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Continuation of Rent Actions to be taken by the Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission's Delegation of Authority:

Colin Boone Arnold and Victoria Lynn Arnold, Trustees of the Arnold Family Revocable Trust, Dated August 23, 1994, (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $230 per year for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2915 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 6064.1)

Aaron Beaver and Natalie Beaver, Trustees of The Aaron Beaver and Natalie Beaver AB Living Trust, dated April 15, 2008 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $249 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14400 State Highway 160, Walnut Grove, Sacramento County. (PRC 8625.1)

Eliseo Cove Homeowners Association (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $136 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Corte Madrea Creek, adjacent to 655 S. Eliseo Dr, near Greenbrae, Marin County. (PRC 4900.1)

Michael D. Grimes, Trustee of the 3272 Edgewater Road Qualified Personal Residence Trust dated August 9, 2013 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $1,470 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3272 Edgewater Drive, near Dollar Point, Placer County. (PRC 7329.1)

Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $450 per year for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use located on...
sovereign land in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 401-111-006, near Samoa, Humboldt County. (PRC 3186.1)

John M. Kelly, as Trustee of the John M. Kelly Revocable Trust, dated March 31, 1997; John M. Kelly as Trustee of the John M. Kelly Exempt Generation-Skipping Trust under The Paul B. Kelly Revocable Trust, dated November 2, 1981; John M Kelly, Jr.; Elizabeth K. D'Ambrosia; and Matthew F. Kelly (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $1,641 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3390 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 3346.1)

Roger Kelly (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $198 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 2869 Calariva Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County. (PRC 8422.1)

Bruce A. Krater and Doris K. Krater, Trustees, or their successors in trust, under the Krater Living Trust, dated November 15, 2000; and John R. Strickley as Trustee U/T/A dated 5/20/88 known as the John R. Strickley and Helen K. Strickley Family Trust (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $556 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8221 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County. (PRC 8451.1)

Mark T. O'Brien, Trustee of the Mark O'Brien Family Trust, Dated January 27, 2004, and Marilyn A. O'Brien, Trustee of the Marilyn O'Brien Trust, Dated February 12, 2004 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $375 per year for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 1715 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 8494.1)

Gerald R. Rose and Deborah K. Rose, Co-Trustees of the Rose Family Trust, Dated April 9, 2008 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $306 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 42424 Front Street, near
Knights Landing, Yolo County. (PRC 9083.1)

Leo H. Schuering Jr. and Eileen S. Schuering, Trustees of the Schuering Family Revocable Trust, Dated November 30, 1994 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $325 per year for a General Lease – Recreational and Protective Structure Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4475 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 6988.1)

Frank Slootman and Brenda L. Slootman, Co-Trustees of the Slootman Living Trust Dated September 8, 1999 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $754 per year for a General Lease – Recreational Use, located on sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2050 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8399.1)

Richard Sorensen dba Rio Ramaza Marina (Lessee): Continuation of minimum annual rent at $952 per year for a General Lease – Commercial Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 10,000 Garden Highway, near Verona, Sutter County. (PRC 4485.1)

Richard W. Tesene and Diane J. Tesene, as Trustees under the Tesene 1993 Revocable Intervivos Trust, under instrument dated July 15, 1993 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at $278 per year for a General Lease – Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Montezuma Slough, adjacent to 3400 Gum Tree Road, near Suisun City, Solano County. (PRC 4052.1)

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE NONCONTROVERSIAL AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING.

C 01 ALAN K. AUSTIN AND MARIANNE P. AUSTIN, TRUSTEES OF THE AUSTIN FAMILY TRUST DATED AUGUST 6, 1997, AND BEAMING JOY LIMITED, A BVI LIMITED CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5801.1, a General Lease – Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake
Tahoe, adjacent to 850 and 860 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, two boat lifts, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 5801.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 02 RICHARD T. BREUNER, TRUSTEE AND JANET J. BREUNER, TRUSTEE OF THE RICHARD T. BREUNER TRUST DATED MAY 11, 1973 (LESSEE): Consider a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2716 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4130.1; RA# 26717) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 03 BROCKWAY SHORES (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4195.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 144 Chipmunk, near Brockway, Placer County; for an existing pier, 20 mooring buoys, and two marker buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 4195.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Evans)

C 04 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE-WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AND COUNTY OF YOLO (LESSEE): Consider application for an amendment to Lease No. PRC 5791.9, a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Sycamore Slough, near Knights Landing, Yolo County; to amend the construction start date for the reconstruction of the Knights Landing Boat Launch Facility and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the County of Yolo, State Clearinghouse No. 2017092057, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (PRC 5791.9; RA# 07517) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C 05 CHATEAUX DU LAC ASSOCIATION, INC. (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9079.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 709 Lakeview Avenue, near South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 9079.1) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Evans)
DRUM LODGE LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 7741 State Highway 89, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission, and one existing boat lift not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27151; RA# 18217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

FACES, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 2216.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6985 Garden Highway, near Nicolaus, Sutter County; for an existing commercial marina known as Verona Village River Resort. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 2216.1) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: S. Evans)

JOHN GILARDI DBA LAKEVILLE MARINA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Petaluma River, adjacent to 5688 Lakeville Highway, Petaluma, Sonoma County; for an existing commercial marina, known as Lakeville Marina. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6168.1; RA# 11616) (A 10; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

GARY W. GUITTARD AND HORRACE A. GUITTARD, JR. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3294.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5660 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse with boat lift, sundeck and stairs, two mooring buoys and one boat hoist. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3294.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Evans)

HOMEWOOD MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE); CHRISTOPHER H. LEGALLET AND MARIE LEGALLET, TRUSTEES OF THE LEGALLET TRUST DATED JULY 7, 2000 AND AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2009 (APPLICANT): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest; acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 9295.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use;
and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6956 Pomin Avenue, near Tahoma, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys and one freshwater intake pipeline. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9295.1; RA# 23217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 11 HAROLD BERNARD HUMMELT; ELIZABETH JANE ELLIOTT; STEPHEN HUMMELT, TRUSTEE OF THE HUMMELT FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 12, 2000; AND BERNARD PHILIP HUMMELT AND/OR BARBARA MARY HUMMELT, TRUSTEES, OR SUCCESSOR IN TRUST, UNDER THE BERNARD PHILIP HUMMELT TRUST, DATED OCTOBER 1, 1998, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 660 Olympic Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7948.1; RA# 27217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C 12 ARNOLD G. HUNN AND SANDRA A. HUNN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE HUNN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST, ESTABLISHED SEPTEMBER 2, 2005. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4782.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 10595 Bryne Ave, near Los Molinos, Tehama County; for an existing dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 4782.1) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: S. Evans)

C 13 ROBERT E. JAMES, III AND MINDY E. COOPER-SMITH, TRUSTEES, COOPER-SMITH/JAMES 2001 FAMILY TRUST, DATED 4/21/2001 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3230 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7690.1; RA# 28117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C 14 RONALD L. JENNY AND JANE E. JENNY, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE JENNY FAMILY TRUST DATED MARCH 4, 2002 (ASSIGNOR); THE LITTLE RED HOUSE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for the assignment, amendment of lease, and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4954.1, General Lease -
Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5360 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 4954.1; RA# 29217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C 15 DAVID MARK JONSSON AND JOYCE SPILMAN JONSSON, TRUSTEES OF THE JONSSON FAMILY TRUST OF APRIL 1, 1994 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 221 Drum Road, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7182.1; RA# 07417) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 16 JANICE V. LAWLER, WILLIAM H. LAWLER, III, JANICE KATHLEEN LA GRANDEUR, KENNETH PATRICK LAWLER, DIANE RUTH LAWLER AND GORDON BRUCE LAWLER (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4838 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier with a boat slip, boat lift, and two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3616.1, RA# 35314) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C 17 STEVEN L. MERRILL, TRUSTEE OF THE STEVEN L. MERRILL LIVING TRUST U/A/D 4/17/95, AND JACQUELINE MERRILL, TRUSTEE OF THE JACQUELINE MERRILL 2006 TRUST UNDER TRUST AGREEMENT DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2006, AS AMENDED (LESSOR); STEVEN L. MERRILL, TRUSTEE OF THE STEVEN L. MERRILL LIVING TRUST U/A/D 4/17/95, NATALIE ROLLHAUS BURTON, AND PHILIP EDWARD ROLLHAUS III (APPLICANT): Consider continuation of rent and an application for the amendment of Lease No. PRC 2666.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2000 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, boathouse, two mooring buoys, and one unattached piling. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 2666.1; RA# 28517) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C 18 JOHN F. MURRAY AND DIANE LAIN JOHNSON MURRAY, TRUSTEES OF THE MURRAY FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST, UNDER
AGREEMENT DATED MAY 27, 1988 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4750 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy.
CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8017.1; RA# 27917) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Feather River, adjacent to Sutter County Assessor's Parcel Number 10-270-007 and Yuba County Assessor's Parcel Number 018-040-003, near Yuba City, Sutter County and Marysville, Yuba County; for existing electric transmission lines and related facilities. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by California Public Utilities Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2017042051. (W 27155; RA# 13817) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

PAUL A. PEARSON AND PATRICIA L. PEARSON, TRUSTEES OF THE PAUL A. PEARSON AND PATRICIA L. PEARSON FAMILY TRUST U/T/A/ DATED 11/25/85 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4210 and 4220 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier and boathouse with boat lift previously authorized by the Commission, and for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5632.1; RA# 04517) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

CHRISTOPHER DAVID PLONA AND ANNE L. HOFFMAN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE PLONA HOFFMAN TRUST, UNDER AGREEMENT DATED 13 FEBRUARY 2007, AND WILLIAM M. JOHNSON, JR. (LESSEE); CHRISTOPHER DAVID PLONA AND ANNE L. HOFFMAN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE PLONA HOFFMAN TRUST, UNDER AGREEMENT DATED 13 FEBRUARY 2007, AND JOHN WALKER AND MARIAN B. WALKER (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 8217.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8632 and 8634 Brockway Vista Avenue, Kings Beach, Placer County; for an existing
joint-use pier, boat lift, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8217.1; RA# 03116) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C 22 PS TAHOE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; WILLIAM E. HAGLER AND JEAN E. HAGLER, AS TRUSTEES OF THE HAGLER TRUST DATED JANUARY 28, 1975, FOR THE BENEFIT OF WILLIAM E. HAGLER AND JEAN E. HAGLER, JACK B. HAGLER AND ELIZABETH KOHL HAGLER, AS TRUSTEES OF THE HAGLER FAMILY TRUST UAD AUGUST 21, 2007; RICHARD W. HAGLER; AND KATHRYN HAGLER TAYLOR (LESSEE/ASSIGNOR); TAYLOR FAMILY LAKE TAHOE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for the assignment, amendment of lease, and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 6120.1, General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8559 and 8565 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Tahoma, El Dorado County; for an existing joint-use pier and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 6120.1; RA# 26216) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C 23 PAMELA W. RAFANELLI, TRUSTEE OF THE PAMELA W. RAFANELLI REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 9, 2005 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3970 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27173; RA# 26217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 24 MICHAEL E. RANEY, TRUSTEE OF THE MICHAEL E. RANEY LIVING TRUST DATED DECEMBER 3, 2010 (LESSEE); DRUM LODGE LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest; acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 4266.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 7701 State Highway 89, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, marine rail, three freshwater intake pipelines, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4266.1; RA# 18117) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
25 ROCKY RIDGE PROPERTIES OWNERS ASSOCIATION (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3955.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 180 Sierra Vista Road, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat hoist, 31 mooring buoys, and two marker buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3955.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

26 ALFRED S. SULLIVAN, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ALLEN FAMILY TRUST, UNDER INSTRUMENT DATED DECEMBER 29, 1995, AS AMENDED NOVEMBER 9, 2009 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5472 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse, sundeck with stairs, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4183.1; RA# 20117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

27 JAMES M. TALCOTT AND PATRICIA DYKEMA, TRUSTEES OF THE JAMES M. TALCOTT REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED MARCH 16, 2006 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7214.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1576 Milton Road, near Napa, Napa County; for an existing dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7214.1) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: S. Evans)

28 TIDAL CANAL HOLDINGS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1998 Milton Road, near Napa, Napa County; for an existing boat ramp, boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7041.1; RA# 19217) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: S. Avila)

29 TOLOWA DEE-NI' NATION (LESSEE): Consider application for an amendment to Lease No. PRC 9408.1, a General Lease - Other, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, offshore of the Smith River, near Prince Island (Assessor's Parcel Number 102-010-12), Del Norte County; for the proposed water quality monitoring buoy project. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9408.1; RA# 25517) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
C 30  C. HENRY VEIT, TRUSTEE OF THE WARREN H. Sichel Testamentary Trust Dated August 11, 1993 (Lessee): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5122.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4190 Ferguson Avenue, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse with boat lift, sundeck with stairs, boat hoist, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5122.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C 31  DOUGLAS G. WHITE AND PAMELA S. WHITE (Applicant): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2155 Cascade Road, near South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8058.1; RA# 26317) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C 32  YUBA COUNTY WATER AGENCY (Applicant): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Yuba River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 005-290-005, 005-290-008, 006-170-122, and 006-180-027, near Browns Valley, Yuba County; for the placement and maintenance of woody material for the rehabilitation and restoration of steelhead, spring-run, and fall-run Chinook salmon rearing habitat. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the Yuba County Water Authority, State Clearinghouse No. 2018042077, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 27182; RA# 33717) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

BAY/DELTA REGION

C 33  CHRISTOPHER ALBINSON, TRUSTEE AND DEBRA ALBINSON, TRUSTEE OF THE ALBINSON FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2006 (Applicant): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential, Recreational, and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 18 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27095; RA# 35117) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)
INDEX CONTINUED

C 34 DAVID M. ANDERS AND MARK A. ZAMPELLA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4455 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for construction, use and maintenance of a boat dock and appurtenant facilities; and existing bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (W 27158; RA# 13517) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

C 35 DENISE ANTON, AS TRUSTEE OF THE DENISE ANTON 2018 TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 31 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27104; RA# 20817)(A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 36 ASSOCIATION OF SURFING PROFESSIONALS, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Other, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, near Pillar Point, San Mateo County; for a professional surfing contest known as Mavericks Challenge. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27060; RA# 15917) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

C 37 DANIEL BAKER (LESSEE); DARREN B. MOREHEAD AND COLEEN L. MOREHEAD, TRUSTEES OF THE DARREN B. AND COLEEN L. MOREHEAD REVOCABLE TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 4, 2007 (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. 8732.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use; and application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6735 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection previously authorized by the Commission, and a boat dock cover not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8732.1; RA# 19517) (A 7; S 6)(Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
WILLIAM BARKES AND WENDY BARKES, TRUSTEES OF THE BARKES FAMILY TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Petaluma River, adjacent to 110 Beattie Avenue, near Novato, Marin County; for an existing pier and boathouse. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4548.1; RA# 10817) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: D. Tutov)

LOLA LEE BAUMANN, AS TRUSTEE, OR HER SUCCESSORS AS TRUSTEES, OF THE LOLA LEE BAUMANN LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT DATED MAY 31, 2002 (LESSEE); PAUL WILLIAMS, TRUSTEE OF THE PAUL WILLIAMS FAMILY TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 9299.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 205 Edgewater Drive, city of Rio Vista, Solano County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9299.1; RA# 20517) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

BURLINGAME BAY ASSOCIATES, (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4687.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of filled and unfilled sovereign land located in San Francisco Bay, near Burlingame, San Mateo County; for a restaurant, parking lot, lagoon, footbridge, pedestrian path, landscaping, and shoreline protection. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4687.1) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

BURLINGAME BAY LLC (LESSEE/ASSIGNOR); EW-PG AIRPORT OWNER, LLC (ASSIGNEE); AND CLNC CREDIT 1, LLC (SECURED PARTY-LENDER): Consider application for the termination of an agreement and consent to encumbrancing of lease, assignment of lease, amendment of lease, and agreement and consent to encumbrancing of Lease No. PRC 6127.1, General Lease - Commercial Use, of filled and partially filled tidelands of San Francisco Bay, adjacent to the Sanchez Channel and Burlingame Lagoon, Burlingame, San Mateo County; for landscaping, public access, parking facilities, bicycle paths, and other improvements, including
existing landscaped segment of the San Francisco Bay Trail. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 6127.1; RA# 20614; RA# 34617) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

42 STEPHANIE J. BURNS AND JEFFREY DONALD FOOTE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 24 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27099; RA# 21817) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

43 CALIFORNIA LAND AND WATER COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7727.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Montezuma Slough, adjacent to APN 0046-200-010, near Suisun City, Solano County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities and covered shed. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7727.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: S. Evans)

44 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider approval of the 2018 Category 2 Sandy Beach Benchmark rate for sovereign land in the Mare Island Strait, near Vallejo, Solano County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 27176) (A 14; S 3) (Staff: N. Lavoie)

45 STEPHEN E. CLARK AND ELIZABETH A. CLARK, TRUSTEES OF THE STEPHEN E. CLARK AND ELIZABETH A. CLARK 2008 JOINT TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 29 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for portions of an existing residence, deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27102; RA# 21417) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

46 VICTOR DALLARI, JR. AND SUSAN BOYLES (LESSEE); ANTHONY JOSEPH VIVILACQUA (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 7027.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease
- Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2261 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7027.1; RA# 08917) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

C 47 MASSOUD DEHDASHTI, TRUSTEE OF THE MASSOUD DEHDASHTI TRUST DATED JANUARY 23, 2013 AND BETSY BABSON, TRUSTEE OF THE BETSY BABSON TRUST DATED JANUARY 23, 2013 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 28 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing dock and ramp. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27091; RA# 23817) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 48 ABEL JAIME DIAZ, MARGARITA TECUN RAMOS, AND SILVESTRE ALMICAR DIAZ CHAY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 10 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing residence, deck, storage, dock, ramp, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27089; RA# 25617) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 49 BRIAN DURWOOD (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 22 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck, dock, appurtenant facilities, and retaining wall. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27090; RA# 23617) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 50 GERRIT A. DYKE AND KRISTEN M. DYKE, TRUSTEES OF THE GERRIT A. DYKE AND KRISTEN MARIE DYKE REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 31, 2013 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 4137 Yacht Harbor Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County; for an existing boat dock and
walkway previously authorized by the Commission; and a boat lift, gangway, four pilings, electric and water utility outlets, and bulkhead protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4607.1; RA# 10517) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

C 51 RAYMOND DALE FRIBERG AND LINDA FRIBERG, TRUSTEES OF THE FRIBERG FAMILY 2016 REVOCABLE TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 27 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, docks, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27100; RA# 22217) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 52 JOEL F. GIVEN AND MOLLY M. GIVEN (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 21 Boardwalk One, Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck, docks, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7285.1; RA# 21517) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 53 ANTHONY HOWARD GOOD, TRUSTEE OF THE ANTHONY HOWARD GOOD 1999 REVOCABLE TRUST AND MARIA HELENA DEL LLANO GOOD, TRUSTEE OF THE MARIA HELENA DEL LLANO GOOD 1999 REVOCABLE TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential, Recreational, and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 23 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock, appurtenant facilities, and retaining wall. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27098; RA# 18617) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 54 JOHN GRANATIR, DBA BLUE WATERS KAYAKING COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Tomales Bay, adjacent to 19225 State Route 1, near Marshall, Marin County; for an existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA
Consideration: Negative Declaration, adopted by the California State Lands Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2012082074. (W 27166; RA# 25215) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: D. Tutov)

C 55 LEE HENRY GREENBERG, TRUSTEE OF THE LEE HENRY GREENBERG REVOCABLE TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 14 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing residence, deck, docks, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8031.1; RA# 24617) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 56 LEE HENRY GREENBERG, TRUSTEE OF THE LEE HENRY GREENBERG REVOCABLE TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 18 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8032.1; RA# 24717) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 57 HIRO R. KAGIYAMA (LESSEE/ASSIGNOR); THOMAS H. SCHROEDER AND KAREN M. SCHROEDER (APPLICANT/ASSIGNEE): Consider waiver of penalty and interest and an application for the assignment of Lease No. PRC 9309.1, General Lease - Recreational and Residential Use, of sovereign land located in Mare Island Strait, adjacent to 18 Sandy Beach Road, near Vallejo, Solano County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9309.1; RA# 20017) (A 14; S 3) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 58 KNOB HILL MINES INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3323.1, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in Lindsey Slough, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 0042-180-320 and 0042-180-110, near Rio Vista, Solano County; for an existing bridge and telecommunications cable in conduit. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3323.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: S. Evans)
CHRISTOPHER AHERN LEE, TRUSTEE OF THE FOX-LEE LIVING TRUST DATED JULY 10, 1998 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 6035.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 13760 Highway 160, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 6035.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: S. Evans)

ANDREW MAJIT AND ANSLEY MAJIT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 35 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck and dock. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27108; RA# 22617) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

JOSEPH D. MURRAY, AS TRUSTEE OF THE JOSEPH D. MURRAY AND MARTHA M. MURRAY REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 34 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, and dock. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27093; RA# 29517) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

GREGORY D. NACCO AND JULIANNE NACCO, TRUSTEES, NACCO 2009 TRUST DATED JUNE 2, 2009 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 30 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for portions of an existing residence, storage, and deck; dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27103; RA# 22517) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

MARTHA NEVAI (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6961 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank
C 64 DAVID R. PECK, TRUSTEE OF THE DAVID R. PECK TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 30 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence with walkway, dock, ramp, boat lift, and float. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27092; RA# 28317) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 65 LINDA K. RIKLI (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 32 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27105; RA# 22417) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 66 DONALD L. RISING, STEVEN A. RISING, AND DARLENE RISING KLUBER (ASSIGNOR); JADE M. ZATKOVIĆ AND STEFEN PETER ENGLISH (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for an assignment of Lease No. PRC 9308.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Residential Use, of sovereign land located in Mare Island Strait, adjacent to 40 Sandy Beach Road, near Vallejo, Solano County; for portion of an existing residence, deck, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9308.1; RA# 00218) (A 14; S 3) (Staff: D. Tutov)

C 67 RICHARD A. RUBENSTEIN AND CHRISTINA ROSSETTI MCArTHUR, TRUSTEES OF THE RUBENSTEIN/MCArTHUR FAMILY TRUST, DATED JANUARY 8, 2003 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 17 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of a deck, dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27094; RA# 22717) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C 68 DEANISE STONE, TRUSTEE OF THE DEANISE STONE TRUST U/A/D/ MAY 10, 2018 (APPLICANT): Consider application...
for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 20 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27096; RA# 22117) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C69 THOMAS G. STUBBS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 19 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a dock, ramp, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5321.1; RA# 21917) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C70 TIFFANY ANN THURMOND (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 24 Lucky Drive, near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing deck, pier, dock, appurtenant facilities, and retaining wall. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8049.1; RA# 19117) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

C71 PAUL B. TIETJEN & LANA T. TIETJEN, TRUSTEES OF THE PAUL B. TIETJEN AND LANA T. TIETJEN DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED AUGUST 6, 2002, AND HOWARD A. COOPER (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 555 and 575 Larkspur Plaza Drive, Larkspur, Marin County; for an existing boat dock, pier, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4674.1; RA# 25817) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: J. Holt)

C72 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (APPLICANT): Consider termination of a General Lease - Public Agency Use, Lease No. PRC 8041.9, and an application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land along Laguna Creek and Lost Slough within the Cosumnes River Preserve, located north and south of Twin Cities Road, near Galt, Sacramento County; for resource protection, conservation, and management of open space and
<table>
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<td>wildlife habitat. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8041.9; RA# 10417) (A 9; S 5) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 73 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Stanislaus River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 006-080-064, 006-080-089, and 006-080-088, near Oakdale, Stanislaus County; for the placement and maintenance of gravel and riparian vegetation for the rehabilitation and restoration of Chinook salmon and California Central Valley steelhead spawning and rearing habitat, excavation and reuse of perched floodplain sediments, gravel extraction for onsite use, and grading. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27174; RA# 25917) (A 12; S 8) (Staff: J. Holt)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 74 VINTAGE PETROLEUM, LLC (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8796.1, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, 2.46 acres, more or less, of sovereign land in the San Joaquin River, adjacent to Sherman and Bradford Islands, Sacramento and Contra Costa Counties; for a natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8796.1) (A 11; S 3, 7) (Staff: D. Tutov)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 75 KATHRYN WASHBURN, TRUSTEE OF THE KATHRYN WASHBURN REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1992 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 28 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing deck with boat hoist, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27101; RA# 20717) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 76 KATHRYN WASHBURN AS TRUSTEE OF THE KATHRYN WASHBURN REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1992 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Residential and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent to 33 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C 77  WALTER G. WESTER, TRUSTEE OF THE WALTER G. WESTER
TRUST DATED DECEMBER 30, 2014 (APPLICANT): Consider
application for a General Lease - Residential,
Recreational, and Protective Structure Use, of
sovereign land located in Corte Madera Creek, adjacent
to 22 Boardwalk One, near Larkspur, Marin County; for
a portion of an existing residence, deck, dock,
appurtenant facilities, and retaining wall. CEQA
Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27097; RA# 19617)
(A 10; S 2) (Staff: V. Caldwell)

CENTRAL/SOUTHERN REGION

C 78  6525 POINT LECHUZA DRIVE, LLC (ASSIGNOR); Jeffrey
Fish (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for the
assignment of Lease No. PRC 6470.1, a General Lease -
Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in
the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to 6525 Point Lechuza
Drive, Malibu, Los Angeles County; for an existing
rock revetment. CEQA Consideration: not a project.
(PRC 6470.1; RA#30617) (A 50; S 27) (Staff: L. Pino)

C 79  ANTHONY BARTOLI AND ROSEMARY BARTOLI AS TRUSTEES
OF THE "ANTHONY BARTOLI AND ROSEMARY BARTOLI 2001
TRUST" DATED APRIL 4, 2001 (LESSEE): Consider
amendment of Lease No. PRC 3562.1, a General Lease -
Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16452 Malden Circle,
Huntington Beach, Orange County; to remove and replace
a boat dock and access ramp. CEQA Consideration:
categorical exemption. (PRC 3562.1; RA# 26617) (A 72; S
34) (Staff: K. Connor)

C 80  BEACH EROSION AUTHORITY FOR CLEAN OCEANS AND
NOURISHMENT (BEACON) (APPLICANT): Consider application
for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign
land located in Goleta Bay, near Goleta, Santa Barbara
County; for monitoring and maintenance of 212 existing
granite anchor columns used for the Goleta Bay Kelp
Anchor Demonstration Project. CEQA Consideration:
categorical exemption. (PRC 9032.9; RA# 17017) (A 37;
S 19) (Staff: K. Connor)
JOSEPH R. BRAIN, TRUSTEE OF THE ROBERT E. BRAIN PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST DATED JUNE 30, 1993; JOSEPH R. BRAIN, TRUSTEE OF THE DIXIE L. BRAIN PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST DATED JULY 8, 1993 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Midway Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 3561 Courtside Circle, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27006; RA# 23517) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER (LESSEE): Consider application for an amendment to Lease No. PRC 9193.9, a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located on Owens Lake, Inyo County; for the installation and use of three additional piezometers. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9193.9; RA# 33117) (A 26; S 8) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

COLORADO RIVIERA COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Other, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, Blythe, Riverside County; for the repair, use, and maintenance of an existing earthen dam not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27149; RA# 10717) (A 56; S 28) (Staff: R. Collins)

RON DEQUILLETTES AND DEBRA DEQUILLETTES (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent to 1236 Beach Drive, Needles, San Bernardino County; for use and maintenance of an existing raised beach area with rock retaining wall and shade umbrella, concrete and rock stairway, water pipeline, and riprap bankline not previously authorized by the Commission; and the construction, use, and maintenance of an aluminum gangway with railing, and a floating walkway and boat dock. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemptions. (W 27139; RA# 08617) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: R. Collins)
DOROTHY VIRGINIA JOHNSON AND JULIE ANNE JOHNSON, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE THEODORE RICHARD JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST, TRUST A (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16672 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27023; RA# 23017) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

GLENN C. MCELROY AND PEARL MCELROY, TRUSTEES OF THE GLENN C. MCELROY FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 14, 1992 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16670 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27024; RA# 28817) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

MERCED COUNTY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Merced River, near Cressey, Merced County; for the existing Santa Fe Drive bridge. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4175.9; RA# 25117) (A 21; S 12) (Staff: L. Pino)

OCEAN SURF, LLC (LESSEE): Consider correction to prior authorization of revision of rent for Lease No. PRC 9067.1, a General Lease - Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Pacific Ocean, adjacent to 24132 Malibu Road, Malibu, Los Angeles County; for an existing rock revetment and staircase. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9067.1) (A 41; S 23) (Staff: L. Pino)

PHILLIPS 66 COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 1449.1, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, near Oceano Dunes State Vehicular Recreation Area, San Luis Obispo County; for two outfall pipelines. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 1449.1) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: S. Evans)
JAMES M. POLLOCK, THOMAS F. DELANEY, III AND WHITTIER TRUST COMPANY, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE REILLY LEGACY TRUST DATED MARCH 18, 2004 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16912 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27010; RA# 23717) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Other, of sovereign land located in Big Fisherman Cove, Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County; for an experimental kelp research project. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27172; RA# 26017) (A 70; S 26) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Goleta Slough watershed and Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Goleta Beach County Park, Goleta, Santa Barbara County. CEQA Consideration: Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR), adopted by the Santa Barbara County Flood Control District, State Clearinghouse No. 2000031092. (PRC 7763.9; RA# 19917) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

ALAN ZIMMER AND DIANA ZIMMER (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent to 1240 Beach Drive, Needles, San Bernardino County; for an existing raised planter area with concrete retaining wall, concrete stairway with rock retaining wall, and riprap bankline not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26822; RA# 18914) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: R. Collins)

SCHOOL LANDS
BROADWING COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF CIII COMMUNICATIONS, LLC (LESSEE):
Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8047.2, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned school land and indemnity school land located in portions of Section 16, Township 8 North, Range 17 East; Tract 39 of Sections 29 and 32, Township 12 North, Range 20 East; and Section 36, Township 10 North, Range 19 East, SBM, near Homer, Goffs, and Essex, San Bernardino County; for an existing fiber optic cable. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8047.2) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: J. Porter)

CALPORTLAND COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned school land located in Section 36, Township 10 North, Range 13 West, SBM, northwest of Rosamond, Kern County; for an existing unpaved access road not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27171; RA# 25217) (A 36; S 16) (Staff: J. Porter)

PACIFIC BELL (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4829.2, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned school land within a portion of Section 16, Township 34 North, Range 4 West, MDM, near O'Brien Mountain in the Shasta National Forest, Shasta County; for an existing aerial communication cable and wood poles. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 4829.2) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Evans)
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CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider delegating authority to the Executive Officer or her designee to accept donations and make deposits to the Martins Beach subaccount of the Kapiloff Land Bank Fund. CEQA consideration: not a project. (W 26830) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: C. Connor)

EXTERNA AFFAIRS

GRANTED LANDS
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A. LITIGATION.

The Commission may consider pending and possible litigation pursuant to the confidentiality of attorney-client communications and privileges provided under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e).
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Adjournment

Reporter's Certificate
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon. I call this meeting of the State Lands Commission to order.

All the representatives of the Commission are present. I am State Controller Betty Yee. And I'm joined today by Lieutenant Governor Staff Scientist Sloane Viola, Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez representing the Department of Finance.

For the benefit of those in the audience, the State Lands Commission manages State property interests in over 5 million acres of land, including mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility for the prevention of oil spills at marine oil terminals and off-shore oil platforms, and for preventing the introduction of marine invasive species into California's marine waters.

Today, we will hear requests and presentations involving the lands and resources within the Commission's jurisdiction. We recognize that the lands that we manage have been inhabited for thousands of years by California's native peoples, and take very seriously our trust relationship with these sovereign governments.

Today, our gratitude goes to the Tongva people who have inhabited the lands on which we sit, and have fished these coastal waters for countless generations.
I would like to start our meeting today by thanking the Port of Long Beach and also welcoming the Mayor of Long Beach, the Honorable Robert Garcia to come forward and to address the Commission.

Thank you so much, Mayor. Good afternoon.

LONG BEACH MAYOR GARCIA: How are we doing? Welcome to Long Beach. And, of course, to Controller Yee and to the entire Commission I just wanted to welcome everyone to Long Beach, and especially here to our Port headquarters. As Mayor of one of our State's larger cities, and as someone that's worked with the State Lands Commission as well through the role as Mayor, but also as a former Coastal Commissioner, I just wanted to share how much value we put into the work you all do, and how important it is for us to have that partnership with this Commission, particularly when it comes to issues around the Port.

And so I wanted to thank you for that, and know that you're always welcome here in Long Beach. As a community of about a half a million people, you're probably all aware that we're -- I always like to tell folks that are here in the city that we're roughly the same size as Atlanta, Georgia, bigger than Miami, St. Louis, and Cincinnati. This is a big California city like most of our big cities are. And with -- and with that
size comes real complex challenges that face us every single day around climate change, around our -- the way we use or natural so sources, and the way that the Port and trade affects or workforce, and affects the way we interact with our natural environment around us.

And so thank you for being here in Long Beach. I'll also note that this building that you're in today, this will probably be the last State Lands Commission at the this Port headquarters, because we're moving our Port headquarters and city hall to some new buildings in the downtown. And so these are -- we're winding down our last few meetings over the next few months at this headquarters.

So thank you for being here. To our Controller and to the whole Commission, thank you, and to the staff for all of your hard work. So welcome to Long Beach.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mayor Garcia, very much stretch. And I think there's a sense when we're here, and certainly with everything you're doing and with your leadership with the port, that you really got a sense of the global reach of California from this vantage point. So thank you for your leadership. We appreciate it.

Okay. Commissioners, unless there's any objections from any of you, I'd like to proceed with 30 minutes of public comment. I know we have a lot of
interest in the audience. We have a number of speakers that I'm going to just ask if you could limit your comments each to two minutes. We should be able to accommodate you within this next half hour. And if we're not able to accommodate you within this first half hour, we will allow for additional speakers at the end of our regular agenda items.

These are public comments by those of the audience who have signed in, and are willing -- are ready to make comments with respect to the Hollister Ranch issue. I'm going to call you up by threes, if I could, just so you're prepared to come up when the speaker before you is concluding.

And first up I have Mary Luévano, a Commissioner with the California Coastal Commission, followed by Mark Vargas also with the Coastal Commission, followed by Susan Jordan, Director of CCPN.

Good afternoon.

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSIONER LUÉVANO: Hi. Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Commissioners and thank you for the opportunity to come and speak to you today. I will do my best to keep it within the two minute time frame. I know we are strict on that at the Coastal Commission.

I'm here to share with you a letter that our
Chair, Dayna Bochco, recently transmitted to you and to
the rest of the Commission regarding the Hollister Ranch
Public Access Program.

Dear, Chairman -- Chairwoman Yee. One of
California's most exceptional core values as a state is
the notion that all residents, regardless of race,
religion, gender, socioeconomic status, or place of
residence share a fundamental equal right of access to the
coast.

Along with the State Coastal Conservancy, our two
agencies are on the leading edge of protecting and
implementing that vision for all people. Since their
inception, the California State Lands Commission and the
California Coastal Commission have shared a common mission
on behalf of the public's Constitutional right to access
navigable -- navigable waters and State tidelands
consistent with resource protection policies, and private
property rights. Together, we have brought our agencies
separate, but complimentary, statutory auth -- statutory
authorities to bear on several intractable coastal access
and resource challenges with great success.

In the last decade alone, our Commissions have
teamcd up to halt exorbitant beach access fees at Paradise
Cove in Malibu, phase out the country's last beach sand
mining operation in Monterey, and we are currently
collaborating on permanently protecting historic public access to Martins Beach in San Mateo County.

It is with these shared successes in mind that I write to bring your attention to a long-standing coastal access conundrum that has stymied the Coastal Commission since the earliest days of the Coastal Act. Hollister Ranch in Santa Barbara County is a 14,500-acre historic cattle ranch with eight and a half miles of spectacular secluded shoreline. And I should mention I actually just drove through there yesterday. So I can attest to all of that.

The property was subdivided in 1971 into a gated community comprised of 136 private parcels in commonly-owned open space. The Coastal Commission's initial attempts to open the Hollister Ranch shoreline to public access through the regulatory process, permit conditions that required access, easements were met with strong opposition by the residents in the form of multiple lawsuits. In an attempt to resolve these legal disputes and ensure timely public coastal access at Hollister Ranch, the legislature enacted Public Resources Code section 30610.3 in 1979, an section 30610.8 in 1982 giving the Commission and the State Coastal Conservancy explicit authority to adopt, fund, and implement a comprehensive access program at Hollister Ranch.
After three public hearings and subsequent revisions -- and I didn't -- I didn't add the public abscess document, although I'm happy to provide that as well. After three public hearings and subsequent revisions, the Coastal Commission adopted the final Hollister Ranch Public Access Program in 1982, which was to be implemented in three phases subject to ongoing monitoring and management. The plan includes bicycle, pedestrian and van access from Gaviota State Park, visitor serving improvements, such as bathrooms and picnic areas, general hours of operation, and daily caps on visitation between 100 and 500 persons per day.

However, the landowner's unwavering resistance to any public coastal access beyond a very small number of supervised educational and recreational field trips has effectively blocked the plan's implementation for more than 35 years.

Thus, the Coastal Act's vision of meaningful and timely public access at Hollister Ranch, and the legislature's explicit direction to that effect has never been realized.

Given our belief that constitutionality that -- sorry that constitutionally guaranteed access to State tidelands is not being provided at Hollister Ranch, as legislatively Mandated, the Coastal Commission
respectfully requests that the State Lands Commission
consider looking into this matter. We would appreciate
your agency's consideration of how best to utilize any and
all of your existing legal authority to advance the
implementation of the Commission's Hollister Ranch Public
Access Program prepared and adopted pursuant to Public
Resources Code section 30610.3(b).

This is a matter of high priority for my fellow
Commissioners, my staff, and myself. Commission staff
will gladly provide you with additional information you
may need, and we welcome the opportunity to discuss this
matter with you further.

In closing, let me take this opportunity to thank
you for your work on behalf of the people of California
and for supporting the strong collaborative working
relationship between our two agencies.

And it's signed sincerely Dayna Bochco, Chair,
California Coastal Commission.

So I appreciate the time. I know my fellow
Commissioner is going to say a few words as well.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much,
Commissioner Luévano. And please extend our thanks to
Chairwoman Bochco as well for just highlighting our
shared -- certainly shared values and shared mission with
respect to matters relating to public access.

Commissioner Vargas, you're next. And since Commissioner Luévano I felt it was important for her to put the letter in record, if you would be mindful of the remaining time.

MR. VARGAS: Two minutes is fine

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. And welcome. Great to see you.

MR. VARGAS: Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Mark Vargas and I'm a member of the California Coastal Commission. But I'm here today as a private citizen to urge you to take meaningful steps to ensure reasonable public access to the coastal zone at Hollister Ranch.

Hollister Ranch is the longest strip of publicly inaccessible coastline in California, a travesty that the Coastal Commission has been trying to address for decades. The Hollister Ranch Homeowners Association has been fighting public access through political or legal means since at least the 1980s.

The legislature demanded back then that, "A public access plan be provided within a timely manner", end quote. But here we are today with little to show for it. Our latest legal tussle with HROA over an offer to dedicate access to the YMCA Parcel has kept our attorneys
locked in a legal battle for years.

In a move to try and achieve some access, any access to Hollister Ranch, the Coastal Commission and the Coastal Conservancy agreed to settle this lawsuit with the HROA. But the details of that settlement show that in no way does this constitute reasonable public access.

Members of the public that wish to navigate a non-motorized vessel the two miles from Gaviota State Beach to the postage stamp sized parcel -- beach parcel that's open for visitors in Hollister are quite literally putting their lives in jeopardy.

This can't be what the legislature and the people of California intended when they asked for a public access plan within Hollister Ranch. I'm remorseful that the Coastal Commission and the Coastal Conservancy have signed an agreement that ties our agency's options for improving access at Hollister Ranch.

But the State Lands Commission can still save the day. You have the power to push forward with more meaningful access for the public to this pristine coastline. I urge you to do what you can today to take steps towards expanding public access to Hollister Ranch.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Commissioner Vargas.

Thank you for being here.
Next, let me call up Susan Jordan to be followed by Marc Chytilo and --

MS. JORDAN: I have a PowerPoint and I have Katherine Hamilton ceding time, but I'll try and get through it quickly.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

MS. JORDAN: I do that just so I'm not rushing at the end.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's okay. Hang on one second Susan. I just want to tee up who's following you. Marc Chytilo and Hanley Bruce -- or Hanley Brue.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MS. JORDAN: There we go. Okay.

Susan Jordan, Executive Director of the California Coastal Protection Network. I think it should four minutes just so you know, because I had ceded time.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: We'll allow that.

MS. JORDAN: Okay. It's not often that you get your own personal Coastal Act Section, but that's exactly what happened in the case of Hollister Ranch.

Is there a reason it's not moving forward?

I'll just say next slide.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yeah.

MS. JORDAN: Okay. Next slide.
MS. JORDAN: Okay. Back in the early 70s, the Sea Ranch subdivision was the poster child held up by the feisty Northern California coastal activists who objected to losing access to that 10-mile stretch of beach in Sonoma County.

They successfully turned anger into advocacy, got Proposition 20 passed in 1972, and then the Coastal Act in 1976. But it was clear by the late 70s that the legislature would need to address the claims by individual parcel owners in certain subdivisions that they could not provide offers to dedicate public access or OTDs, because they could not individually give easements over common roads. It was an untested legal assertion, but the legislature acted to resolve the problem in advance.

The legislature's solution was, what I called, the 30610.3, Coastal Act Handshake, we will let you proceed to build your house without providing an offer to dedicate as a condition of your individual coastal development permit, but in exchange you will pay a fee to fund a subdivision-wide access program. And that access will be provided expeditiously.

Next slide.

MS. JORDAN: Okay. This is what I call the
Hollister amendment, because Hollister is specifically called out. Hollister Ranch continued to resist, sued as they are prone to do anytime anyone says the word public access out loud. And in response, they got their own special Coastal Act section in 1982, 30610.8, which set their in-lieu fee at $5,000 per permit, let them proceed with building their houses and estates in exchange for providing subdivision-wide public access at Hollister Ranch. It's very clear, and it was supposed to happen expeditiously.

Thirty-six years later, during which time Hollister Ranch landowners have taken full advantage of this provision and built out their parcels, some with large estate homes, subterranean parking garages and the like, the public still has no access.

Let me repeat that. No public access.

Slide -- next slide, please.

--o0o--

MS. JORDAN: Okay. While you might ask whatever happened at Sea Ranch? While perhaps kicking and screaming on the way, and they're still tussling over the coastal trail alignment, Sea Ranch does provide public access. So let's take a look.

Members of the public may visit public beaches. There are public access trails. In fact, there are six
public access trails with adjacent parking areas with bathrooms. There are cliffside staircases that lead to the secluded beaches with sandy coves. Members of the public can rent private homes in Sea Ranch.

This is all on Sea Ranch's own website. They welcome the public.

Next slide.

--o0o--

MS. JORDAN: Well, what happened at Hollister Ranch?

The public is barred from visiting public beaches. There are no public access trails provided. There are no public parking areas or restrooms. No public staircases or trails to provide access to the beach. No members of the public can rent a private house in Hollister Ranch.

These differences couldn't be more stark. One complied with the law and one did not.

Okay. Next slide.

--o0o--

MS. JORDAN: Please act in the affirmative to support the Coastal Commission's request that you explore what options you may have within your legal authority to finally open up public access at Hollister as is required by law, has been required for 36 years at a minimum, and
is outlined in the Commission's approved 1982 Hollister Ranch Public Access Plan.

And when considering what to do, just remember that if each and every one of you were to drive to Hollister Ranch tomorrow, you would be turned away at the guard gate like everybody else.

So should anyone need directions, I am happy to provide them. You take the 101 to Gaviota turnout. You drive up the road to the right to the Hollister Ranch gate house. You will be refused access by a guard and directed to turn around and leave. Start, and then you start over again.

To me, it's like almost like Ground Hog Day. I just feel that the State really must resolve this egregious situation.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Jordan. Thank you for being here.

Mr. Chytilo.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MR. CHYTILO: Madam Chair. I'm Marc Chytilo. There are two people that have offered to donate time to me.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.
MR. CHYTILO: And I will endeavor to keep my presentation as short as I can.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right. Thank you.

MR. CHYTILO: Thank you.

I am the general counsel of a non-governmental organization, the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, and also attorney to the Gaviota Coast Trail Alliance.

And I wanted to take this opportunity to give you a little bit of background about the Gaviota Coast, and then drill down on some of the Hollister Ranch issues that are so important to all of us.

The Gaviota Coast Conservancy's mission is to preserve the rural character of the Gaviota coast for present and future generations. And the Gaviota Coastal Trail Alliance's purposes are to establish a coastal trail between two parks on the Gaviota coast, Gaviota State Park and Jalama -- County Jalama Park.

So this map -- I don't know if I can highlight things. But this is a map of the Santa Barbara County. And it shows the Gaviota coast. Let's see if I can -- no, I can't highlight anything. So the Gaviota coast is in the lower left corner in Point Conception most significantly. Can you see from this aerial photo that it's a fabulous area, largely undeveloped. It has extraordinary agricultural, cultural, biological
recreational, and visual qualifications and characteristics. It's considered a biological hotspot. One of the top biological hotspots on the globe.

This is an aerial photo looking west out to Point Conception across Hollister Ranch.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: This is a blow-up of Hollister Ranch and in the right-hand side of the image you can see a green area which is the Gaviota State Park. And on the left is the Jalama County Beach Park, and between is Hollister Ranch. The road goes up -- Highway 101 goes up through Gaviota State Park. And the line along the coast there is the railroad, so there actually is no public roadway access across the property.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: This is a page out of the Coastal Commission's status report on coastal access ways. And it identifies Hollister Ranch as Santa Barbara's number one priority for establishing public access.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: And that's based on the California Constitution, which makes it clear that you as the trustees for our State sovereign lands have an obligation to work with us and to ensure that the public is not excluded from their exercise of a right of way to public
waters, including tidal lands. And the goal is that access to navigable waterways shall always be attainable for people of this State. And Hollister's actions have been to block access and prevent access to these sovereign lands, so that they could be enjoyed exclusively pretty much by the folks that live and own out at Hollister Ranch.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILLO: And, of course, the Public Resources section in the Coastal Act, which acts, as Ms. Jordan referenced, directs for, as expeditious as practicable, the attainment of the coastal trail and coastal access at Hollister Ranch.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILLO: Our goal is to establish the coastal trail, which is something the legislature has directed and the Conservancy has written about.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILLO: Closer to home in Santa Barbara County, we adopted a Gaviota plan, which identify's trail alignments through Hollister Ranch. It is not for want of trying --

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILLO: -- that we've not been able to get
to access the Hollister Ranch. This is the Conservancy or the Commission's 1981 adopted coastal access program, which we think needs to be the backbone and foundation of any efforts to move forward at accomplishing access through Hollister Ranch.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: Back in 2003, the National Parks Service did a study of the feasibility of creating a national seashore at Gaviota coast. And they determined that the resources were sufficient to be able to qualify it. But it was landowner opposition led by Hollister Ranch that prevent this from happening.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: The Department of Interior explained their justification, leading us to the moment that we're at right now.

This is an editorial from the New York Times, What if You Owned a Beach But You Weren't Allowed The Get To It? That's exactly what we have here.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: The Los Angeles Times took an editorial position earlier this month that the State should not have settled. But more specifically, what can we do now directing us to move forward?

--o0o--
MR. CHYTILO: This is an image of trail -- the coastal trail at Sea Ranch, which establishes clearly that there is a reasonable solution that can work out and accommodate and reconcile the interests of property owners and the public to access our precious coast.

--o0o--

MR. CHYTILO: So we join with the other organizations that you're going to hear for in supporting the Coastal Commission's request that the Lands Commission engage in this process. Give direction to staff to participate as fully as possible to be able to accomplish access at Hollister Ranch as expeditiously as practicable, both for our current generation and for future generations as well.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Chytilo. Would you please identify the two speakers who ceded their time.

MR. CHYTILO: There is Staley Prom and Hailey.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. Thank you very much. Thank you.

Next up we have Otis Calet, Santa Barbara Trails, followed by Katiana Johnson with Natural Resources Defense Counsel, and Angela D'Arcy.

MR. CALET: Good afternoon, Chair and Commissioners. My name is Otis Calet. I'm President of
the Santa Barbara County Trails Council.

The Coastal Commission has sent you a request, and I've come down to help emphasize the great importance of that request. The Commission is tasked with making the California coast accessible to the people, and specifically with the creation of the California coastal trail.

With Hollister Ranch, eight and a half mile real estate development on the Santa Barbara coast, they have gotten into a jam. Any of you who have taken the train from Gaviota passed Point Conception and Vandenberg Air Force Base, you know the beautiful spectacular and singularly unique area.

My dream, and my assumption had always been, until sort of recently, that there will always -- there will be a California coastal trail along the bluffs of that 50-mile stretch.

There are three large parcels, Vandenberg Air Force Base, 32 miles; Dangermond Preserve, eight and a half miles, and Hollister Ranch, eight and a half miles. Vandenberg is open to military people and special programs. For example, I've ridden across that whole 32 miles in a reenactment of the De Anza Expedition. We had lunch at one of the original camp sites.

Dangermond was recently acquired by the Nature
Conservancy, and will in the future, I am confident, allow various means for public visitation. That leaves Hollister, which for decades has steadfastly and very successfully blocked the public.

I urge you to take to heart the Coastal Commission's request, and have a serious look at how we can get past this blockade. I ask this on behalf of the people of California.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Calet.

Ms. Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Katiana Johnson. And I'm here on behalf of NRDC and our thousands of members and supporters in Southern California who care about the cost. NRDC supports the Coastal Commission's request for the State Lands Commission to explore all options to open equitable, public access at Hollister Ranch.

Beach access is a universal right and necessary for the public to enjoy our pristine coastline. NRDC's commitment to open public spaces is what brings us here today in support of the Coastal Commission's August 16th letter requesting your agency's consideration of how best to utilize all of your existing legal authority to advance the implementation of the Commission's Hollister Public --
Hollister Ranch Public Access Program.

Thank you for your time today and all of your work on behalf of Californians.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Johnson.

Ms. D'Arcy. Angela D'Arcy.

MS. MOONEY D'ARCY: I won't be speaking on this issue today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Next, we have Jennifer Savage followed by Graham Hamilton and David Grubb.

MS. SAVAGE: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

Jennifer Savage, California Policy Manager for the Surfrider Foundation. Hollister Ranch has long symbolized what the California Coastal Act was created to prevent, the de facto privatization of California's coast by those fortunate enough to own a piece of it.

The desire to keep others out of what by law belongs to the public is a common theme up and down the coast, but nowhere has that desire to exclude been so successful as at Hollister Ranch.

The recent settlement proposed by the State lacked adequate public notice and lacks adequate public access. Surfrider Foundation supports the California Coastal Commission's request that the State Lands Commission explore all possible options in order to
improve access at Hollister and get something better for
the State of California and its citizens.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Madam Chair,
Commissioners.

My name is Graham Hamilton. And I am the Los
Angeles Chapter Coordinator for the Surfrider Foundation.
Since 1976, beach access has been a fundamental right of
all Californians, not merely of those who can afford to
purchase large swaths of coastal property. But for nearly
40 years in a consistent flagrant violation of the Coastal
Act, landowners at Hollister Ranch in Santa Barbara County
have kept an eight and a half mile, 14,000 plus acre
stretch of coastline off limits to everyday Californians.

The deal that was recently struck behind closed
doors between the State and Hollister Ranch property
owners is outrageous, not merely because it was settled
without public input, but because of the insulting and
downright dangerous provisions it provided for ordinary
Californians to access the beach.

Under the settlement, anyone that wanted to visit
Hollister Ranch would have to do so by sea, which would
require those without a boat to brave daunting -- a
daunting two-mile paddle through sharky, temperamental
waters. If this settlement becomes law and beachgoers are forced to access the coast by sea, it will only be a matter of time before someone dies.

I understand some of the concerns that the property owners have, that opening Hollister Ranch to the public will only invite the spoiling of a relatively pristine coastline. But access doesn't have to conflict with conservation. Carefully considered, established, and managed access can be a gateway to conservation. Those who experience the beauty and wonder of places like Hollister Ranch who leave with a sense of its environmental and cultural significance will be more inclined to protect it, along with all of the rest of our coastal gems from Imperial Beach to Point St. George.

I encourage this Commission to exercise its full authority to explore all options to open up reasonable and sensitive land-based beach access at Hollister Ranch.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Hamilton. Our last two speakers for this segment David Grubb and Emma Freeman.

MR. GRUBB: Good afternoon Chair Yee and Commissioners. I am David Grubb speaking for Sierra Club California. Sierra Club California is the legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of Sierra Club's 13 local chapters.
in California representing more than 400,000 members and supporters in the State.

We strongly support the request submitted to this Commission by the California Coastal Commission in their letter of August 16th, 2018. Sierra Club California believes that access to State tidelands is not being provided at Hollister Ranch as required by law. We respectfully request that the State Lands Commission consider how best to utilize any and all of your existing legal authority to advance a public access program at Hollister Ranch.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Mr. Grubb.

MS. FREEMAN: Good afternoon. Thank you for this opportunity to speak. My name is Emma Freeman. I'm speaking on behalf of myself but I'm a member of the Surfrider Foundation and the Sierra Club. When I was grad student in environmental science and management at the University of California, Santa Barbara, I served as the Tide Pool Docent at Hollister Ranch. And in that role, I witnessed firsthand both the incredible beauty of this area, and the incredible impact that that experience can have on children of experiencing all of the natural resources there.

I believe that that experience leads people to
care about our natural resources, and go on to protect them. And it is all Californians' right to experience that and not restrict it just to wealthy people who can afford.

Therefore, I urge the Commission to do everything in your power, as requested by the California Coastal Commission, to secure the public's Constitutional right to coastal access at Hollister Ranch.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Ms. Freeman.

Ms. Lucchesi, that ends our public comment for that segment. Perhaps, we should just provide some input with respect to what we've heard --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: -- at this juncture. Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, of course, I'm happy to. So upon receiving the letter from the Coastal Commission on August 16th, staff has started to look into and review our current authorities and jurisdictions, and explore all options. So we are starting that process now. And, in fact, myself and a couple members of your staff -- the Commission staff went and experienced the road to Hollister Ranch yesterday, and walked around Gaviota State Park.
So we're getting ourselves familiar beyond just reading the newspaper articles and talking with various folks that are interested in this issue. And so if there are no objections from the Commission, we will continue to look into that, and bring back options to the Commission at a later date.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lucchesi. Comments at this point, Commissioners?

Okay. And I can assure members of the audience that we will be working closely with State Lands staff, Deputy Controller Baker, who is my designee on the Coastal Commission will continue to try to receive all input with respect to this effort that we do take Chairman Bochco's request and the Commission -- the Coastal Commission's request very seriously. And the work is underway to at least explore the legal authorities that we do have and more to come, more to come.

Thank you very much.

Okay. Next item of business will be the adoption of the minutes from the Commission's June 21st, 2018 meeting. Is there a motion to approve the minutes?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Move approval.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Motion by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.
ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Second.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Seconded by Ms. Viola.
Without objection, such will be the order, the minutes are approved.

Next order of business is the Executive Officer's report, and Ms. Lucchesi.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Thank you very much. I do have a number of items I want to update the Commission on.

First, on July 12th and 13th, Commission staff in coordination with Sacred Places Institute and the tribal co-chair of the West Coast Regional Planning Body held a two-day event in San Diego entitled Strategic Coastal Planning and Organizing for California Native Nations. We had over 30 participants from tribal communities, including youth, scholars, elders, and council chairs.

This summit centered around acknowledging and elevating the voices of Californians -- California's indigenous communities around coastal planning, and seeking ways to integrate tribal expertise into our organizational culture and practices.

On day one, we discussed barriers to participation and planning activities facing native communities, like lack of capacity within their communities to respond to short agency deadlines, as well
as a lack of meaningful inclusion and respect at early stages of planning.

On day two, we listened to the environmental justice concerns facing their communities, including displacement from ancestral lands, lack of safe access to marine areas to conduct harvesting and gathering activities, and lack of protected spaces for reburial of ancestral remains.

We believe the summit was a positive step for augmenting our trust relationship with tribes and tribal governments. And staff is committed to continued dialogue and mutual learning with our tribal partners to more fully integrate their needs and unique knowledge into our activities and decision making. The participants were particularly grateful for and appreciative of Chairwoman Yee's attendance and the sincerity with which she engaged in the discussion. So I really want to express our gratitude for you taking the time to spend the day with us at that summit.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Absolutely.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Next, connected to that, an update on our San Diego Ocean Planning Partnership. We will be releasing its draft preliminary assessment report for public comment and review in September. We will post the report to our State Lands
Commission website, as well as to the project website, which is www.sdoceanplanning.org. This report reflects the input we've gathered from stakeholders about their interests in ocean space offshore of San Diego, and their ocean planning needs. We are continuing to engage with stakeholders through one-on-one meetings and will also be holding public open houses in San Diego in September and October to present our current findings from the report and solicit additional input from coastal communities throughout the county.

The Port of San Diego staff will travel to Sacramento early next week to help us prepare the draft report for publication and Commission review.

I want to give some project updates for the Commission on projects that the Commission is actually facilitating. The first is the removal of the Dennett Dam which is located in the Tuolumne River. We're excited to report that the Dennett Dam Removal Project is currently underway.

As background, the Dennett Dam is a significant threat to human health and safety. It is responsible for the deaths of two children and one adult. The Commission received $500,000 in its budget over the past couple years to partner with the Tuolumne River Preservation Trust to remove the dam. Water is currently being diverted to
facilitate the demolition and removal of the dam. And the contractor is scheduled to excavate and extract the sheet piles from the area within this week.

And we look forward to presenting you, the Commission, on the full removal at our next meeting with pictures and a formal staff report on that.

Next, I'd like to update the Commission on our efforts involving the plugging and abandonment of the oil and gas wells at Platform Holly and at Piers 421. Between June 24th and August 13th of this year, ExxonMobil conducted a wireline survey of 12 wells, operating 12 hours per day, seven days a week. We learned a lot about the condition and internal dynamics of the wells. And of the wells surveyed, only three will be candidates for what we call a rigless abandonment process, using specific equipment to place cement plugs across all the production zones.

This is -- that being -- excuse me. During the wireline work, two of the suspended wells will be used previously for water disposal and gas injection were tested according to DOGGR's requirements. And they were on site during the pressure test of the wells and approved the tests.

So we assume that these tests will be certified for water and gas disposal as needed and required for the
plugging and abandonment operations.

Parker Drilling Company has been selected by ExxonMobil as the service company to repair, replace, and refurbish the current rig on the platform to be brought back to operational standards for the P&A work. And they will begin their work next Monday and take six weeks to lay the basis for the full repair and replacement work.

In between that survey and the rig repair our contractor will be replacing the six temporary offices on the platform with new buildings now being fabricated and which will start arriving sometime after Labor Day.

This is all the prep work essentially that we have to do to get the platform ready, and all the equipment ready to actually start going in and plugging and abandoning the wells. And so if everything goes right, and weather permitting, we are anticipating that we will start the abandonment of these 30 wells beginning in April of next year.

And the time frame for that completion is likely to be between 30 and 60 months. Just -- it's about -- it depends on the complexity of the wells, as we get into them. So I think originally we had assumed that the timeline would be about 18 to 30. We're trying to anticipate longer with the hopes that we get done sooner.

(Laughter.)
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We are -- as it relates to Piers 421, which are the two shore zone piers that have two wells each. And those wells date back to the 1940's. One was a water disposal well and one was a production well. Next week, we will actually be cleaning out some fluids, mainly water, from the well cellars to do an investigation of the wellheads. And in addition next month, we'll do some road maintenance activities, all in preparation to hopefully start the well abandonment on those two shore zone piers in October.

We're hoping that everything -- the stars align that we can start in October so that we beat the storm season, and we won't need to come back at a later date and repair the road to go back out to those wells and finish the plugging and abandonment process.

As you know, while we don't own the underlying land at the Ellwood Onshore Facility, the Commission and the State stepped up and is managing that facility on behalf of the estate at this point to ensure health and safety is protected.

And so we are starting to do some work at the Ellwood Onshore Facility to ensure that it's continued to be maintained, and it will be ready to be used for the plugging and abandonment work. It is a necessary element as part of the plugging and abandoning the 32 wells that
are offshore. So we are continuing to manage that facility.

And finally, an update on Rincon Island and our decommissioning efforts there. We awarded a contract with DrilTek to permanently plug and abandon the approximately 75 wells across the various former leases there. That contract started July 1st, and Commission staff and DrilTek are in the process of developing a well abandonment plan in coordination with DOGGR.

We anticipate that the plugging and abandonment work will commence onshore this year with the total work to last into mid-2021. We are working on planning community meetings sometime in September or early October to continue to brief the community and the county on our efforts and answer any questions that they may have.

And more information about that outreach over the next couple months will be posted on our website and we'll make sure to cast the net wide on that.

And just a couple of last things. I did want to call out consent items 103 and 105 on the agenda. They aren't being removed from the agenda, but I did want to call those out, because those were specifically in response to some budget appropriations that we received in this last budget approved by the legislature and signed by the Governor relating to a Lake Tahoe rent methodology.
study that we will be hiring a consultant to help us with, as well as we received $500,000 in our budget this year to help staff analyze and assess the reports coming in from our grantees pursuant to AB 691 dealing with sea level rise preparedness and climate change adaptation.

So those are items where we're seeking authority to go out to retain a consultant pursuant to those budget augmentations that we're so thankful for.

And finally, I do -- I can't leave this meeting without plugging our Prevention First Conference, which is going to be held September 25th and 26th here in Long Beach. This year marks the 13th biennial Prevention First symposium hosted by the State Lands Commission since the symposium's inception in 1994.

It has been 27 years since the groundbreaking Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act bolstered California's oil spill prevention efforts by triggering the creation of the Commission's Marine Environmental Protection Division and Department of Fish and Wildlife's Office of Spill Prevention and Response.

The act also enabled the Commission's Minerals Resource Management Division to enhance its oil spill prevention capabilities at offshore platforms and offshore facilities. There have been many changes in technology and governance over the past two years, but the goals of
this symposium and the technology exhibition remain the same, to provide participants with the most relevant and valuable pollution prevention information and resources to continue to protect California's marine waters.

And we're very thankful that the Chair has agreed to be our keynote speaker for that symposium. And -- and we're looking forward to a great time over those two days.

So -- and that concludes my report.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Lucchesi, and thank you to the staff. It's a full plate that is exciting at the same time, and to be able to secure some of the resources that have been necessary to keep the work moving.

I also just wanted to add my thanks to the tribal communities who did participate in the summit. And going forward, it was very clear to me that there's just very little understanding and, I guess, knowledge, if you will, of the history of our native peoples in the state. And I hope is that, Ms. Lucchesi, when we open up our future meetings that we can feature some time and some narrative from the local native peoples of the jurisdictions where we're meeting, so that we can do our part in enhancing the public education around their experience and history.

Thank you.
Next, members, we have the adoption of the consent calendar before us. And let me just ask my fellow Commissioners, are there any items that you would like to see removed?

Ms. Lucchesi mentioned Items 104 -- 103 and 104, right?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, but I don't want to remove those. I just wanted to highlight them.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Oh, to highlight them. Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: But I do have a number I need to remove, when you're ready.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Please do. Okay.

Commissioners, any items you wished removed?

Okay. Ms. Lucchesi.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: All right. Great. Thank you. Consent items C 04, C 20, C 36, C 40, C 48, and C 55 I would like to remove from the agenda and we'll bring those items back at a latter Commission meeting.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I would also like to -- we do have public comment slips for consent Item 107.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I would like to move that to the regular agenda, please.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And if I just -- if I may, we do have -- I pulled C 36 from the --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's right. We have speaker for that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- agenda altogether --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- because the applicant withdrew their application from the Commission, so there's actually nothing for the Commission to act on at this moment in time. But we do have one speaker's slip --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: We do.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- for that item. And so it's up to the Commission -- to the Chair, your discretion --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- on whether you would like to hear that public comment now or wait till the public comment period at the end.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Let me -- since there's one speaker, let me go ahead and accommodate that. But why don't we adopt the consent calendar with the noted items removed before we proceed.
So we have six items that have been removed from the consent calendar. Is there a motion on the remainder?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Move approval of the rest of the consent agenda.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez to move the remainder of the consent agenda.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Seconded by Commissioner Viola. Without objection, the consent calendar is adopted.

And then let me, at this time, call up Sabrina Brennan who wishes to speak on Item C 36 that was just removed from the consent calendar.

MS. BRENNAN: Yes. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Sabrina Brennan. I'm a harbor commissioner in San Mateo County. However, I'm speaking today as a co-founder of the Committee for Equity in Women's Surfing.

And I wanted to mention that we're absolutely thrilled that surfing has become California's iconic state sport. I'm speaking today regarding the Mavericks surf competition and Item 36, which has been pulled.

We would like to thank the State Lands Commission staff for giving consideration to our concerns about
gender-based discrimination. We are especially appreciative of the lease terms included in the staff report. And I'd like to read those lease terms.

"Lease shall ensure that winners in the women's division and the men's division of the Mavericks challenge receive equal compensation for each category. As such, the first place winner, second place winner, and any other participant level that receives prize money in the men's division shall be -- shall be awarded identical sums of prize money, other prizes, goods, or other compensation as the first place winner, second place winner, and any other participant level that receives prize money in the women's division".

In other words, women would receive equal prize money and equal pay for big wave surfing at Mavericks.

I'll just continue briefly. "Leaser authorizes this event with the understanding that the Mavericks Challenge will include, one, at least ten female competitors in the women's division; two, multiple heats in the women's division; three, equal provision of any and all benefits including logistical support to competitors in both the women's division and the men's division; and four, equal opportunity and access for members of the media, regardless of gender". We really appreciate those terms and hope to see those come back when this item comes
back before you.

   It's our understanding that the WSL is claiming they need to raise additional funds to provide for the equal prize money for both the women's and men's big wave divisions. We see this as a red flag, and we urge the State Lands Commission to consider requiring financials in support of proof that the entity has the financial resources to put on the Mavericks event. And the reason I mention that is because in the past, which is also described in your staff report, there have been issues with running this event. And there was a bankruptcy by a previous organizer, which is why women athletes have never been able to compete in the Mavericks surf competition to date.

   So we're really excited that -- you know, we feel like we're getting close. It's going to happen. It hasn't happened yet, and we'd like to make sure that the women athletes get equal pay for taking the same level of risk, riding the same waves on the same day as the male athletes.

   And we'd also like to say that we support public access at Hollister Ranch. Thank you.

   CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Ms. Brennan.

   Okay. Any comments on -- any other comments on
that item?

Thank you. Next, we will move to our regular calendar. And I believe that Item 112 is an informational report on our efforts to overall the Commission's Environmental Justice Policy. And we have a staff presentation on that.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's correct.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: Thank you, Chairwoman Yee and Commissioners. I'm pleased to give you an update on where we are with the development of our Environmental Justice Policy.

Earlier this month, we released a revised draft policy comment deadline of about 45 days, and also with that a roadmap for implementing the plan. The draft sets out vision -- a proposed vision and objectives for the Commission.

And also I wanted to mention that last week that California Coastal Commission also released their draft Environmental Justice Policy, and there's a lot of commonalities between the two drafts. You'll see that meaningful public engagement, public access, respecting tribal concerns, process, accountability, and transparency are also prioritized in both agency's drafts.

One area I wanted to point out where I think we're going to reshape our draft policy a little bit is on
climate change, and climate vulnerability, because we've been hearing that maybe we overlooked that a little bit in the draft, so we want -- we want to correct that.

Also, this past Tuesday, we held an environmental justice community roundtable in Contra Costa County, and we heard from community members in those areas, and they shared their concerns, and perspectives. And we received a lot of honest feedback that we're going to be thinking hard about, and working on and thinking of ways that we can reflect their concerns in our draft policy.

There were a lot of questions about the role that the Commission's leases have in transporting oil, and about the health concerns in that -- in particular areas of Contra Costa County.

We've also been trying to just convey that one of our goals is to develop genuine relationships with environmental justice communities. So when there's an upcoming agenda item that's of interest to a particular community, we've got an established relationship, and they can find out what's on the agenda and we can hear concerns early.

We'll be hosting another roundtable in Long Beach later this year, in September or October, together with East Yards for Community Justice, and the California Coastal Commission.
So I'm happy to answer any questions. I think we have a few staff -- or a few members of the Environmental Justice Working Group that's been advising the Commission here to also give feedback on the revised draft policy. So I'll leave it at that, and happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Great. Thank you, Sheri, very much.

Any comments by Commissioners at this point?

Okay. If not, I think I'd like to call up the speakers who have signed in on this item. Tom Raftican, Paloma Aguirre, and Jason Giffen.

MR. RAFTICAN: Madam Chairman, members of the Commission, my name is Tom Raftican with The Sportfishing Conservancy. Thank you for looking at environmental justice.

And I want to put a special thanks out to Ms. Pemberton and the Commission for taking a good look and getting out really good public outreach on this.

We have some questions about definitions of community. And in the interests of time, we'll submit those in writing. But thanks very much we appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Raftican.

Paloma, I believe.

MS. AGUIRRE: Hi. So we are prepared to speak
to you together, if that's all right.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sure.

MS. AGUIRRE: All right. Well, thank you for the invitation to speak before you today.

My name is Paloma Aguirre. I am the coastal and marine director at WILDCOAST. WILDCOAST is an international conservation team that works to conserve coastal and marine ecosystems in wildlife. We're based in Imperial Beach. And our community is disproportionately affected by the transboundary pollution that affects the region related to the Tijuana River watershed. We have great impacts from the cross-border of trash, waste, tires, and especially sewage.

MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Taylor Thomas. I'm with East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice. We're based in in ancestral Tongva lands in East L.A., Commerce, South East L.A., and Long Beach. And we're a community-based organization that works to build community power by fighting environmental racism. We're overburdened by pollution in our communities. We're heavily exposed to freight, traffic, port pollution, refinery pollution, and industrial pollution. So I'm happy to be here to be talking about the recommendations that we've made.

MS. MOONEY D'ARCY: Hi, I'm Angela Mooney D'Arcy.
I'm from the Acjachemen Nation. Our ancestral territory is in what's now known as Orange County. I just want to acknowledge that we're on Tongva, the home lands, and thank the Commission for acknowledging that as well.

I'm the Executive Director of founder of the Sacred Places Institute for Indigenous Peoples. Our mission is to build the capacity of native nations and indigenous peoples to protect sacred lands, waters and cultures. It's been a real honor to be here working with these women and other folks in this work group. And I look forward to sharing a little bit with you as well today.

MS. AGUIRRE: So the Environmental Justice Working Group was convened earlier this year to develop recommendations for you for the State Lands Commission as you update your environmental justice policy. The Environmental Justice Working Group is formed by eight organizations, including the East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice who's present today, Sacred Places Institute and WILDCOAST.

We've been working for the last six months to develop EJ recommendations, and we have written a comment letter on the second draft that we will -- we submitted to you today, and we will be sharing some of those highlights right now.
So we just want to highlight the critical need for EJ representation. We want to make sure that EJ communities have decision-making power, that the Commission is advised by EJ experts, and that there is EJ competence within the Commission.

As far as decision-making power, people and communities disproportionately affected by pollution and inequity should have the right to decide what is best for their families and communities. Therefore, we like the idea of cultivating EJ champions throughout the Commission. We urge you to meet this goal by seeking people who actually come from EJ communities. We also recommend having EJ champions who have decision-making power, whether it's as a Commissioner or a high level staff.

We also appreciate the Commission's idea for an advisory group. We believe that direct partnerships between EJ organizations and leaders foster a cultural of understanding.

It is important to offer enough resources in staff, so that this partnership can succeed. We also recommend that this advisory group be informed by the impacted community related to whatever project is being considered, and that this work be done with that community to identify and mitigate its impacts, so that you can then
include this in your project analyses.

We also strongly recommend the Commission develop EJ competence. We recommend staffing EJ and tribal positions with people who actually have background and experience in environmental justice issues for these positions. We believe this will greatly improve the Commission's competence on EJ issues.

And finally, we recommend that the Commission hire an external evaluator and consultants that have experience working with the EJ movement to evaluate the agency's progress along implementation metrics, and that this external evaluation allows for more transparency and an honest mark on progress.

MS. MOONEY D'ARCY: So I wanted to speak a little bit to the tribal nations and ancestral homelands issues that are raised in the Commission policy. And first, I just want to echo what Commission staff said about thanking this Commission for coming to our tribal convening earlier in July at Scripps, and also to Chairwoman Yee for not only showing up, but showing up and staying and listening. I think that really is the key element here in terms of relationship building.

While we're grateful for the uplifting of the concerns of native nations and recognizing the importance of the need for government-to-government consultation, I
do want to reflect for a moment on what we recommended that's missing with respect to tribal lands in the updated draft.

And that specifically is we recommended that the wording of the EJ principles commit to returning land to California nations, particularly landless tribes when possible. I recognize that this may seem like somewhat of a controversial or radical statement, but I'd like for us all to take a minute to reflect and hopefully those that were at our convening can realize this as well. And you highlighted it in your staff report. And that's that the issue of most commonality for the federally recognized, non-recognized tribes with economic resources, tribes without resources, the one issue that every single representative at our coastal convening mentioned was that we don't have land on which to rebury our ancestors.

And what I'd like you all to specifically reflect on regarding that today is that fundamentally one of the things that it means for us to be human is the ability to care for our dead. And so while we know and acknowledge and the State and many State agencies have come a long way in recognizing, and acknowledging, and beginning the hard process of grappling with what it means that the State of California officially called for the genocide of California indians. The reality is that we're here and
State Lands Commission, as the largest land-holding entity in the state today, I think has a real opportunity to make sure that the State of California is actively recognizing the humanity of native nations.

And I want to reflect also that what the request was from these native nations wasn't land to bury our newly dead. It's land to rebury our ancestors whose remains have been unearthed and desecrated in the most violent of ways by what it means to have been dispossessed from our land originally.

So we strongly encourage you to consider re-including that statement about a commitment to returning land to landless tribes, because fundamentally if we have no place to rebury our dead, we are not fundamentally seen as human by the entities.

Thank you.

MS. THOMAS: In addition, we want the -- we want to encourage the Commission to look at its trustee ports to adopt zero-emission strategies and targets to mitigate and eliminate negative health impacts from port emissions. This is especially important for communities we represent here at the -- in the San Pedro Bay ports -- excuse me -- as they are the single largest source of air pollution in our region. And, yes, the ports are making a lot of strides to reach zero, but we reject those steps that should
include combustion-based technologies that will continue to contribute to our poor air quality, while delaying our transition to a clean and sustainable society powered by renewable energy.

Considering the Commission's role with permitting and leasing agreements, you all are a critical piece here in getting us to zero emissions. We believe that the Commission can go farther in its efforts to combat climate change, specifically with commitments to moving us away from the extraction and use of fossil fuels. The Commission can also play a leadership role in environmental laws, such as SB 350 and AB 617 and determining how you see the agency's position in implementing these laws.

Along with that, we'd like the Commission to identify where there are gaps in its collaboration with other agencies to achieve these pollution reduction goals. The effects of climate change are here and it requires collective action by all of us to stop it and reverse its course.

Lastly, we'd like to see the Commission exercising its power where applicable to transform industries that pollute our communities and environment. The Commission must also use its powers to address historical harms by fostering positive relationships with
tribes and EJ communities.

Beyond the subject of climate change, we must recognize that communities of color and indigenous communities in particular have been experiencing environmental injustices for decades, including land grabs, resource restrictions, and water contamination, many of which persist today.

We come with these recommendations to point out numerous opportunities for the Commission to really lend -- lean in -- excuse me -- to take up the mantle of environmental justice and equity through its policies and actions. We're looking forward to dialogue and engagement with you all, and the Commission's vote on the EJ policy in October, so we can begin implementation.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much for all of your testimony, and appreciate you're ongoing participation in this effort.

Good afternoon

MR. GIFFEN: Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Chair Yee, Commissioners and staff. My name is Jason Giffen. I'm here on behalf of the Port of San Diego. I would first like to start by thanking Commission -- the Commission, as well as Commission staff, as well as everyone else who has come and spoke and has participated
in the development of the policy so far, as well as some of our friends from down south like WILDCOAST.

I think it's clearly a very important topic and providing time for public feedback and outreach, including the roundtable is terrific. In fact, when I commented on this item to the Commission back in June, I welcomed a roundtable in southern California. And we're really happy to see an upcoming roundtable like Sheri mentioned, which will be coming close to San Diego right here in Long Beach around the corner.

As you know, the Port of San Diego is unique in its diversity in both geography and uses in our surrounding communities. And as a trustee port, we have a mission to protect Public Trust uses, commerce, navigation, fisheries, recreation, and environmental stewardship.

To balance these different uses, the Port of San Diego has been taking a proactive, innovative, and thoughtful approach to strategically plan and manage for these uses into the future. In this role, one important initiative for us is our update to our port master plan, which will include policy guidance for high level elements such as natural resources, mobility, economic development, and environmental justice.

And, in fact, in October, we will be discussing
environmental justice in detail with our board as a part
of one of our next port master plan update workshops that
we've got two more scheduled for the -- for this year.

As a trustee port, we look forward to seeing this
policy progress and hope the themes and principles from
the final policy can inform our own work, such as the port
master plan I mentioned. We appreciate the inclusion of
shared values like a clean environment, reducing impacts,
supporting cleaner industry, many of which we're currently
work -- currently undertaking through collaboration with
local, regional, and State partners, including locally,
the environmental health coalition, as well as our
regional water quality control board, the Energy
Commission, Air Resources Board, just to name a few.

And we're also tracking very closely the work the
Coastal Commission is doing related to this topic with
their latest information presented in August.

So with that, I'd like to thank you. And we look
forward to continuing working with you, staff, and
stakeholders as -- on this pertinent topic. So thank you
very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Giffen very much
for being here.

All right. Comments, Commissioners?

Ms. Lucchesi, any?
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

Thank you to all of the speakers on this item.

The next item is Item 113. This will be a presentation by the Port of Long Beach representatives. And again, thank you for welcoming us and allowing us to meet in your chambers. And let me just call up the presentation.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MS. TOMLEY: Thank you very much. It's our pleasure. Thank you for having your meeting here, making it very convenient for me to be able to just come straight downstairs and be able to join you today. So we appreciate everybody coming -- coming today, and we also appreciate you inviting us to give an update on some of the activities that we've been doing as well.

What I'm going to be talking about today is our Clean Air Action Plan, which is a joint effort that we have been developing and implementing for more than a decade with our partners over at the Port of Los Angeles. And I'd like to point out that Chris Cannon, my colleague from the Port of L.A. is here in the audience as well.

This has been a strong partnership and something that we work together on a daily basis to make sure that
we're moving forward with the commitments that we've made in our plan.

The Original Clean Air Action Plan was put in place in 2006 to address the air quality impacts associated with port operations, and to also address community concerns that not enough was being done to address those impacts.

And so we move forward with developing the original plan. And it's been something that we have taken very seriously, and we've fortunately been able to achieve a lot of success through the years as we've moved forward with that.

This is a reflection from both ports combined emissions inventories.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: Each year we do an assessment of the air emissions at the ports and compare that back to our 2005 baseline, which was the year prior to when the Clean Air Action Plan went into effect. We actually are just about to announce the -- or present the 2017 emissions inventory results. The Port of Long Beach is making a presentation to our board on the new numbers on Monday. Port of L.A. will be giving their presentation in the next week or so. But the good news is is we're continuing to see progress moving forward.
That said, there is much work that still needs to be done. And so as a result of that recognition, we went through the effort of developing our Clean Air Action Plan update that was just recently approved by our boards this last November.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: And what that days is it lays out going forward the strategies that we need to continue to focus on over the next coming decades looking at a long-term view of what we need to make sure that we're achieving to address community health concerns, regional air quality concerns, as well as global impacts with climate change, and making sure that we're addressing greenhouse gas reductions as well.

The Clean Air Action Plan that we just approved was developed over a roughly two-year period. We had a very extensive public outreach process. We had a lot of meetings with different stakeholder groups, both in smaller settings as well as larger more formal workshop settings.

We -- it was very important to us to make sure that we got a lot of public input as we were developing this, because we knew the implications associated with this plan, and the long-term vision that we were making. We're going to have long-term effects on how we move
forward with port operations.

And so it was very important to us to make sure that we got a lot of input. The strategies that we developed are across the Board looking at all of the different port source categories of emissions, and looking at the -- at a variety of different strategies that we could implement to address those impacts going forward.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: One of the areas that received a lot of attention was the goals that we established for ourselves to move towards zero emissions, so specifically looking at the on-road trucks that provide drayage service for cargo in and out of the ports, looking to transition those trucks to zero emissions by 2035. And for the terminal equipment that operate within the Port facilities to transition that to zero emissions by 2030.

These are very ambitious goals, and they come with a lot of challenges. And that's where a lot of our attention has been focused since the Clean Air Action Plan was adopted last November, and actually even prior to that, looking at what we need to do to make sure that we can stay on track with meeting those goals.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: Since the cap was adopted, we've also been focusing very aggressively on the implementation
strategies for our Clean Trucks Program. The truck program is one of the areas that has continued to receive a lot of attention. Trucks travel through the local communities. They're very visible. They have a large environmental impact from greenhouse gases. They are actually our largest source of emissions, even to this day. We've made a lot of progress for diesel particulate matter reductions, and nitrogen oxide reductions. But we still continue to have a challenge with emissions from on-road trucks.

So one of the things that we just recently got approved by both ports Board of Harbor Commissioners was an amendment to our existing tariff that requires that any new trucks that register for port drayage truck service after October 1st have to be model year 2014 or newer. Existing trucks that are currently doing operations will continue to be grandfathered, but any new trucks coming in need to meet 2014 model year standards.

And that ensures that they have the cleanest certified engines that are available, they meet the 2010 EPA standard, and it also incorporates the on-board diagnostics which help us to ensure that the emission control systems are working. And also in 2014 was a year that there were greenhouse gas requirements for the -- for the trucks to ensure that they were designed in a way to
minimize greenhouse gas pollution.

And so those trucks, at this point, we want to see more of those coming into the system. Currently, about half of the truck fleet meet the 2010 standards. The remaining half are 2007s to 2009s. But the intent is to try to modernize that fleet as quickly as possible.

And by 2020, one of the next steps in our Clean Truck Program is to move forward with implementing a truck rate, where all trucks will need to pay a rate to access the ports, but there will be exemptions for trucks that meet near zero or zero emission standards.

And so one of the efforts that we have underway right now is to evaluate from an economic standpoint implementation of that rate to help inform what that rate needs to be set at, and what types of potential impacts that may have for cargo diversion, effects on the trucking industry, and our ability to move forward with meeting our goals.

So that -- we are working on that study right now, along with some other efforts that will also be important for us as we move forward with establishing that rate. Some of the other things that we identified that we need to do before the rate comes into effect in 2020 is to complete a feasibility assessment of the technologies near-zero and zero-emission technologies, get an
understanding of the availability of those trucks, and also to establish the rate collection mechanism. We currently don't have a mechanism to be able to charge a fee to the benefit of cargo owners. And so we need to go through a process to make sure that that's set up.

And the final piece is also working with the regulatory agencies. And at the State level, we need to have a near-zero-emission standard and zero-emission standard defined by the State, so that we have that to point to. They're the agency that can establish emission standards for engines, and we need to work with them to make sure we're pointing to the standard that they've established.

We've also been focusing on early deployment opportunities and looking at partnerships with South Coast Air Quality Management District. Both ports will be moving forward to -- with our boards here shortly to commit to $2 million in funding to help supports a grant that the air quality management district was able to receive from the California Energy Commission. And the funding will be used to deploy trucks that meet the 0.02 gram NOx standards as quickly as possible.

We'll be deploying that through that grant program 140 of those trucks here in the near term. And it's looking for those types of partnerships and
opportunities to bring in those cleanest trucks as quickly as possible, that we'll continue to focus on.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: I mentioned our feasibility assessments. These will be a critical piece to help inform our progress in moving forward with our zero-emission goals. And what we need to do through these studies is really get a sense of the technical viability of these pieces of equipment, how well can they meet the duty cycle needs of the operation in the port. We also will be looking at the commercial availability and the support by the manufacturers for these pieces of equipment, warranties and parts and servicing obligations that will be critical for the operators as they move forward with these new technologies.

Also, assessing the state of the infrastructure availability to deploy these new technologies, they are using different types of infrastructure than what we currently use, so making sure that we've got charging availability, hydrogen fueling the supporting infrastructure for their deployment, and also the economic considerations, looking at the cost, cost effectiveness, impacts on the workforce, and others.

These will be studies that we do. The first one by the end of this year, and then we'll be doing updates
to these every three years or more frequently as there are
significant developments that we need to make sure that we
have a strong understanding of.

And this will help us to identify our progress
towards meeting our goals, and where there are challenges
that still exist that we need to focus our efforts to
overcome.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: We have many different
demonstrations that are underway at both ports and working
with AQMD and partnership. This is going to be a major
focus of our attention in the next several years. These
technologies that we're counting on to meet our goals
don't exist today, and we're developing them with the
manufacturers and putting them into demonstration with our
operators to get them deployed and developed as quickly as
possible. But there's still a lot of information that we
need to gather and that we need to make sure that we're
informing the manufacturers of, so that we can make sure
that we're developing equipment that meets our needs.

And so these demonstrations we have been
fortunate, both ports have been fortunate, in receiving a
lot of grant funding to help support them, which has been
matched by port and operator funds as well. And we'll
continue to focus a lot of our attention in the coming
years on these demonstrations to understand how these technologies can support our efforts.

--o0o--

MS. TOMLEY: And finally, we've been continuing -- on the heels of the Clean Air Action Plan development process, we continue to have a lot of public engagement and public outreach through the implementation phase. We've established a quarterly stakeholder group meeting that we meet with the public and talk about updates on all of the different efforts that we have underway and receive input from the public on the things that we're doing. We continue to have smaller group meetings with key stakeholders on the development of specific activities. And this public input and support, as we move forward, will continue to be critical to inform our process as we go forward.

So that's a brief update on our Clean Air Action Plan process, and I'd be happy to answer any questions if you have any.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you very much for the great overview. Really appreciate you coming before the Commission.

Commissioners, comments?

No.

Okay. I have a couple questions, if I might.
MS. TOMLEY: Please.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: So obviously very ambitious programs and great progress as we -- as you've reported. Are -- you mentioned some grant funding, are there other sources of -- are there -- is that State grant funding or is it from other sources?

MS. TOMLEY: Primarily, we have been going after State California Air Resources Board and California Energy Commission funds. A lot of it's been coming through cap-and-trade funding. We're looking forward to the VW settlement funds, as those start to be administered.

We've also been successful in receiving some DERA funds through EPA that have helped in a few cases with harbor craft retrofits and some zero-emission equipment at our middle harbor terminal. But the majority of the funding, especially for these demonstration projects, which are really critical for understanding how these technologies works, that funding has primarily been coming from the California Energy Commission and California Air Resources Board to this point.

All of those funds also have pretty significant matching fund requirements, so all of that grant funding has been leveraged with port funds, and funds from the technology manufacturers, as well as the operators.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you.
And anything else that this Commission can do to
enhance your efforts?

MS. TOMLEY: I think it -- I think having updates
like this and talking about the efforts that we're doing,
sharing information, answering any questions that you
have, I think that we enjoy having this type of dialogue
and this type of partnership. Your agency has been very
helpful with us as we've been moving forward with a lot of
our community grants programs, and our climate adaptation
plan that we submitted a little while ago. All of these
efforts we've been doing in coordination with your staff
and we appreciate that partnership.

So I think these continued discussions are
helpful and finding out where there are opportunities for
us to partner and align our efforts to meet these common
goals, I think, is always what we look forward to.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. We welcome that as
well. And thank you. You probably were here during the
time that the speakers from the Environmental Justice
Working Group were here, and obviously want to keep the
community also well-informed and updated and plugged in as
well.

MS. TOMLEY: Absolutely.

Lucchesi, any comments on this item?
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Really appreciate you spending the time with us.
Thank you
MS. TOMLEY: Great. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Are next item -- actually, I'm very excited about this next item. Yes.
We will have informational item, Item C 114, we will be receiving a presentation from the director of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, whom I've had the pleasure of meeting recently, Dr. Leinen.
And just some exciting things that are happening at the Institution that certainly most of it within, I would say, the -- the jurisdiction of the Institution. But you do so much more and I hope you'll be able to just really give us a flavor of all of the different areas where you're making a contribution.
(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)
DR. LEINEN: Okay. I'm very happy to do that. What I'd like to do is talk a little bit about something that you've heard quite a bit about and that's this concept of the blue economy.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.
DR. LEINEN: And talk a little bit about how that
relates to other issues like the environment, 
conservation, research, education.

So, let me see if I can make sure --

--00o--

DR. LEINEN: Great.

So just a couple words about what people consider 
when they talk about the blue economy. I think that there 
are a whole handful of major elements that are 
traditionally part of the blue economy, food resources, 
fisheries, aquaculture, transportation certainly and its 
use. Global trade is marine trade. More than 95 percent 
of global trade takes place on the ocean.

--00o--

DR. LEINEN: Oil, gas, other energy resources.

Another major sector --

--00o--

DR. LEINEN: -- mineral resources. We 
traditionally think of that as sand and gravel and so 
forth, near shore. But new developments looking at the 
potential for deep-sea mining and other kinds of mineral 
resources.

--00o--

DR. LEINEN: Water resources. So it's not just 
the ocean as the salt water, but also what we do with it 
in terms of desalinization. Also, the interaction between
fresh water and the ocean. A great example there is the
interaction between rivers, anadromous fish, and the
ocean, so that we can't decouple those.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: Other biological resources. So
we're developing whole new classes of very important
compounds that are -- that are developed from marine
organisms. For example, we have in clinical trials a new
antibiotic that was developed at Scripps Oceanography from
a marine organism, which if it went into drug use would be
the first new antibiotic in 25 years. If you think about
antibiotic resistance, it's not just drugs, but other
kinds of molecules that are unique that come from this
incredible environment.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: Marine technology. A rapidly
growing area to look at using that technology for -- for
example, for structural analysis and inspection, for being
able to characterize environments for their use, also for
a wide variety of conservation and environmental quality
issues.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: So the size of that traditional blue
economy has recently been estimated. And I know that
you've had presentations, so this is just a reminder for
you that OECD has given the valuation of the blue economy now as 1.5 trillion projected to triple by 2050.

The -- just the San Diego portion of that is $14 billion. And I'm sorry that I don't have an estimate for you for all of California, but if you think about extrapolating that, it will give you an idea.

That doesn't include water and wastewater. It doesn't include some of the other things that I'm going to talk to you about that are -- I think are important ancillaries of the economy.

And current employment. Those are some of the big sectors. Just in San Diego alone, 46,000 jobs in the blue economy. That's more than our very highly regarded biotech industry in San Diego. So that gives you a sense of what the magnitude of this is.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: So let's talk a little bit about some of the other aspects of it.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: The blue economy is not just the industrial part, not just the revenue -- direct revenue generation. And I'll give you -- if you think about tourism, a very important part of this, tourism is not just the hotels, the restaurants, the -- whatever the activities are, boat trips, recreational experiences, it's
also the insurance of the quality of that tourism experience.

And many aspects of it have to do with education. So our Birch Aquarium is a great example. Is that a recreational activity? Is it an educational activity? It's both, and they all combine to this tourism ecosystem.

And the rest of the blue economy is very much like that as well. So when we look at -- at living resources, of the ocean. It's all -- the portion of our economy that ensures the health of those resources is as important a part of the economy as the extraction of the resource itself.

So it's looking at ensuring that we can get food, that we can develop aquaculture, desalinization et cetera, ensuring the quality, which is just as much a part of that value train as is the item.

A second piece, I talked a little bit about new biological resources. But it's the development of the industry itself. A whole train and ecosystem of people that are trained to be able to look at biological organisms, whether that's for drug development, biofuels, or other kinds of uses. So all of that is part of this economy, and it's not something that has been captured in those traditional numbers that you see.
DR. LEINEN: Another important piece of this is avoiding loss. So if you think about -- we've been talking a lot about sea level rise. And obviously, what we're interested in is avoiding loss in that blue economy, or the coastal economy as a result of sea level rise. And that implies understanding sea level rise. It implies the whole process of adaptation to sea level rise, which is also a part of this very vibrant ecosystem.

Another piece is other kinds of scientifically informed decision making. And the reason that you have a picture of Oroville Dam there, which we all know very well, is because it is intimately related to the ocean. Oroville Dam and the threats to Oroville Dam were as a result of atmospheric rivers, a term that probably no one in California understood 10 years ago, but everybody in California understands now.

That is an oceanographic phenomenon. It begins in the ocean. That stream of high water vapor air coming to the land is an oceanic phenomenon. It's controlled by the ocean. But we can harness that in many ways. You know, the typical questions would be when is the atmospheric river coming, is it going to hit me, and how much rain is it going to bring?

And if you look at that on one side, it's risk avoidance, on the other side, it's how can we use that
Right now, we manage our reservoirs in California in a way that's controlled by the Army Corps of Engineers, and it requires that we discharge water at the beginning of the rainy season, whether we're going to have a lot of rain or not, because you don't want to endanger the dam. You don't want to be releasing water at the same time it's raining like crazy. You'll create more flooding.

If we can do three to five days prediction of an atmospheric river, the Army Corps of Engineers is willing to consider changing its rules of operation. And their estimate is that we could save $365 million a year in water in California that goes to tide now, just because of the requirements. That's another whole piece of how the ocean affects this blue economy. That's very important for us.

Understanding the characteristics of the ocean. Just to the north in Oregon, the aquaculture industry there has been very strongly affected by ocean acidification.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: So understanding those processes, being able to monitor them, developing adaptation processes that allow that aquaculture industry to move forward are something that we're going to have to be considering for ocean characteristics as well.
And then, of course, we want to develop new technologies for doing the measurements and observation that are part of this.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: And then finally, generating the workforce that's going to continue to do this and to make this whole area thrive. And, of course, that's something we're very interested in at Scripps, not only at the level of Ph.D.s and so forth, but undergraduate students working with schools. We partner with San Diego Unified School District, with other parts of the environmental -- or the educational landscape to ensure that California has a stream of people who are going to be able to look at this blue economy in this sophisticated way, not just in terms of products, but in terms of understanding service, and allowing us to balance that ecosystem with ensuring its quality as well as its productivity.

And then finally, all of this happens in an international ecosystem or an international frame, the UN's sustainable development goals, the climate action, and life below the ocean. I always say it's wonderful that the two goals, 13 and 14, always appear next to each other in the big illustrations, because they're joined at the hip climate and the ocean.

So all of that, and those emerging opportunities,
as well as emerging concerns are something that California takes very seriously.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: So I'd just like to give you one last example of how getting policy right is totally dependent on the best science.

So you have two maps up there. And both of them are maps of sea level rise. Red colors are faster sea level rise. Blue colors are lower rates of sea level rise. The left-hand map is the entire record from 1993 when we first had satellites that allowed us to look at this globally. And as you can see, the Western Pacific Ocean has much higher rates of sea level rise than the Eastern Pacific Ocean. They're actually three times higher than the Eastern Pacific Ocean.

The right hand -- and so for a long time, people said, oh, you know, we don't have as much to worry about in California as elsewhere.

The right-hand map is the last decade. And as you can see, the whole regime has shift -- shifted. And now the Eastern Pacific has much higher rates of sea level rise. If we developed our whole sea level action plan based on the diagram on the left, we might completely mispredict what California was -- is going to face in the future.
And so it's that -- that necessity to bring this
great science that's done, not just with Scripps
Oceanography fee, but around the state to bear on this
really important problem.

--o0o--

DR. LEINEN: And so I want to thank you for your
interest in this. And I know that the Commission has been
in its own way a terrific supporter of really science for
great policy and decision making. And I want to thank you
for your long history of doing that.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Dr. Leinen.

Questions, Commissioners?

Yes, Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: I was hoping
you could expand a little more on your work with students
as you kind of think about educating the high school
students you've mentioned, and others on sort of a future
in this blue economy. You mentioned a couple things, but
can you tell me a little more either about your school
district partnerships or the sorts of work that you all
are specifically doing?

DR. LEINEN: So we're engaged from the level of
informal programs, like Birch Aquarium to very formal
programs, teacher training programs for San Diego Unified
School District. So we develop curricular materials for the school district. We do teacher training workshops, and we work in direct partnership with the school district to do that.

One of the things that we've been talking about in -- for the future is the development of a full curriculum that would be totally congruent with the next generation science standards. And not do that just with Scripps and San Diego Unified, but bring in others from the university and the Cal State system, so that California could have something that was a basic marine education framework that could then be adapt -- that was adaptable to the wide variety of areas that we look at.

And it would also bring these interesting connections in, like rainfall and so forth, so that people in -- it would be as relevant for Fresno as it is for Santa Barbara.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Other questions?
Yes, Commissioner Viola.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Just a quick comment. I'm grateful to Chair Yee for introducing me as a staff scientist. My background is in marine biology. So speaking from that perspective, I'm just very grateful for this work on the blue economy and it's role in elevating
the importance of the ocean to most of California's livelihood. And this work is so important, and especially with how it breaks -- breaks different sectors out of their silos of aquaculture, and energy research. And taking this holistic view can really go a long way towards informed policy And decision making.

So thank you for that.

DR. LEINEN: I wish every person at Scripps could hear you say that.

(Laughter.)

DR. LEINEN: And to --

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: This is recorded.

(Laughter.)

DR. LEINEN: Right. And they can. Because that's such, you know, a perfect characterization of it. That, you know, we've got to get away from those silos to be able to look at it as a system.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Viola.

Dr. Leinen, I'm going to ask you to share, if you would, something that you shared with me when we met, and that is -- I mean, really talking about getting out of your silos, just the role that Institution has played relative to helping some of our first responders and those on the line fighting out fires, that we're unfortunately
seeing more commonly today.

DR. LEINEN: Right. So although our name is oceanography, we're also the Earth Science Department of UC San Diego. And so we have a whole group of people that study seismology. And for years they've been studying the San Jacinto Fault which is the extension of the San Andreas that goes down east of San Diego.

And it's a very dangerous segment, because it hasn't gone off for -- with a large earthquake for over 300 years. So to do that, we instrumented the San Jacinto with, you know, every kind of seismometer known to man, strain gauges, you name it. It's a whole quarter section of instrumentation.

And we wanted to be able to get that data back to Scripps very quickly, so we developed, with National Science Foundation funding, a microwave communication system that -- so that the data is sent via microwave to the top of mountain tops, and then from mountain tops back to Mount Soledad in San Diego and down to Scripps.

So in order to deal with the potential for vandalism, we put cameras on those -- those stations. They had complete meteorology and cameras. And originally, they were fairly rudimentary cameras, but they did look out across the mountain tops.

Back in 2003 when we first started having
wildfires that caught the attention of everybody, some of the first responders became aware of the fact that we had these camera systems, and said can we use that to triangulate to understand how the fires are moving?

And so we started working with them. And over time, that has turned into a system of imaging that CalFire and first responders are using very, very extensively. And we're in the process now of putting a new generation of cameras on those mountain tops that can not only pan the area, but also tilt, and zoom, and can be controlled directly by first responders in their -- in their offices, rather than having to come to UC San Diego.

So the San Diego County Commission has just provided funding to be able to do that. Sonoma County is working with us to expand this capability into Sonoma, and we're talking with other parts of the, you know, natural resources in California about expanding this capability.

It has -- the first responders have told us that not only does it give them an extraordinary jump on the fire, but because it's combined with meteorology, where the fire is going to go, so that they can deploy people, not only effectively but also safely, and keep them out of areas where the fire is going to move very rapidly.

So that's probably something that you wouldn't expect from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, but
it's an example that this is one big environmental ecosystem.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much. Any other comments, Commissioners? Thank you very much, Dr. Leinen.

DR. LEINEN: Thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON YEE: It's been a pleasure to have you. Thank you.

All right, Commissioners, our next item is Item 115. And this is to consider a resolution to adopt an advance a vision for California's coastal future. And I believe we have a presentation on this.

Good afternoon.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Hi. Good afternoon.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: While this is pulling up here -- do we have a presentation coming up?

AGP VIDEO: Yes.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Okay. I'll just introduce myself. I'm Maren Farnum. I'm an Environmental Scientist here with the Commission.

AGP VIDEO: Do you have a presentation?

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: I did have
a presentation. I have it here on my memory stick, if
there's still time to put it on. I'm sorry.

I can go ahead and start while they're loading
that up for you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: So today
we are asking you -- and actually, Dr. Leinen was a
perfect intro I think to this item. We are asking you as
our Commissioners to adopt a resolution. This is a vision
for California's coastal future, one in which we protect
beaches and coastal habitats for future generations from
threats of sea level rise.

And again, we'll just wait as this loads up. But
we all know that our coastal habitats, and beaches, and
native species are among the state's most valuable natural
and cultural resources. As summer winds down, I don't
think I need to tell any of the parents in this room, or
any of the family members just how important the beach is
to them and their loved ones.

Temperatures are soaring every summer. They're
getting hotter and hotter. We are now dealing with the
devastating effects of wildfires in many of our
communities, and smoke that coats the air. And free and
public access to beaches and coastal waters are a real
life line to all of us here in the state.
--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Coastal tourism and recreation account for nearly 50 percent of our direct ocean economy, which was last valued around $42 billion a year. And our coasts are home to vital animal and plant communities. Hosting the largest concentration of endemic or native species that are found nowhere else in the world.

So coastal ecosystems support and protect wildlife, our human communities, and sustain our subsistence and commercial fisheries as well.

--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Climate change is already causing sea level rise, and it's happening at an accelerated rate due steadily to steadily increasing temperatures and the rapid melting of the polar ice sheets and glaciers around the globe. We could see up to five feet of sea level rise by the end of this century. And these higher water levels will change the coastal landscape that we see now.

--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Ecosystems of the near-shore environment such as the upper beach, the dunes, the wetlands and salt marshes are at major risk from serious losses, unless they have some space to move
into, and more and more as greater amounts of the areas become submerged, and are converted to tidally influenced areas, where there once was no influence of the tide.

The Nature Conservancy and the State Coastal Conservancy recently conducted a highly technical study looking at factors of topography, land use, hydrodynamics and coastal geophysical processes, and they found that our beaches and coastal habitats will be severely affected by sea level rise, if we don't take any actions.

The USGS actually also conducted an in-depth study focusing on sediment transport and beach area, and their findings show a very similar picture of loss without intervention.

So here's what we stand to lose with five feet of sea level rise by the end of the century. We could have -- lose up to 55 percent total of our coastal habitats. That means 60 percent of the area of our current beaches means 58 percent of rocky intertidal habitat. It means 58 percent of coastal marshes, 55 percent of tidal flats, 39 rare, threatened, or endangered species we'll lose them entirely, and 41,000 acres of lands that are already conserved by the public. These are lands that have been majorly invested in by communities and many groups. And those investments will also be lost.

--o0o--
STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: So what does all that mean to lose all of that area?

We will lose some of these ecological values that are really important to us. There will be a significant loss of habitat, as many sensitive and highly productive coastal areas like rocky, intertidal, wetlands, and salt marshes are drowned, and adjacent upland habitats like the upper beach and dunes are converted to other types of lands like intertidal areas.

So without the space to move back into these upland areas that are very important to this interconnected network of ecosystems will disappear altogether. This means we'll also see an irreplaceable loss of species, which could have cascading impacts on natural resource communities in our own food webs.

We're also going to have an impact to the economic values that we derive from these spaces. So again, loss of these ecosystems that support critical fisheries and recreational activities, these places serve as nursery grounds, for fisheries, they provide us with natural storm protection, and they regulate pollutants that impair water quality. They also help us to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions themselves by serving as carbon sinks, and absorbing all that carbon from the atmosphere.

These places are also really important to us
culturally and for many folks they retain high spiritual values as well. These are lands and waters that we here in California, and around the world, are drawn to for our recreation and our health.

So in order to face these challenges, we are asking that you, our, Commissioners adopt the following resolution:

--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Science guided collective action, we will maintain and enhance California’s coastal habitats in the face of sea level rise and other climate change-induced challenges ensuring a protected coast for future generations to enjoy. And furthermore, we will continue our engagement with tribal groups, disadvantaged and vulnerable communities who live, visit, and recreate along the coast to ensure equitable participation in the coastal planning processes, and equitable access to the coast.

--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: How are we going to do this?

We're going to continue to integrate sea level rise science and considerations into our internal processes here at the Commission, including working with our lessees and coastal communities to inform and prepare
folks for the adaptations and strategies that we need to employ to maximize coastal resiliency and the preservation of Public Trust Lands and assets. We'll also be doing that in partnership with our granted lands partners, such as the ports, harbors, and marinas.

We're going to continue those partnerships with local, State, federal, community, and tribal nations to plan for and implement strategies to protect beaches and habitats, and we're going to engage with and learn from those communities, particularly those that will be most impacted and are disproportionately bearing the effects of climate change for equitable planning and decision making.

--o0o--

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: So as you can see we are going to work with many, many folks, many partners to realize this far-sighted vision. We'll be joined in our pledge by our sister coastal and ocean resource agencies at the upcoming Governor's Global Climate Summit in September to kick off the Hope For The Coast Campaign that will support our collective efforts and guide us to reach these ambitious goals.

So thank you very much for your consideration, and adoption of this important resolution.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Maren. Questions, Commissioners?
Comments?
Yes. Commissioner Viola.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: As you have highlighted, Maren, we're in a time of unprecedented global change for the environment. And our resource agencies need to be nimble and responsive to this, and as well as acting with vision. So having a document like this to guide our decision making is a great step forward, so thank you for your work on this.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Thank you, Commissioner. Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: And, yes, Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.


Thank you for mentioning that this is really a commitment on our part, along with our sister agencies, that we will be making at the up coming Governor's Climate Action Summit, and particularly want to just thank the work that the staff did on this.

Obviously, we're -- we have unique jurisdiction from some of our sister agencies, and I think the resolution also reflects that as well.

So no other comments. Is there a motion to adopt the resolution?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Move
approval of the resolution.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Seconded by Commission Viola.

Without objection, the resolution is adopted.

Thank you very much.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST FARNUM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

So, Commissioners, we have -- oh, I'm sorry, we had two speakers on this. Let me just see if we still have them on hand.

Alyssa Mann and Jason Giffen.

MS. MANN: Hi. Good afternoon, Chair and Commissioners, and members of the public. I'm thrilled that you just adopted this resolution.

(Laughter.)

MS. MANN: So my comments might not be as important anymore, but I'll still take a moment to tell you a little bit about why this is so important to us.

So I'm here to voice my enthusiastic support of the resolution before you adopting this bold vision, which we call Hope For The Coast. And I can't emphasize enough how important this action is.

Californians love this incredible coastline and
have voted again and again to protect it. They did so again in June with the passage of Proposition 68. Because of this strong commitment to our coast, over one-third of our coastline is conserved. But with five feet of sea level rise, the coast of tomorrow will not look like today's coast. Fifty-nine percent of coastal habitat across the coast of California is very vulnerable, including over 41,000 acres already conserved that are projected to be submerged with five feet of sea level rise.

This means the very investments in conversation that we have all collectively made over the years is under threat. TNC and the State Coastal Conservancy collaborated on the first statewide comprehensive assessment of the vulnerability of habitats, imperiled species, and conservation lands to sea level rise to better understand the challenge before us, but also to see what we can do about it.

The result is wall-to-wall conservation blueprint for the entire coast identifying solutions down to the resolution of a kilometer squared.

And there's hope. With sustained coordinated effort, our study shows that we can as much or more coastal habitat with five feet of sea level rise as we have today, ensuring that our children and grandchildren
can enjoy the remarkable benefit provided by our incredible coast. But it won't be easy and it won't just require habitat protection, and we can't do it alone.

We will need to protect future habitat to mitigate for the loss of lands that get drowned with sea level rise. We will have to add sediment to some of our conserved habitats to keep these areas up with sea level rise, and we'll have to work together to design scenarios for communities that ensure long-term public safety side by side with wildlife and open space.

If we are to accomplish this, we will need all California's coastal decision makers, state and local, to adopt this vision and make specific meaningful commitments to achieve it.

With this resolution, you are taking a critical step today. However, we ask you to do more than just adopt this resolution. We need you to call on your sister agencies and local partners to adopt this vision of coastal hope and make commitments of their own. With this alignment, we can re-assert California's leadership in coastal conservation for the era of sea level rise, and we can really -- we can really create hope for the coast.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Ms. Mann. Thank you to The Nature Conservancy for continuing your
work in keeping these issues elevated.

Mr. Giffen.

MR. GIFFEN: Good afternoon, again, Chair Yee, Commissioners. And we also, at the Port of San Diego, are in support of the resolution.

First off, I would just like to point out you hear me from time to time speak about San Diego or our sister ports. And I'd just like to say California's ports are clearly an important -- are an important role in being environmental champions as your Public Trustees, and as California's public trustees as exemplified by Heather in her earlier presentation, by our friends here in Long Beach, as well as we're doing the same with our own Climate Action Plan down in San Diego.

More specifically to this item, I'd just like to highlight a few areas of particular interest. And to begin with, I'd like to first thank the Commission and staff for considering the adoption of this resolution, which encourages, and quote from the resolution, "Science guided collective action to enhance California's coastal habitats in the face of climate change induced challenges".

We are very supportive of language like this in an approach to developing balanced public policy. As an agency with responsibilities under the Public Trust
Doctrine, we're appreciative of holistic approaches to this policy that not only consider best available science, but also consider the economic and societal benefits for the community and the larger environment.

We were also glad to see that this resolution acknowledges the unique characteristics and constraints and values of Public Trust uses and assets along the coast, and existing water-dependent infrastructure, such as ports and harbors. We were an active participant in development of sea level rise guidance with the Ocean Protection Council, as well as with the Coastal Commission.

We're very appreciative of the acknowledgement in your resolution of similar language. And clearly, we're always very supportive of the support that the Commission provides ports and -- as well as State Controller Yee in your role on the Ocean Protection Council.

This resolution also highlights and reinforces many efforts already underway at the Port of San Diego, as a port of trustee subject to AB 691, which you heard reference to earlier in today's meeting, and through our port master plan, we're considering adaptive management approaches to respond to and accommodate new sea level rise science and models as they arise.

And some approaches we've been considering,
include developing living shorelines, and restoring
shoreline habitats to avoid the loss of coastal habitats.
We've been mapping and monitoring Eelgrass and salt marsh
habitats for many years in San Diego Bay. And we're
exploring the possibilities of creating coastal mitigation
banks as a tool for balancing economic and environmental
stewardship, and as a complement to traditional habitat
restoration.

We're also very supportive of the resolution's
emphasis on coordination and partnership led by this
Commission. In previous comments to other agencies,
the -- from the Port of San Diego to the Coastal
Commission as well as the Ocean Protection Council, we've
emphasized the need for interagency coordination on State
policies and guidance, and are appreciative of the
Commission's proactive approach to coordination through
this resolution.

Notably, we are a regional collaborator in San
Diego, and we have recently entered into a memorandum of
agreement with the U.S. Navy to address impacts to coastal
facilities and sea level rise, which actually is the first
of its kind in the state.

With that, I'd like to thank the Commission for
adopting the resolution. That concludes my remarks.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Giffen.
Appreciate you being here.

All right. Members, we are going to our public comment section of the agenda.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Actually --
CHAIRPERSON YEE: And I think what I'd like to do is go back to -- oh --
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Actually, we still need to consider Item 107.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, I was actually -- I saw that.
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Oh, okay. I'm sorry to interrupt then.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, we do have Item 107 before us. And we have a number of speakers on this item. Should we -- do you want to open up the item?
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes I'll be giving the presentation.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Great. Alrighty. Good thank you.
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And I'm sorry. I didn't have my mic on for that.

So, just a quick introduction to Item 107. As I think everyone here is aware, the Governor -- or, excuse me, the State's 2018-19 budget established a subaccount in the Kapiloff Land Bank Fund for money received from public
and private sources, including nonprofit sources, for the acquisition and creation of a public access route to and long the shoreline at Martins Beach in San Mateo County.

And specifically, the budget language allows the Commission to accept donations into the subaccount for that purpose. And traditionally, the Commission, at a properly noticed public meeting, will consider monetary and land donations on an individual basis, and accept those individually.

We anticipate, we hope, for a high number of donations of varying amounts coming in. And so the purpose of this item is to request authority and delegation from the Commission to the Executive Officer to accept those donations as they come in and deposit them into the subaccount.

And with that, I'll turn it back to you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lucchesi. Let me just clarify, so the delegation of authority by us as the Commission to you is to deposit the money, but also to expend money from the fund?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No. No. You still retain that authority and discretion --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. I just want to clarify that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- to expend that
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: It's just to accept and deposit.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well.

Yes, and perhaps as an update, I will say I was recently in touch with Supervisor Groom of San Mateo County, and I know the county is moving forward with its contribution to the subaccount, so we hope to see that forthcoming.

Okay. Very well. We have a number of speakers on this item. Let me call you up in order. You will each have a strict two minutes.

David Grubb with the Sierra Club if you'll come forward first, followed by Angela Howe with Surfrider Foundation -- actually I'm going to ask all the Surfrider representatives to come up together. Angela Howe, Jennifer Savage, and Graham Hamilton.

And then we'll move on from there. And I'm sorry Staley Prom.

MR. GRUBB: Chair Yee -- good afternoon Chair Yee and Commissioners. I'm David Grubb speaking again for Sierra Club California. And I won't repeat what we are, except that we're representing more than 400,000 Californians today.
Now, that the creation of the Martins Beach subaccount has been legislated, and funds for the acquisition of an easement to and along Martins Beach can be collected for that account, the next step is for the State Lands Commission to make a commitment to acquire such an easement.

This commitment is needed now, so that fundraising can begin in earnest. No one is going to want to give their money if it's just going to sit in an account. So please move forward with this as expeditiously as you can.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Grubb.
Representatives from Surfrider.
MS. HOWE: I do have a presentation.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.
MS. HOWE: Good afternoon. My name is Angela Howe. I'm the Legal Director for surfrider foundation. And I believe Staley Prom has also ceded her time just in case.
CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MS. HOWE: Great. Thank you.

Next slide, please.
MS. HOWE: So Surfrider Foundation has been trying to open Martins Beach since 2010 when the gates were locked. It was actually purchased by Martins Beach 1 and 2, LLC, owned by Vinod Khosla in 2008, but they were locked permanently to the public in 2010. As you know, and your staff wrote up in the report, there were at least two pieces of litigation filed by Friends of Martins Beach and Surfrider Foundation in 2013 and 2012. And then Vinod Khosla actually sued State Lands Commissioners, Coastal Commissioners, and the County of San Mateo in their personal capacity --

So that's the litigation track. But in preparing comments for today, I was harkened back to December 2016 when I was in Sacramento — that's that top left picture there — and giving comments before your Board and imploring you to act with your eminent domain authority.

So I'm here to do that again today and I thought I would just emphasize the timeline.

So next slide, please.

---o0o---

MS. HOWE: So while we've had this campaign for eight years, we've actually been advocating before the State Lands Commission body for four and a half years. And that is due in part to your statutory authority, to
protect beach access to Public Trust Lands when no other access is available. And then -- next slide, please.

--o0o--

MS. HOWE: For the past several years, we've been working on also garnering the legislative authority. So with State Senator Jerry Hill's efforts to pass SB 968 and 2014 and signature by Governor Jerry -- or sorry, Governor Jerry Brown. He passed in September 2014 a bill that directed the staff to negotiate with Vinod Khosla to acquire the land, and if not, the land to get to the beach, and if not, to use the eminent domain authority.

So that process happened, painstakingly I think, through 2015. Surfrider Foundation in July of that year held a public workshop. We wrote up our workshop findings in mid-2015. In October 2015, an offer to purchase the land was quickly rebuffed by Vinod Khosla. Some reports say that he asked for another public beach as a trade, some say he asked for 30 million. Nonetheless rebuffed.

Next slide, please.

--o0o--

MS. HOWE: And then later that year, State Lands Commission presented a summary of the process, and asked that a direct allocation of the Kapiloff Land Bank Fund be made. So we've been working basically since December 2016 to early 2018 to do that in the legislature. That
happened with a huge win on June 27th, an allocation that
establishes the Martins Beach subaccount, and which is on
the agenda item today.

So today, we are asking that the Commission
delegate authority to the Executive Officer to accept
donations into this -- into this Martins Beach subaccount.
And we also ask to go further, and whether that can be in
open session today, it can be in closed session, or has to
be agendized for October, we ask that you stand firm and
go towards eminent domain for this property to start that
process. We know it's a long process. We know it starts
with an appraisal. And we think, after four and a half
years, now is the time.

And I just wanted to add one note. On the
parallel Surfrider litigation, we did brief -- submit a
brief in opposition in June of this year. It will be
heard by the -- or will be considered by the Supreme Court
whether or not to take up that case on September 24th, and
we should know September 27th, whether or not they're
taking the case.

This State Lands Commission track really has
nothing to do with that Coastal Act cause of action. It's
two separate tracks. However, in our brief in opposition,
we did put that the State Lands Commission is considering
eminent domain for this property. And we did put all the
legislative action on the issue. We think because this
track is going, this case will be less attractive for the
Supreme Court to take.

So if you have any questions on that, I'm happy
to answer and thank for your time.

MS. SAVAGE: Hi. Jennifer Savage, Surfrider
Foundation. Surfrider has 20 chapters in California, and
over 80 nationwide. We pride ourselves on our commitment
to grass roots activism, and that commitment also means
that our chapters decide what is most important to them,
based on local threats and local needs, and create
campaigns accordingly. So that's a lot of campaigns.

What they all have in common is a shared
dedication to a health ocean, clean beaches, and coastal
access for everyone. But each year some of those
campaigns grow beyond their local relevance. The
potential consequences or expected benefits of some
campaigns are so profound that we elevate them to the
national level, so that we can donate -- or dedicate even
more time, resources, energy, and funding to them.

And that's how a little beach in semi-remote area
of Central California became one of our largest campaigns,
and why it has stayed that way since 2013.

It's why we're willing to go all the way to the
Supreme Court, if necessary, to defend the California
Coastal Act, because what the Coastal Act and protecting the Public Trust is about is fairness. They're about the equality of opportunity when it comes to accessing our shared public resources, which is a fancy way of saying having fun at the beach.

And, you know, Surfrider happens to be the voice, because that's the role that we have. But, you know, I really -- like, it's about so much more than the people that you usually hear from, like me. And I wish I could take you around to the homes of all the people in the Central Valley, on the coast, all over California, so you could hear the stories that we hear.

Like Julie Graves, who could tell you how her group of 15 to 20 friends and family members join together at Martins Beach on the last Sunday of October nearly every year for 27 years wading, picnicking, doing all the things until their annual tradition was taken away by this locked gate.

Or Joan Meacham who's currently in her 70s, who you used to go to Martins Beach with her husband. They would go dating there back when the road was still dirt. And, you know, it's -- if you haven't read the stories that have been collected by the Coastal Commission, I really urge you to do so. You'll find those and so many others, and it's largely due to those stories and the ones
that are currently unwritten that we really urge you to take action.

And we therefore request that you -- you know, you have the power to move forward with eminent domain, and we request that you do so, specifically as an agendized item for your next meeting in October.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Jennifer.


I don't live at Martins Beach or in San Mateo County, but beach access is incredibly important here in Los Angeles, where there are more coastal access violations than just about anywhere in our state's coastline.

Californians have been enjoying the beach at Martins for well over 100 years, long before Vinod Khosla brought -- bought his adjacent property, and undertook his mission to block public access. And for the last eight years as you heard, the Surfrider foundation and our partners have fought and defeated his egregious and illegal annexing of our coastal commons at Martins Beach.

Mr. Khosla not only wants to strip the public of its right, but he also wishes to undermine the California Coastal Act itself, which enshrines public access, while
protecting the environmental and cultural resources of our world famous coastline.

In December 2016, the State Lands Commission directed their staff to research the steps needed to pursue eminent domain, to acquire an easement to and along Martins Beach. With the creation of the Martins Beach subaccount successfully legislated this year, funds for the acquisition of an easement to and along Martins Beach can now be collected in that account, as you have heard.

With Mr. Khosla's appeal waiting to be taken up by the U.S. Supreme Court, the time to acquire and establish a public access easement for Martins Beach is now. If Mr. Khosla's appeal is taken up by the U.S. Supreme Court, it is not unlikely that a pro-private property, conservative majority could rule in his favor, declaring the California Coastal Act unconstitutional, and unraveling one of the most progressive coastal protection and management laws in the world.

We have a legal opportunity here to prevent that from happening, while sending a message to Mr. Khosla and the world that California's coastline is not for sale. I encourage this Commission to make a formal commitment to pursue eminent domain and to pursue it now.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you Mr. Hamilton.
Okay. Next up we have Marc Chytilo, Susan Jordan and Steve Marion.

MR. CHYTILO: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I am attorney Marc Chytilo. I'm an attorney on behalf today of the Committees for Land, Air, Water, and Species. I personally have been actively involved in Martins Beach issues for the past eight years, and familiar with both the practical aspect of access at Martins Beach, as well as the legal and political landscape that's involved here.

First, I'd like to underscore support for the staff's recommendation for the item — the action item that's before you. That's an appropriate step to authorize the procedures to be and the mechanisms to be able to receive funds.

But secondly, I would like to also urge that the Commission move this process forward. There has been considerable effort to develop the necessary tools to be able to take the next step. A preliminary appraisal has been completed. I guess most importantly, the easement that would be necessary in order to allow access by the public to the State's sovereign lands has been identified. And that preliminary analysis has been done.

The time is right now to move. And one thing that happens as time passes, in these kind of
circumstances, is that information and data and studies
and reports get dated, and they have become no longer
useful, and they have to be repeated.

So I think it is imperative to move forward
quickly, given the -- or move forward expeditiously, but
deliberately, to address the issues that are forward --
there are present right now.

And I would also note that there is right now no
access or sporadic access to Martins Beach. And access
delayed is access denied. And it does very much seem that
it's appropriate to go ahead. Given the status of
negotiations having stalled, we'd strongly encourage your
Commission to consider what is the appropriate next step
in this matter.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much, Marc.

MS. JORDAN: Susan Jordan, the Director of the
California Coastal Protection Network.

I really just want to applaud Surfrider, their
attorneys for their perseverance over the years, the State
Lands Commission, for actually helping to facilitate this,
Jerry Hill for carrying this fight on and on and on. I
mean, I have watched with amazement and at times dismay at
how progress is made, and then it goes back, right?
Progress is made.
But this time, you're over one of the finish
lines here. You have an opportunity to actually do
something significant. And I think the message is -- the
message is not just to Vinod Khosla, it's not just to
Hollister Ranch. Look at Florida, where they passed a law
recently that restricts people to the wet sand. Florida,
the tourism mecca.

I mean, there's a -- there's a sense of
entitlement that people have who own property to not allow
people to go to the beach. The public is demonized. They
are shut out. We can't let this happen. I mean, it can't
happen at Martins Beach. It can't happen in Hollister.
They are not enforcing the law in Florida, because of the
sense of public outrage and demonstrations that have come
out since they tried to enforce it.

So, in any event, I think, you know, you've got
the momentum now finally. I don't want to see us step
back. I don't want to see too much caution. There's
always risk, but you don't achieve anything unless you
take that risk.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Susan.

MR. MARION: Esteemed Commission Members, thank
you for listening to me as an average American working
man. Please excuse my casual dress, but I did wear my
best back brace for you.

(Laughter.)

MR. MARION: I do not want to denigrate our President. However, we, the average folks in America on whose backs the country was and is built, need people such as yourselves to fight for us. Individually, we are powerless under the rich and connected, as individually we cannot afford large prestigious law firms to fight our battles as they can.

I hope and pray that America will continue to be a place for all rich, poor, Christian, atheists, the list goes on. I hope we continue to be the nation that the world looks to for guidance, the nation that still says give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses yearning to be free.

I do not want us to become a play for -- pay for play nation. What worries me is that this appears to be where we may presently be headed. Like it or not, California leads the nation in make fun of us or not, call us Leftifornia. What we do the nation and most of the free world later follows.

Not all of us can afford to live in a Trump Tower where the air and water is filtered, and the community is gated and guarded. As such, we now have to breathe the air with coal power plants being fired up, where 1,400
more deaths per year are predicted, and global warming effects will accelerate.

I know we need the rich and powerful because without them, we would be in danger of abject poverty, possible mayhem, and chaos. However, the rich and powerful need to have a much greater social consciousness, which some seem to have lost of forgotten. Access to this nat -- the natural beaches of the state and nation is but one of these. I will not be able to afford a beach-front home in such a place at Martins.

My only hope is people like -- and people like me is to hope that I can have access to visit, protect, and appreciate these wonderful treasurers. We all need motivation to do better. If we cannot visit these places, it is another motivation loss. You are my only hope.

What happens at Martins will set the stage for beach access throughout the state. It's a matter of ensuring the law has meaning. The State Lands Commission has a law as a powerful tool that can be used to restore the long-denied access to Martins.

That State now has the funding. All we need is for you to act. We would like to urge you to move forward with acquiring the easement at Martins Beach without further delay.

Four seconds to spare. Thank you so much.
(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Marion.

Thank you. All right, Commissioners, any comments?

Yes. Yes, please, Commissioner Viola.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: I'd like to ask the Executive Officer if there's any intent to have anything publicly facing that acknowledges that individuals and organizations that do contribute to the subaccount?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We -- that is possible. We do have to be careful about those that donate that do not want to have their identity acknowledged. But that is possible, if that's something that they wish to do, so...

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Just a thought --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We can think about that.

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Usually, we're dealing it with the other way where folks don't to -- their individual information to be posted on our website as -- in fact, we spend a lot of time with the donation form making sure that we were respectful of those wishes. But certainly we can take another look and provide that option, if that's something that they want to advertise.
ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Understood. The optimist in me would hope that something like that could potentially catalyze other -- other donations as a form of positive peer pressure.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Of course. Of course.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you. Other comments, members? Okay. Hearing none, we do have the delegation before us. Is there a motion?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Move approval of the delegation.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez to approve the delegation of the authority to the Executive Officer to deposit, receive monies into the Martins Beach Subaccount of the Kapiloff Fund -- Land Fund with Commissioner Viola seconding?

ACTING COMMISSIONER VIOLA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Without objection, the delegation is approved.

Thank you. Okay.

I believe now we are on to public comment. Okay. Let me thank you -- thank to members of the audience who participated throughout the day. We do have a number of public speakers speaking on items not on our agenda today.
Let me call you up, hopefully in groups.

Our first speaker is Don Holland, who's -- the Cabrillo Boat Shop.

Then I'm going to ask -- then Reneé Lawler to follow Mr. Holland.

Is Don Holland in the audience?

No. Okay. Ms. Lawler do you want to come forward.

MS. LAWLER: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Please. Thank you. Thank you for your patience.

MS. LAWLER: Chairman, Commissioners, staff, thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today. My name is Reneé Lawler. I'm here to speak as an individual stakeholder. However, I am a native to Long Beach, and am also a founding director of two nonprofits. Citizen About Responsible Planning, and the Historic Equestrian Trail Association in Southern California.

I'm here to speak, to ask, and compel the Commission to consider reviewing your jurisdiction over the L.A. River, specifically in reference to the south L.A. River and the revitalization efforts that are occurring as a result of AB 530, which is Lower Los Angeles River Revitalization Bill that was put into law in 2015.
So the purpose of that legislation was to gather all of the entities together that basically have a toe in the water of the L.A. River, and to realize that not any one individual entity or commission has the authority to deal with all of the complex issues that cover the L.A. River.

So I'm here specifically to talk about a culturally significant group that has consistently been ignored, and, in essence, its numbers greatly reduced through the years, the equestrian community, of which I am personally a stakeholder.

So what's happened is that with AB 530, the reason that I'm asking for your jurisdictional review is that the bill indicated that the sovereignty of the Lower Los Angeles River is still retained with the State of California. Now, the question is was that just pueblo rights of the water or does that also include the lands that are adjacent to the channelized river?

In the instance of the equestrian community, equestrians have actually resided on these riverlands predating statehood. So the cultural significance of horses date to two significant periods of California history, both the Spanish period and the Rancho period.

In my particular situation, in our community, horses have literally been on these lands, in the instance
of our homes, since 1922, but there's also clear evidence to show that horses have been in this same location since the days of the Rancho.

So what's happened is that there have been decisions made and projects that have been moving forward that are decoupling the horses -- the resident horses from these lands. And while the process has gone on so far to where our lifestyle will probably significantly be changed or eliminated, and we may not be able to survive in the same Rancho lifestyle that we've enjoyed for hundreds of years, my hope is that the Commission will reassert its jurisdiction over this process, over these lands, so that there's not a methodical reduction of the equestrian communities, which is what has occurred.

So coupled with the L.A. River revitalization effort, and city zoning changes, and a multitude of other decisions, the equestrian community, which is predominantly a minority - it's a great deal of Hispanics, not to mention just a minority when you look at us from comparison to multi-user groups, such as bikers or walking usage - the multi-use that has encroached upon lands that used to be open space for our horses to use has now overrun the land to the point where we can't safely function and survive as a cultural community.

So I do respectfully request that you insert
yourself in the process. You've not been involved in this revitalization effort, and it's critical for the survival of this community. So that's issue number one.

Issue number two has to do with tidelands and the fact that the City of Long Beach is making decisions over tidelands. And there is some question possibly as to the jurisdiction of certain decisions over the Long Beach tidelands with respect to the revision of oil maps, and a potential new drilling operation that's going to be proposed in the tideland area, and the likelihood that the State Lands Commission should be pre-approving any revisions to any oil drilling amendments or agreements, and that would be as per the Mallon versus City of Long Beach case that came back in 1956.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Lawler. Is Mr. Holland in the audience? Okay. Let me have the -- our group of speakers here on the Rancho LPG Holdings come forward. That would be Peter Rosenwald, Janet Gunter, Noel Weiss, and Chuck Hart.

MS. GUNTER: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon.

MS. GUNTER: It looks like Peter left.

In 1973, President Nixon influenced the
development of a massive 25 million gallon highly explosive liquefied petroleum gas storage facility to be built near the Port of Los Angeles to receive Algerian imparts by pipeline from a wharf.

The influence -- this influence granted the Petrolink facility emergency exemptions from siting regulations, building permits, fire regulations, American Petroleum Institute standards, and CEQA with zero public notice to pre-existing homes and schools within 1,000 feet.

The deficient Environmental Impact Report was conducted and approved by the Port of Los Angeles, even though the facility is on private land lying outside the boundaries of the port.

The volatile facility sits directly within the only earthquake rupture zone in the entire L.A. Harbor region. It has a magnitude 7.3 quake potential, and is on landslide and liquefaction areas. The tanks were built to a seismic substandard of 5.5.

The current business operation is an entirely different one. Plains All American Pipeline purchased this facility when it was 37 years old in 2008. Plains has gambled for years on antiquated infrastructure projects, including its Santa Barbara oil pipeline. The business currently being conducted there never required an
EIR, nor has it ever performed a risk analysis, even though it's three-mile blast radius has been recognize.

The Port of L.A. continues to allow the use of Public Trust Lands to facilitate a private business operation. Without the use of a pipeline extending over public trust properties to outside refineries miles away, and of a port-related rail line, this facility could not exist.

The State Lands Commission's purpose is to act as guardians of our Public Trust Lands for the benefit of our people. The burden here far outweighs any benefit. The high jeopardy to thousands of lives and to the commercial ports is unbelievable in its scope of disaster. This Commission, like every other official, has balked at talking action and has sought any reason to ignore the high threat.

Mr. Newsom is seeking to be Governor. The opinion of the most recognized risk expert in this country, Dr. Bob Bea, a predictor of the Deep Horizon and Oroville Dam disasters, is that this site offers a monumental and overripe opportunity for catastrophe. It is oil company control over politicians that keep them all frozen in non-action.

Plains, Valero, and Tesoro Oil are all involved. These giants have the clout to do what we cannot. There
are 700 new homes being built in the shadow of these tanks. Where is the moral leadership and courage to avert this impending disaster. If nothing else, it is your job protect to economic the economic engine of this state, the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, from certain decimation.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Ms. Gunter.

Next, please.

MR. HART: Thank you for this opportunity once again. It is my fifth time addressing you on this issue. I've taken the liberty to summarize the meaningful comments made by the Commissioners at the August 17th, 2018 meeting regarding the Rancho LPG issue.

Executive Officer Lucchesi acknowledged the urgency of our concerns stating, "The risks are real, and the concerns are real and sincere".

Lieutenant Governor Newsom suggested that the Commission use your moral and formal authority to effectuate some closure and mediation.

Executive Officer Lucchesi was commissioned to reach out to the Attorney General's office and to the city regarding decisions made about the facility and its impact on the community. In closing, Commissioner Yee said that she found the whole matter pretty appalling, because it
had gone on far so long, where community concerns had not been addressed, adding that, "The Commission cannot just turn their backs to the communities affected by this facility". She hoped the officials with the city and the Port would take this issue a little more seriously.

She noted that there had not been the process to bring people and parties together to really look comprehensively at risk analysis with a high degree of transparency that involved all stakeholders. She encouraged Port and City officials to consider a comprehensive risk analysis involving all these stakeholders, including the public.

I'm here to let you know that while we continually reach out to the port, the city, legislators, regulators, and to Plains Rancho, we, and the surrounding communities, remain at risk. There has been no community coming together, no comprehensive risk analysis, no transparency. Innocent lives are still at risk, while these stakeholders are seemingly unwilling or unable to move forward.

You are the trustees of Public Trust Lands, and leading the way in environmental justice policy. Your voices and stated concerns are valid and more so needed.

The Attorney General's opinion that you requested, while giving the Commission cover to take no
action to protect these public lands, and trusted to your oversight does offer the option to institute litigation against the Port.

The Commission has professed a genuine belief that the Rancho LPG operation poses a high risk to not only public safety, but to the economic engine of the state, the Ports of L.A. and Long Beach. If that belief is truly sincere, as stated, we urge you to follow your consciousness and initiate litigation for an independent and comprehensive risk analysis. To do anything less points to a profound manipulation of the Commission by the big oil industries who have shown a complete disregard for this danger.

Thank you again for the opportunity to address you on this very important issue.

Thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Next speaker, please.

MR. WEISS: Commissioners, hi. My name is Noel Weiss. Ms. Yee, I hope you're recovering.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

MR. WEISS: My request of the Commission today in follow up is really to agendize, have the Commission direct that we can agendize, even as an informational matter, the question of the extent to which the city, the
Mayor, the Controller of the City of Los Angeles, as far as I'm concerned add in the federal government, to basically address the serious issue relating to the public safety hazard that is reflected by -- and I'm not talking about the facility now. I'm talking about the transport using Tidelands Trust assets of butane and propane through the port in a manner that's never been authorized specifically anywhere at all by any document. And more importantly, there has been no accounting by way of a management audit, by way of a financial audit of what is being paid other than one segment, the rail spur segment. That means that the use of this Tidelands Trust asset may be -- really ends up to be a huge rip-off for the taxpayers, or actually for the people of the state, because they're using Tidelands Trust assets without paying adequate compensation, without providing for adequate insurance. And God forbid something should happen, what we're really talking about is competent risk management.

Now, I understand -- actually, one common theme, after sitting here today, of your deliberations, I think it really is a balance, if you will, between socialism on the one hand, capitalism on the other. The Tidelands Trust is a socialized asset. It belongs to the people. You have to administer it, but there's always this byplay,
and it's reflected in every single item that's been before you. There's the desire of the private sector to privatize the gains on the backs of the public that is socializing the losses, and then the flip side is you want to socialize the gains, and privatize the losses to the extent possible.

That's a balance. It's not absolute basically on other side. But right now, Commission, it is so imbalanced in -- against the public interest, because the losses, God forbid something should happen, is going to be socialized on the backs of the people, and the Port.

And I understand that this Commission does not want to micromanage the Port. I respect that. I understand that. That's a reality of life. But nevertheless, it's certainly not unreasonable as an informational item for this Commission to say to the City of L.A., particularly after a year plus, to be able to say, you know, city -- Mayor Garcetti, what have you done? Where is your accounting? Controller of L.A. who has power to account, where is the management on it? Where is the financial audit? Where are the dollars going? Why haven't we basically confronted this in a meaningful way and what are you proposing to properly reconcile the balance, and what do you, the City of L.A., officially in public open and transparent want the Commission to do?
I think that's an appropriate rule for the Commission in this situation. It would prompt a public debate. It would prompt a public discussion. Because honestly, Commissioners, the one benefit that we have, the one thing that we have more than anything else is by keeping on the pressure and by promoting the public debate, we limit the possibility of an accident.

If it's silent, if we don't talk about it, the risk of cost cutting, the risk of not worrying, oh, let's just socialize it on the backs of the public, that risk is enhanced.

So by putting it on the agenda, I think you're protecting the public interest, you're forcing the City of L.A. to become accountable, you're forcing our federal representatives to be accountable, Congresswoman Barragán just introduced a bill, but Ted Lieu did not sign on to that bill. And that was wrong of Ted Lieu, with all due respect to Ted Lieu. Why didn't he do that?

Maybe he will. Maybe that would force it. And I appreciate the indulgence in terms of my time going forward, but honestly Commissioners, again, my request at least please agendize this, so we can have a competent, fair, honest, open, public discussion on this, so we can properly reconcile all of the conflicting economic and political and social differing, conflicting interest that
basically exist, and I appreciate your consideration.
Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Weiss.

Any other members of the public wish to address
the Commission?

All right. Seeing none. Then, Ms. Lucchesi,
what is our next order of business.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our next order of
business is to adjourn into closed session. But before
that, if I can just indulge the Commission for one minute.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sure, please.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I forgot to mention
something during my Executive Officers report that's
important.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Earlier today, we
did have a change in the Director of Finance.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We have a new
Director of Finance, Keely Bosler. And I just wanted to
assure the Commission and assure the members of the public
that are watching this, that the delegation of
representation to Commissioner Wong-Hernandez is all in
order, given the change in the Director of Finance that
occurred this morning. So everything is legit, and I just
wanted to make sure that was part of the public record, given the change.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Lucchesi. Thank you. And very glad Ms. Wong-Hernandez will continue with us representing the administration.

All right. I believe our next order of business is adjourning into closed session. And, at this time, let me ask the members of the public to please clear the room so we may convene in closed session.

Thank you.

(Off record: 3:54 p.m.)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed into closed session.)

(Thereupon the meeting reconvened open session.)

(On record: 5:25 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We'll now reconvene in open session. The Commission met in closed session and I believe Mr. Meier you have a report.

CHIEF COUNSEL MEIER: Yes. The Commission consented to resolving the quite title action Terminal One Development LLC versus State of California. This case concerns title to property proposed for development along the waterfront in the City of Richmond. The Commission has concurred with the plaintiff's position regarding the
respective ownership interests of the city and the State.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you very much, Mr. Meier.

Any other business to come before the Commission? Seeing none and hearing none, this meeting is adjourned.

Thank you.

(Thereupon the California State Lands Commission meeting adjourned at 5:26 p.m.)
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