and emergency medical services from
construction, such that the residual demand would not exceed established service ratios or require new or physically altered facilities, the construction of which could cause environmental impacts. The incremental effects of the Project would therefore be reduced to less than cumulatively considerable. The incremental effects of the proposed Project from up to 10 permanent staff during operations would also not be cumulatively considerable because the very low number of workers would also not lead to the exceedance of established service ratios or require new or physically altered facilities. Cumulative operational and maintenance-related impacts to public services including fire, hazardous materials handling, and medical resources and facilities related to the Project would be less than related demands during construction and would not be cumulatively significant due to the low number of employees required to support projects in the cumulative scenario. The proposed Project would utilize an on-site or off-site groundwater well or water trucked from an offsite water purveyor and would not generate wastewater. There is no potential for the Project to contribute to cumulative impacts to water or wastewater systems. In addition, due to the existing and remaining capacity at existing landfills, the Project’s incremental solid waste-related impact during construction and operation, when combined with the contributions of past, other present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects would not be cumulatively significant. (EIR pp. 3.15-12 and 3.15-13).

O. Recreation Cumulative Impacts
Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.
The contribution to the cumulative loss of OHV routes by the Project would be less than cumulatively considerable because the routes impacted by the Athos Project do not lead to any specific recreation area and because the Athos Project would only close routes on private land. Because of the large amount of wilderness and solitary recreational areas in Eastern Riverside County and in the California desert and the limited use of the recreational areas near the Project, it is unlikely that recreationists who leave the Desert Center area for elsewhere in California would increase the use of such areas such that substantial physical
deterioration of the region would occur or be accelerated. Impacts would not result in a cumulatively significant impact. *(EIR p. 3.16-10).*

P. **Traffic and Transportation Cumulative Impacts**

**Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.**

Cumulative impacts due to increased transportation hazards or damaged roads could be significant if simultaneous construction activities resulted in significant volumes of heavy truck trips that affected safe use of a roadway or damaged transportation facility surfaces. The Project’s contribution to the potentially significant cumulative impact would be reduced to less than cumulatively considerable because Mitigation Measure TRA-1 (Construction Traffic Control Plan) requires the Project applicant to define the methods to maintaining close coordination with Caltrans and Riverside County, prior to and during construction, to minimize cumulative impacts of multiple simultaneous construction projects affecting shared portions of the circulation system. Mitigation Measure TRA-1 also requires the Project applicant to reduce temporary motorist hazards in a variety of ways, including ensuring the safe movement of pedestrians and bicycles through work areas. Mitigation Measure TRA-3 (Repair Roadways and Transportation Facilities Damaged by Construction Activities) is proposed to ensure any damage and deterioration attributed to the Project would be repaired. With the incorporation of these measures, the Project would have a less than significant contribution to cumulative hazard impacts on transportation facilities.

Construction of the solar facility and gen-tie lines are not expected to result in a cumulative impact to temporary lane closures. This is because construction of the solar facilities is not expected to require temporary land closures as they would occur within the public and private parcels. Construction of the gen-tie lines for each facility would require stringing the lines over local roads and the I-10, but each developer would be required to coordinate that work with Caltrans and the County to avoid any cumulative impacts.

Construction of the solar facility is not expected to require any temporary lane closures that could restrict the movements of buses. Similarly, the construction of the cumulative projects
would also be unlikely to require temporary land closures because they would be built on public or private lands off of public roads. Construction of the proposed Project would require large vehicles travel on local roadways to access the site and includes Mitigation Measure TRA-1 (Construction Traffic Control Plan) that would include provisions for ensuring detours or safe movement of buses through all affected areas. The cumulative projects would also be required to abide by regulations regarding lane closures to reduce any potential impacts. Therefore, the Project would not result in a cumulative significant impact to public transportation. (EIR pp. 3.17-18 and 3.17-19).

Mitigation Measures:

MM TRA-1 (Construction Traffic Control Plan)
MM TRA-2 (Comply with FAA 7460 1 Determination Recommendations)
MM TRA-3 (Repair Roadways and Transportation Facilities Damaged by Construction Activities)

Q. Energy Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impact Finding: Not Cumulatively Considerable.

Project construction would not result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources. Energy use during construction would be reduced by best management practices, applicant proposed measures, and adherence to proposed mitigation requirements that would minimize construction equipment activity, limit the idling of equipment, encourage carpooling, and reducing temporary traffic delays. The limited use of fossil fuel by operational worker commutes and use of vehicles and equipment during maintenance is not considered to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary. The proposed Project would increase the use of renewable energy, thus reducing the use of fossil fuel for electrical generation by conventional power plants. A number of cumulative projects are also renewable energy facilities. While construction activities associated with cumulative projects would require the use of fossil fuels, it is assumed each project would initiate best management practices and other methods as part of
project approval to reduce wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary use of energy resources. The Project's contribution to potentially significant cumulative impacts would be less than cumulatively considerable because the Project would not result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary energy use. Additionally, the Project would have a beneficial cumulative contribution related to directly supporting federal, State, and local plans for renewable energy development. (EIR pp. 3.18-4 and 3.18-5).

Mitigation Measures:

MM AQ-2 (Control On-Site Off-Road Equipment Emissions)

MM AQ-3 (Require Newer Vehicles for On-Road Vendor and Hauling Trucks)

MM AQ-4 (Construction Activity Management Plan)

MM N-1 (Construction Restrictions)

MM TRA-1 (Construction Traffic Control Plan)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that it has considered the following alternatives identified in EIR No. CEQ180007 in light of the environmental impacts which cannot be avoided or substantially lessened and has rejected those alternatives as failing to meet most of the Project's objectives, as failing to reduce or avoid the Project's significant impacts or as infeasible for the reasons hereinafter stated:

A. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21002 and the State CEQA Guidelines section 15126.6(a), an EIR must assess a reasonable range of alternatives to the project action or location. Section 15126.6(a) places special emphasis on focusing the discussion on alternatives which provide opportunities for eliminating any significant adverse environmental impacts, or reducing them to a level of insignificance, even if the alternative would impede to some degree the attainment of the project objectives, or would be more costly. In this regard, the EIR must identify an environmentally superior alternative among the other alternatives. As with cumulative impacts, the discussion of alternatives is governed by the "rule of reason." The EIR need not consider an alternative whose effect cannot be reasonably ascertained, or does not contribute to an informed decision-making and public
participation process. The range of alternatives is defined by those alternatives, which could feasibly attain the objectives of the project. As directed by State CEQA Guidelines section 15126.6(a), an EIR shall include alternatives to the project that could feasibly accomplish most of the basic objectives of the project.

B. The Project has been developed to achieve the following objectives:

1. Assist Californians in meeting their renewable energy generation goals under the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (Senate Bill 350) and greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32), as amended by Senate Bill 32 in 2016;¹

2. Bring living-wage jobs to eastern Riverside County;

3. Minimize environmental impacts and land disturbance associated with solar development by siting the facility on relatively flat, contiguous lands with high solar insolation, in close proximity to established utility corridors, existing transmission lines with available capacity to facilitate interconnection, and road access;

4. Further the purpose of Secretarial Order 3285A1, establishing the development of environmentally responsible renewable energy as a priority for the Department of the Interior; and

5. Make the highest and best use of primarily disturbed, retired agricultural land in and around a federal "Solar Energy Zone" and "Development Focus Area" to generate, store, and transmit affordable, wholesale solar electricity.

These Project objectives (EIR p. 1-2) were defined consistent with the development proposal for this location. As directed in State CEQA Guidelines section 15126.6(a), an EIR shall include alternatives to the project that could avoid or substantially reduce one or more of the significant effects. Because not all significant effects can be substantially reduced to a less-than-significant level, either by adoption of mitigation measures, Project Design Features, existing regulations, or by standard conditions of approval, the following section

considers the feasibility of the Project alternatives as compared to the proposed Project. As explained below, these findings describe and reject, for reasons documented in the EIR No. CEQ180007 and summarized below, each one of the Project alternatives. The evidence supporting these findings is presented in Chapters 3.02 through 3.18 of the EIR and elsewhere in the administrative record as a whole.

C. Alternative 1: No Project Alternative - No Development Alternative

1. This alternative evaluated the environmental impacts resulting from a hypothetical continuance of the existing land uses, under which the Project site would remain vacant and no development would occur.

2. With respect to the No Project Alternative - No Build Alternative, Project objectives are not attained because no development is included as a part of this alternative. With respect to the significant unavoidable impacts of the Project (cumulative aesthetics and cultural resources impacts), this alternative would avoid all the unavoidable significant impacts of the Project; however, it would not generate substantial benefits to the County and local economy, by providing new jobs and additional tax revenues. None of the Project objectives would be met under this alternative.

3. The Board of Supervisors rejects as infeasible Alternative 1, the No Project Alternative - No Development Alternative on the following ground, which individually provides sufficient justification for rejection of this alternative: (1) Alternative 1 fails to meet any of the Project objectives. Therefore, Alternative 1 is eliminated from further consideration.

D. Alternative 2: Reduced Footprint Alternative

1. Under the Reduced Footprint Alternative, the onsite substation (SS4) currently located on the southern parcel would instead be located at the southwest corner of the group of parcels to the north. The overall length of the gen-tie lines under the proposed Project and this alternative would be the same. However, approximately 1.5 miles of Gen-Tie Segment #2 would become part of Gen-Tie Segment #4 by instead ending Gen-Tie Segment #2 at the alternative onsite substation location.
Except for the following components, all aspects of this alternative would be similar to the proposed Project:

- Reduction in solar facility site acreage by 387 acres (2,841 total acres) by eliminating the development of two groups of separate parcels.

- Reduction of solar energy generation by 50 MW to 450 MW with up to 450 MW of integrated energy storage capacity (compared to 500 MW under the proposed Project).

- Relocation of one onsite substation and related facilities.

2. With respect to the Reduced Footprint Alternative it would result in similar impacts related to Air Quality impacts from emissions, Biological Resources, Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions, Hydrology & Water Quality, Noise, and Transportation/Traffic, and Tribal Cultural Resources as the proposed Project. The Reduced Footprint Alternative would not eliminate the significant and unavoidable aesthetic impacts and cumulative aesthetics and cultural resources impacts that would occur under the proposed Project.

3. The Board of Supervisors rejects Alternative 2, the Reduced Footprint Alternative, on the following grounds which provides sufficient justification for rejection of this alternative: (1) Alternative 2 would not avoid the significant and unavoidable aesthetic impacts and cumulative aesthetics and cultural resources impacts that would occur under the proposed Project (EIR Sections 3.02 and 3.06). (2) Alternative 2 would achieve the project objectives, which include the provision of environmental benefits, to a lesser extent compared with the proposed Project (EIR Section 5.3.1). Therefore, Alternative 2 is eliminated from further consideration.

E. Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option

1. A route alternative for Gen-Tie Segment #1 has been developed due to challenges obtaining landowner easements. Under this alternative option, the onsite substation (SS1) would be located approximately 0.2 miles east of its currently proposed location on Parcel Group A. The alternative would exit the onsite substation (SS1)
and head due south onto BLM-administered land for approximately 0.25 miles before turning southeast for almost 0.3 miles and south for 0.15 miles to enter private land. On private land, the alternative route would turn due west and travel 0.45 miles to rejoin Gen-Tie Segment #1. The Alternative Gen-Tie Segment #1 Route Option would be approximately 0.65 miles longer (1.15 miles compared to 0.5 miles with this segment of the proposed Project).

2. Although the impacts would be largely similar, the increased route length for the Alternative Route Option compared to the proposed Project, would result in slightly greater ground disturbance, visual intrusion impacts, level of construction activities and associated environmental impacts.

3. The Board of Supervisors rejects Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option on the grounds that the Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option would not avoid the significant and unavoidable aesthetic impacts and cumulative aesthetics and cultural resources impacts that would occur under the proposed Project (EIR Sections 3.02 and 3.06).

4. However, should the Project owner be unable to obtain an option agreement with the affected landowner(s), then the proposed Project route would not be legally feasible. In that case, the Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option would be pursued.

F. Environmentally Superior Alternative.

Section 15126.6(e)(2) of the State CEQA Guidelines indicates that an analysis of alternatives to a proposed Project shall identify an environmentally superior alternative among the alternatives evaluated in an EIR. This issue is evaluated in Chapter 4.0 of the EIR. Here, Alternative 1, the No Project Alternative is the environmentally superior alternative. Aside from the No Project Alternative, the Proposed Project is the environmentally superior alternative. While the proposed Project and Reduced Footprint Alternative would both create significant visual impacts from the solar facility and gen-tie line to travelers along SR-177, the proposed Project has been located to minimize environmental impacts and land disturbance associated with solar development by siting the facility on relatively flat,
contiguous lands with high solar insolation, in close proximity to established utility corridors, existing transmission lines with available capacity to facilitate interconnection and road access. The surrounding federal lands are designated as a “Solar Energy Zone” and a “Development Focus Area” in order to allow for development of solar energy generation and appurtenant facilities on public lands in this specific area. Furthermore, construction and operation of the Project would bring jobs to eastern Riverside County and would assist California with achieving its renewable energy generation goals. Given the location of the proposed Project on disturbed land in an area identified for solar generation, the Project’s renewable energy and economic benefits would outweigh the Project’s unavoidable adverse environmental impacts on visual resources.

A route alternative for Gen-Tie Segment #1 was evaluated in the EIR due to challenges obtaining landowner easements. Although the impacts would be largely similar, the increased route length for the Alternative Route Option compared to the proposed Project, would result in slightly greater ground disturbance, visual intrusion impacts, level of construction activities and associated environmental impacts. Therefore, the proposed Project for Gen-Tie Route Segment #1 is the environmentally superior alternative. Should the Applicant be unable to obtain an option agreement with the affected landowner(s), then the proposed Project route would not be legally feasible. In that case, the Gen-Tie Segment #1 Alternative Route Option would be the environmentally superior route for Gen-Tie Segment #1.

The EIR also considered alternatives that were rejected from further analysis on grounds they were infeasible. First, an alternative located entirely on BLM lands was considered in the EIR but then rejected from further analysis because it is likely to have more severe biological, cultural, and visual resource impacts, as it would likely be located on undisturbed lands. Also, it may not be feasible to find an alternative site on BLM-managed lands, because most of the land within the DFA and Developable Areas of the Riverside East SEZ is in use, proposed for other solar energy projects, or within mountainous areas. Site control is also an issue, given that the Western Solar Plan, DRECP and BLM Rents and Bonds Policy (CITE)
require a competitive auction to secure land within SEZs/DFAs and BLM has yet to conduct one for sites in Riverside County.

Second, an all private land project alternative was considered in the EIR but then rejected from further analysis because it is considered speculative and infeasible based on the number of landowners whose agreement would be required. In addition, another site would likely have environmental impacts equal to or greater than the proposed site, which is located primarily on disturbed (retired agricultural) land and is surrounded by BLM-administered land that is within the Riverside East SEZ of BLM’s Western Solar Plan and within the DRECP DFA, and thus, targeted for renewable energy development.

Thirdly, a reduced footprint alternative (within Parcel A) was considered in the EIR but then rejected from further analysis because this alternative would reduce the overall energy generation capacity and there was another reduced footprint alternative (Alternative 2) that would provide greater environmental benefits, namely to biological and cultural resources, and was analyzed in detailed within the EIR.

Additionally, alternative solar technologies and renewable energy technologies were considered in the EIR but then rejected from further analysis because they are not within the Applicant, IP Athos, LLC’s, area of expertise and so would not be technically or economically feasible for the Applicant to implement. Furthermore, energy conservation and demand-side management alternatives were considered in the EIR but then rejected from further analysis because they are not technically feasible as a replacement for the proposed Project as California utilities are required to achieve aggressive energy efficiency goals. Affecting consumer choice to the extent that would be necessary for a conservation and demand-side management solution would be beyond the County, BLM and/or the Applicant’s control. Even if additional energy efficiency beyond that occurring in the baseline condition may be technically possible, it is speculative to assume that energy efficiency alone would achieve the necessary greenhouse gas reduction goals. With population growth and increasing demand for energy, conservation and demand management alone is not sufficient to address all of California’s energy needs. Furthermore,
conservation and demand-side management would not by themselves provide the renewable energy required to meet the California renewable energy goals, a stated Project objective. Therefore, conservation and demand-side management has been eliminated from detailed analysis because it is considered remote or speculative and would not meet the stated Project objectives.

No other reasonable and feasible alternatives were identified during the environmental review process for consideration.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that it has, pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines section 15093, balanced the “economic, legal, social, technological, and other benefits” of the Project, against the unavoidable adverse environmental effects described herein, and has determined that each and every one of the following benefits individually outweigh and render acceptable each and every one of those environmental effects:

A. While the proposed Project and Reduced Footprint Alternative would both create significant visual impacts from the solar facility and gen-tie line to travelers along SR-177, the proposed Project has been located to minimize environmental impacts and land disturbance associated with solar development by siting the facility on relatively flat, contiguous lands with high solar insolation, in close proximity to established utility corridors, existing transmission lines with available capacity to facilitate interconnection and road access. The surrounding federal lands are designated as a “Solar Energy Zone” and a “Development Focus Area” in order to allow for development of solar energy generation and appurtenant facilities on public lands in this specific area. Furthermore, construction and operation of the Project would bring jobs to eastern Riverside County and would assist California with achieving its renewable energy generation goals. Given the location of the proposed Project on disturbed land in an area identified for solar generation, the Project’s renewable energy and economic benefits would outweigh the Project’s unavoidable adverse environmental impacts on visual resources.

B. The Project would provide local employment and economic opportunities for residents of Riverside County, thereby serving to increase economic opportunities. During the 30-month
construction period of the proposed Project, the on-site workforce is expected to reach peak of approximately 530 individuals with an average construction-related on-site workforce of 320 individuals. Riverside County has the largest concentration of construction workers close to the Project area. During operation of the proposed Project, up to 10 permanent staff could be on the site at any one time for ongoing facility maintenance and repairs. These staff would also likely be sourced from Riverside County. Riverside County overall is housing rich/jobs poor, with an even greater housing rich/jobs poor condition in the unincorporated areas. It is expected that the majority of the new long-term jobs as well as the short-term construction jobs would be filled by the existing labor force available in the County of Riverside, as the County has a shortage of jobs. During the construction period, the Project is estimated to spend approximately $35 million in direct procurement from local vendors. Furthermore, multiplier effects include indirect impacts that result from additional rounds of spending by businesses in the project’s supply chain and induced impacts from household spending by new project-related employees. In total, the project’s indirect and induced local economic benefits to the County’s economy are expected to result in a total of $100 million over the construction and operations periods. Lastly, the Project is estimated to yield up to $800,000 in local sales tax and $30 million in property taxes to the County over the life of the Project.

C. The Project will maximize the use of a currently underutilized site and promote the efficient use of land, while still providing natural open space consistent with the rural identity of the community. The Project will generate, store, and transmit affordable solar electricity to help the State and the County meet their renewable energy generation goals, as well as increasing California’s own on-site energy generation, through the use of reliable and proven solar technology.