## CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Summary of Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>General Description of the Cosumnes River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Navigability Defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act of Admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Cosumnes River - Declarations of Navigability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Historical Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Recreational Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Chronological Summary of Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Public Land and Private Grant Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Swamp and Overflowed Lands - Surveys and Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>County Boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>Reports of the Chief of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>Cosumnes River Flow Data at Michigan Bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTENTS cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Maps Showing River Miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Maps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Miscellaneous Maps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVII</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of U. S. Geological Survey Topographic Maps (Quads)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Research Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIX</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAPS

Portions of Department of the Interior, U. S. Geological Survey Maps:

State of California 1:1,000,000
State of California North Half 1:500,000
Sacramento California 1:250,000
CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

This navigability study of the Cosumnes River is a result of the increased attention in the State’s sovereign interest in the rivers throughout California.

State sovereign interest exists in many of these waterways and consists of:

1. Fee title between the Ordinary High Water Marks in areas subject to the tides; and
2. Fee title between the Ordinary Low Water Marks in navigable, non-tidal areas.

In addition, the Public Trust Easement exists between the Ordinary High and Low Water Marks in navigable, non-tidal waterways.

The last natural course of the waterway defines the location of this sovereign interest.

The purpose of this report was to collect data and analyze evidence to help determine the extent of navigability on the Cosumnes River; enabling us to more clearly establish sovereign interest. Specifically, this report addresses how much of the river is and was navigable. The determination of the last natural location of the river and public use easements were not included in this study.

Although our research was extensive, obviously all sources were not exhausted and further findings may change the conclusions drawn in this report.
CHAPTER II
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

California's sovereign interest exists in that portion of the Cosumnes River subject to the tides and lying between the Ordinary High Water Marks. According to the United States Army, Corps of Engineers, tidal influence exists at a point approximately 3.5 miles upstream from its mouth.

California's sovereign interest also exists in that portion of the river that is non-tidal and navigable in its last natural location. The following evidence supports historical navigability:

Three ranchos exist along the Cosumnes River in Townships 6 and 7 North, Range 6 East and Township 7 North, Range 6 East, Mount Diablo Meridian. The left bank of the river was meandered in Ranchos Sanjon de los Moquelumnes and Cosumnes. Rancho Omochumnes lies northwesterly of these ranchos and on the opposite side of the river. Here, the surveyor meandered the right bank. That portion which lies northwesterly of Rancho Sanjon de los Moquelumnes and not within Rancho Omochumnes (Section 29, T6N, R6E, MDM) lies within Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 609, which also meandered the river. Therefore, the bed of the Cosumnes River was excluded from private ownership within the area from the west line of Section 29, T6N, R6E, MDM to the northeast corner of Rancho Omochumnes which lies within Section 1, T7N, R7E, MDM.

In addition, incidental evidence exists. Dayler's Ferry operated across the Cosumnes River in 1853 at the present site of Sloughhouse. Also, according to testimony included in the proceedings of State of California
v. United States (within the area included in S & O 609) N. W. Coons navigated the swamplands just downstream of the Highway 99 / 50 bridge in 1868.

Presently, portions of the Cosumnes River are navigable and used for recreational purposes. The river between its mouth to a point about eight miles above Latrobe Road Bridge can be navigated with open canoes and rafts for seven months between December through June. The remaining months have either flows that are too low or no flows at all. Two portages are necessary within this area: Granlees Dam and below Latrobe Road Bridge. A more difficult level of boating exists above this portion to Highway 49.

The North Fork of the river between its junction with the main river upstream for four miles can be navigated at times. The Middle Fork of the river from its junction at the North Fork and main channel can be navigated for approximately three miles. Above this point it is extremely difficult and many portages are needed. There is no use of the South Fork for recreational purposes.

In 1975 Sacramento County Counsel Clement J. Dougherty, Jr. determined that the Cosumnes River was capable of being navigated from Michigan Bar to the point where it joins the Mokelumne River. This determination was the result of a request from the Sacramento County Community Development and Environmental Protection Agency to determine whether the Cosumnes River is navigable.

The public land surveys offer little evidence of navigability. The earliest instructions for the survey of public lands referring to non-navigable waterways was in 1864. These instructions stated that “Rivers not embraced in the class denominated "navigable" under the statute, but which are well defined natural arteries of internal communication and have a
uniform width, will only be meandered on one bank." This was usually the right bank. The manual of 1881 instructed the same. The manual of 1871 was a reprint of the 1855 manual and, as such, did not address this issue.

The survey for T5N, R5E, MDM (May 23, 1870) meanders the right bank of the river in Section 2. The survey for T7N, R7E, MDM (June 23, 1871) meanders the left bank in only a small portion of Section 1, probably to tie the public lands to the ranchos. The various surveys for T7N, R8E, MDM meander the south bank of the river. The surveys for the remainder of the townships including the Cosumnes River do not meander the river and therefore did not segregate it from the public lands. In essence, only small portions of the river were meandered and only on one side as the surveyor was instructed to do for non-navigable waterways.

The Harbors and Navigation Code does not include the Cosumnes River, however, as noted in chapter 4 the court held in People v. Mack (19 CA 3d 1040) that "the failure of the Legislature to designate Fall River in the list of navigable waters in the Harbors and Navigation Code sections 101 - 106, is of no consequence."

The United States Army, Corps of Engineers does not include the Cosumnes River in its list of navigable waterways either.
CHAPTER III
GENERAL DESCRIPTION
OF THE COSUMNES RIVER

The 580 square mile basin drained by the Cosumnes River lies on the western slope of the Sierra Nevada. The Cosumnes River lies between the American River to the north and the Mokelumne River to the south. Beginning in El Dorado County the main channel, or south fork of the river, becomes the boundary between El Dorado and Amador Counties, then flows into Sacramento County joining the Mokelumne River. This river junction is about 25 miles south of the City of Sacramento and just east of Interstate 5 near Thornton. The north and middle forks of the river lie in El Dorado County.

The origin of its name is believed to be from the Miwok Indian work “kosom” meaning salmon and the suffix “umne” meaning tribe or people. Like other rivers in the area, the Cosumnes River has been spelled a variety of ways throughout history. The present spelling was probably established by John Sutter, however, it was not until 1909 that the Geographic Board agreed on this spelling as official. Earlier spellings include: Consumne, Cosumni, Cosmenes, Mokesumne and Mokosumne.

In the mid 1800’s the Cosumnes River was one of the many streams subject to the “gold rush” that swept through California. As a result, its waters became some of the most damaged of the central valley rivers due to the hydraulic mining operations. Mining camps flourished in these earlier times becoming established towns; while some remain only remnants of the settlements that once prospered.
CHAPTER IV
NAVIGABILITY DEFINED

When the original thirteen colonies separated from Great Britain and became states, they acquired title to the beds of all navigable waterways; unless otherwise stipulated by a previous grant. As the United States acquired other territories it became vested with the title to the beds of navigable waterways within its acquisitions. This title remained with the federal government, in trust, for future states. Upon admission to the Union, September 9, 1850, California acquired the beds of all navigable waterways by virtue of its sovereignty on an equal footing with the original thirteen colonies. (The Act of Admission is included on page 10 of this report.)

The term "navigability", as used when referring to title aspects, is a complex and confusing term. Different concepts exist as different tests are applied in determining the extent of navigability. Usually, two aspects exist. First, regulation of commerce by the federal government which is determined by the federal courts. The point at which federal agencies, such as the Corps of Engineers, declare navigability is a result of where commerce can be conducted, practically speaking. Second, title purposes, which includes the state's sovereign interest. Historical evidence of navigation, as well as the present actual navigation even by small recreational craft, is taken into consideration.

One of the standard determinations of navigability for title purposes is a result of the 1870 Daniel Ball case (77 US 577) which in part reads:

"Those Rivers must be regarded as public navigable rivers in law which are navigable in fact when they are used, or susceptible of being
used, in their ordinary condition, as highways for commerce, over which trade and travel are or may be conducted in the customary modes of trade and travel on water.”

This has come to be known as the federal test for navigability.

The recently decided Gulkana River case in Alaska (Alaska v. Ahtna, et al No. 87-355 — December 13, 1989) upheld this by stating that actual commercial use at statehood is not required — susceptibility is enough.

Navigability-in-fact includes many considerations: Sufficient capacity for navigation by ordinary methods including depth, obstructions, periodic availability, etc.

Patton in his book Land Title, section 131, however, points out that public waters, even though not navigable in a commercial sense or in which the term was originally employed, are navigable when they are able to support the use of small craft, floating and storing logs, and use by vessels of less than ordinary draft. Also included here are pleasure boating, hunting, fishing and use as a source of water by municipalities and agriculture.

In another recent decision in Bess v. Humboldt County (March 8, 1990, California Superior Court, Humboldt County #82558), the court found that the Van Duzen River is navigable and that the State of California owns the bed of the river to the Ordinary Low Water Marks, with the Public Trust Easement existing to the Ordinary High Water Marks. This case is significant in that it respected the use of small recreational craft in determining navigability. In the 1971 case of People v. Mack (19 CA 3d 1040) the court stressed that streams are a vital recreational resource and the public can exercise its rights in this respect.

The definition included in section 100 of the Harbors and Navigation Code
states: “Navigable waters and all streams of sufficient capacity to transport the products of the county are public ways for the purposes of navigation and of such transportation. However, the floodwaters of any navigable river, stream, slough, or other watercourse while temporarily flowing above the normal high-water mark over public or private lands outside any established banks of such river, stream, slough, or other watercourse are not navigable waters and nothing in this section shall be construed as permitting trespass on any such lands. For the purpose of this section, “floodwaters” refers to that elevation of water which occurs at extraordinary times of flood and does not mean the water elevation of ordinary annual or recurring high waters resulting from normal runoff.”

The purpose of the Harbors and Navigation Code was regulatory. A river declared navigable to a point as stated by the code was in fact navigable, at least, to that point for commercial navigation. Title was not a consideration. The fact that a river was not included in the Code did not indicate that it was not navigable. The court held in People v. Mack (19 CA 3d 1040) regarding the Fall River in Shasta County that “the failure of the Legislature to designate Fall River in the list of navigable waters in Harbors and Navigation Code sections 101 - 106, is of no consequence.” Other, similar cases agreed.

Ann Brodsky, staff researcher for the Montana Legislative Council notes in her report Understanding the term Navigability (SLC #333.915 BRO), that the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers has established yet other interpretations. Some key elements of their definitions follow:

1. “Sufficient commerce may be shown by historical use of canoes, bateaux, or other frontier craft... The goods involved might be grain, furs... Logs are a common example;... the logs must have been related to a commercial venture.”
2. "It is the waterbody's capability of use by the public for commerce which is the determinative factor, and not the time, extent or manner of that use."

3. "A stream may be navigable despite the existence of falls, rapids, sand bars... or similar obstructions."

The Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management in their 1973 *Manual of Surveying Instructions* states that navigable rivers and bayous, as well as all rivers not navigable, the right angle width of which is 3 chains and upwards, are meandered on both banks, at the ordinary mean high water mark. In original surveys, meander lines are run to segregate the body of water from the remaining public land in order to determine quantity. This is yet another form of evidence in determining the extent of historic navigability. Throughout the years the instructions to public land surveyors have changed. This is discussed further in section 9.

It is important to note how different agencies define the term "navigability" in their approach to determine the extent of navigation on a particular waterway. It helps in analyzing the scope of their work and their historical reports and records. It it is important to note here that although a county has taxed the riverbed it is not significant on the question of the river's navigability (People v. Mack 19 CA 3d 1040).

In summary, state sovereign interest in navigable waterways is based upon actual use or susceptibility of use, for commerce, navigation, fisheries and recreation. Tidal waterways are navigable without any test.

**Note:** This section was excerpted from *Navigability Study of the Mokelumne River*, prepared by the staff of the State Lands Commission, October, 1990 with additional information added.
WHEREAS, The people of California have presented a constitution and asked admission into the Union, which constitution, was submitted to Congress, by the President of the United States by message, dated February thirteenth, eighteen hundred and fifty, and which, on due examination, is found to be republican in its form of government.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the State of California shall be one, and is hereby declared to be one, of the United States of America, and admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the original States in all respects whatever.

Section 2. And be it further enacted, That until the representatives in Congress shall be apportioned according to an actual enumeration of the inhabitants of the United States, the State of California shall be entitled to two representatives in Congress.

Section 3. And be it further enacted, That the said State of California is admitted into the Union upon the express condition that the people of said State, through their Legislature or otherwise, shall never interfere with the primary disposal of the public lands within its limits, and shall pass no law and do no act whereby the title of the United States to, and right to dispose of, the same shall be impaired or questioned; and that they shall never lay any tax or assessment of any description whatsoever upon the public domain of the United States, and in no case shall nonresident proprietors, who are citizens of the United States, be taxed higher than residents; and that all the navigable waters within the said State shall be common highways, and forever free, as well to the inhabitants of said State as to the citizens of the United States, without any tax, impost, or duty therefore. Provided, That nothing herein contained shall be construed as recognizing or rejecting the propositions tendered by the people of California as articles of compact in the ordinance adopted by the convention which formed the constitution of that State.

Approved, September 9, 1850
CHAPTER V
COSUMNES RIVER
DECLARATIONS OF NAVIGABILITY

The Harbors and Navigation Code does not include the Cosumnes River in its list of navigable bodies of water. The purpose of the Code, however, was regulatory. It included those rivers that were navigable, practically speaking, for commercial uses. As stated in People v. Mack (19 CA 3d 1040) “the failure of the Legislature to designate Fall River in the list of navigable waters in Harbors and Navigation Code sections 101 - 106, is of no consequence.”

Today, the United States Army, Corps of Engineers has established tidal influence to a point that is approximately 3.5 miles upstream from its mouth. Tidal waterways are navigable without any test. The Corps of Engineers, however, does not count the Cosumnes River as a navigable waterway.

Referring to a request made by the Community Development and Environmental Protection Agency to the County Counsel of Sacramento County, Clement Dougherty, Jr. concludes in his letter to William Pond of February 20, 1975 that the Cosumnes River is “capable of being navigated by motor propelled or oar propelled craft for pleasure purposes” from Michigan Bar to the point where it joins the Mokelumne River. Presently, the Title Unit of the State Lands Commission refers to this letter for their preliminary recommendation for sovereign land claims.
CHAPTER VI
HISTORICAL SUMMARY

DEVELOPMENT AND EFFECTS OF MINING

The Cosumnes River, like many of the other Sierra streams became an active mining source with the discovery of gold at Michigan Bar in 1849. As hydraulic mining became a popular method of extracting gold in the late 1850's, the Cosumnes River became one of several tributaries of the San Joaquin River which acted as a receptacle of mining debris. According to the reports of the Corps of Engineers the accumulation of this debris caused the once well-defined channel of the river to become threads and trickles; and the Cosumnes River became the most damaged of the southern streams from the effects of mining. (Chapter 8 includes further details of the Corps of Engineers' reports.)

BRIDGES, FERRIES AND DAMS

Some details exist regarding some of the early bridges and dams along the Cosumnes River, such as the dam built by Jared Sheldon near McCabe's Bridge (exact location unknown). According to Win. J. Davis in his book An Illustrated History of Sacramento County the following occurred: Jared Sheldon, the owner of what is commonly known as the Sheldon Grant, bought a piece of land about one-half mile above the present site of McCabe's Bridge in 1851, and proceeded to erect a costly dam and dig a race about three-quarters of a mile long. The dam was built of square timbers, tied together with oak ties and filled in with rock; the height was about 16 feet. When the water began to reach the mining claims, the miners
sent him a written protest against the dam. Sheldon built a fort on a point of rocks which overlooked the dam, and placed a cannon in it. On July 12, 1851 the fort sentries were surprised and the fort was taken. In a gun fight, Sheldon and two of his men were killed (Johnson and Cody). The dam was opened to let the water off and was entirely swept away by the high water of 1851-52.

Several other dams were built within the watershed of the Cosumnes River in the 1900’s and are described in chapter 5 — Historical Summary.

A bridge was built at Michigan Bar and Dayler’s Ferry operated across the Cosumnes River at the location of what is now Sloughhouse. Little else was recorded regarding early bridges and ferries.

NAVIGATION

Few recordings were made regarding historical navigation on the Cosumnes River. One account exists prior to statehood. In his *Narrative of Life and Events in California, 1848-1849*, William R. Grimshaw tells of his April, 1849 crossing of the Cosumnes River on a tule raft. He crossed at the location of what is Highway 99 / 50 today. This account is discussed in detail in chapter 8 — Chronological Summary of Events.

According to testimony included in correspondence regarding Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 609 — prior to 1868, N. W. Coons used a boat Sundays to hunt ducks in the swamplands just downstream of the present site of the Highway 99 / 50 bridge.

Accounts of recreational boating and rafting were made as early as 1936 and continue to the present time. See chapter 7 — Recreational Activities and chapter 8 — Chronological Summary.
CHAPTER VII
RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Currently, recreational boating occurs on various portions of the Cosumnes River at times of higher flows; usually between the months of December to June. Specific details of actual recreational boating are included in chapter 8 - Chronological Summary of Events. These references are only the examples found in the sources cited. Additional research may be warranted to determine just how extensively the river is used for recreational activities. Other sources, not researched for this report, may reveal additional activities.

COSUMNES RIVER

The river between its mouth to a point about eight miles above Latrobe Road Bridge can be navigated with open canoes and rafts between December through June (7 months). The remaining months have either flows that are too low or no flows at all. Two portages are necessary; one is at Granlees Dam about two miles below Michigan Bar, the other portage is about one half mile below Latrobe Road Bridge, around two waterfalls, falling about twenty feet. Excluding the portage areas, the river is classified as Class I, II and III in June (very easy, easy and medium). There is no access to the river for a canoe or raft eight miles above Latrobe Road Bridge (west of State Highway 49).

Above this stretch of river to State Highway 49 (about two miles) the river gradient is about 100 feet per mile and is considered a Class IV (difficult with one portage).
MIDDLE FORK COSUMNES RIVER

Beginning at the junction of the North Fork and the main river going upstream, the first three miles of river are considered a Class II, with a 50-foot per mile gradient. It then changes to a Class IV-VI (difficult, very difficult and extremely dangerous) — experts only in this area, with many portages.

NORTH FORK COSUMNES RIVER

The North Fork of the river between its junction with the main river, upstream four miles (about 2 miles above Nashville) can be navigated in open canoe. This area is considered a Class II.

SOUTH FORK COSUMNES RIVER

No use of the South Fork of the river at present has been found.

BOATING CLASSIFICATIONS / EQUIPMENT

According to Charles Martin — *Sierra Whitewater* the following are boating classifications and related equipment:

- **Class I**
  
  Very easy: Moving water with some small waves, eddies and current differentials.

- **Class II**
  
  Easy: Bigger waves, making a spray cover useful. Some ricks and other obstacles. Maneuvers are obvious and easy.
Class III  Medium: Rocky passages and moderate source holes. Requires inspection from the boat before running. Large and irregular waves, requiring spray cover. Boater must be able to read water, catch eddies, and steer around obstacles.

Class IV  Difficult: Big drops; holes that can stop a boat; rocks that must be skillfully avoided; roll required; rescue difficult. Usually must be scouted from shore before running.

Class V  Very difficult: Long and very violent, etc.

Class VI  Extremely dangerous: Possible route, but severe danger of loss of boat and/or life, etc.

**Boating Equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of craft</th>
<th>Maximum difficulty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innertube</td>
<td>Class II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-man inflatable raft</td>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open canoe</td>
<td>Class II - III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflatable kayak</td>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foldboat kayak</td>
<td>Class II - IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decked fiberglass kayak and canoe</td>
<td>Class V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ten-man raft with rowing frame</td>
<td>Class V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER VIII
CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY
OF EVENTS

April, 1849

According to William R. Grimshaw in his *Narrative of Life and Events in California, 1848-1849* tells of his April, 1849 crossing of the Cosumnes River on Thomas J. Shaddin’s tule raft, on the trail between Monterey and Sutter’s Fort. “A quantity of tule had been bound together so as to form a solid boat or raft. In the center of this the passenger placed his saddle, bridle and blankets, and knelt down immediately abaft of them. A piece of rawhide was stretched across the ferry and by this the ferry man standing on the forward part of the “boat” pulled her across. The horses were made to swim over. It was not without fear that I trusted myself to this frail structure, but there was no choice, and in crossing other streams on my journey I would have been thankful for even this means of ferryage.” *(Thesis of Arethusa A. South, 1935, California Inland Navigation, Bancroft.)*

1849

The trail from Monterey and Sutter’s Fort crossed the Cosumnes River where Highway 99/50 crosses today, as shown on the *Official Map of California* compiled by R. A. Eddy, 1854.

1849

According to Hon. Win. J. Davis in his book *An Illustrated History of Sacramento County*, gold was discovered at Michigan Bar.

1851

Hon. Win. J. Davis in his book *An Illustrated History of Sacramento County*, describes the dam at McCabe’s Bridge. Jared Sheldon, the owner of what is commonly known as the Sheldon Grant, bought a piece of land about one-half mile above the present site of McCabe’s
1851 cont. Bridge in 1851, and proceeded to erect a costly dam and dig a race about three-quarters of a mile long. The dam was built of square timbers, tied together with oak ties and filled in with rock; the height was about 16 feet. When the water began to reach the mining claims, the miners sent him written protest against the dam. Sheldon built a fort on a point of rocks which overlooked the dam, and placed a cannon in it. On July 12, 1851 the fort sentries were surprised and the fort was taken. In a gun fight Sheldon and two of his men were killed. The dam was opened enough to let the water off and was entirely swept away by the high water of 1851-1852.

1853 According to Erwin G. Gudde in his book *California Gold Camps*, Dayler's Ferry operated across the Cosumnes, indicated on Trask's map, at the present sight of Sloughhouse.

1858 Hydraulic mining began at Michigan Bar.

February 2, 1859 Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 39 (date of sale February 23, 1856) Sections 22 and 27, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to Cosumnes River in description; shown and labeled on sketch.

August 22, 1861 Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 38 (date of sale February 23, 1856) Sections 22 and 27, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to Cosumnes River in description; shown and labeled on sketch.

1861 - 1862 Flood.

May 2, 1866 Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 623 (date of sale January 24, 1861) Section 30, T6N, R6E, MDM — calls to Cosumnes River but does not meander in description; shown and labeled on sketch.
1868  

N. W. Coons used a boat most Sundays to hunt ducks in the swamp and overflowed lands of the Cosumnes River just downstream of the present site of the Highway 99 bridge. (See legal proceedings of *State of California v. United States* contained in Swamp and Overflowed Survey 609 - Sacramento County).

February 13, 1868  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 36 (date of sale February 14, 1856) Section 24, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in description; shown and labeled on sketch.

February 13, 1868  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 35 (date of sale February 23, 1856) Section 24 and 25, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in description; shown and labeled on sketch.

March 2, 1868  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 825 (date of sale November 10, 1865) Section 29, T6N, R6E, MDM — meanders stream; notes in patent that the land purchased is situate in T5N, R6E, being all that part of Section 29 lying north of the south bank of the Cosumnes River. (Note discrepancy of the township numbers.)

June 29, 1869  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 609 (date of sale January 23, 1861) Sections 19, 20 and 29, T6N, R6E, MDM — makes a call to the Cosumnes River — thence along river; shown and labeled on sketch also.

May 5, 1870  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 635 (date of sale January 29, 1861) Section 36, T6N, R5E, MDM — makes a call to the Cosumnes River, but does not meander; shown and labeled on sketch.

August 24, 1870  

Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 889 (date of sale October 25, 1866) Section 35, T6N, R5E, MDM — makes a call to the Cosumnes River, but does not meander; shown but not labeled on sketch.
July 13, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 31 (date of sale February 14, 1856) Section 26, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in the description; shown and labeled on sketch.

July 13, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 663 (date of sale April 5, 1861) Sections 26 and 27, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in description or sketch.

July 13, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 27 (date of sale February 13, 1856) Sections 27 and 34, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in the description; shown and labeled on sketch.

August 12, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 626 (date of sale January 23, 1861) Sections 23 and 26, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in description or sketch.

September 2, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 615 (date of sale January 24, 1861) Section 1, T5N, R5E, MDM — makes a call to the Cosumnes River, but does not meander it; shown but not labeled on sketch.

September 2, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 619 (date of sale January 23, 1861) Sections 12 and 7, T5N, R5-6E, MDM — makes a call to the Cosumnes River, but does not meander it; shown and labeled on sketch.

September 2, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 629 (date of sale January 24, 1861) Section 13, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to Cosumnes River in description or sketch.

September 2, 1872  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 697 (date of sale April 20, 1861) Section 13, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to Cosumnes River in description or sketch.
February 4, 1874  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 40 (date of sale February 25, 1856) Sections 21 and 28, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in the description; shown and labeled on sketch.

1879 - 1880  Flood.

April 9, 1887  Michigan Bar Bridge built. (Illustrated History of Sacramento County, Hon. Win. J. Davis.)

July 18, 1919  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 1 (date of sale February 13, 1855) Sections 28 and 29, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to Cosumnes River in description; shown and labeled on sketch.

1921  Granlees Dam built; owned by Cosumnes Irrigation Association on the Cosumnes River at RM 34. The dam does not control water flows. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

July 29, 1922  Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey 37 (date of sale February 14, 1856) Section 26, T5N, R5E, MDM — no reference to the Cosumnes River in description; maybe shown, but not labeled on sketch.

1936  Carl Trost, Fen Salter and Helmut Donner boated the section of the river between Latrobe Road Bridge to Bridge House (RM 42.0 to RM 32.7); Class II - III first three-quarters of a mile (Sierra Whitewater by Charles Martin).

Note: RM refers to a specific river mile of the Cosumnes River. See also chapter 14 — River Mile Maps for the exact location of references indicated with “RM.” See also chapter 7 — Recreational Activities for boating classifications.

1950  Van Vleck Dam built; owned by Stanley Van Vleck on Arkansas Creek which enters the
1950 cont. Cosumnes River at RM 31.5. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1950 D’Agostini Dam built; owned by Adele D’Agostini on Spanish Creek which enters the Middle Fork of the Cosumnes River at RM 5. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1952 Mills Dam built; owned by Stonehouse Investment Corp. on a tributary of the Cosumnes River which enters the river at RM 30. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1955 Sly Park Dam built; under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation on Sly Park Creek which enters the North Fork of the Cosumnes River. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1962 Aukum View Dam built; owned by Showcase Community Services District on Spanish Creek which enters the Middle Fork of the Cosumnes River at RM 5 (Middle Fork). (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1970 Thomas Harris boated the section of the river between Latrobe Road Bridge to Bridge House (RM 42.0 to RM 32.7); Class III to IV (medium-difficult) 400 yards to impassable cascade; portage mandatory, then Class II (easy) for the 4-5 miles to Michigan Bar Bridge. (Down the Wild Rivers - A Guide to the Streams of California by Thomas Harris).

1970 Thomas Harris boated the section of the river from Bridge House to Highway 99 (RM 32.7 to RM 11.0); Class I (very easy), during heavy flows Class III (medium). (Down the Wild

1970 Thomas Harris boated the section of the North Fork of the Cosumnes River (easy - medium difficulty) 3 miles upstream of Nashville - Highway 49 Bridge (RM 57.8 to RM 53.0). *(Down the Wild Rivers - A Guide to the Streams of California* by Thomas Harris).

1970 Thomas Harris boated the section of the Middle Fork from Highway E16 to Highway 49 Bridge (RM 15.0 to RM 0.0); Class IV - V (difficult, very difficult)); for rafts, decked canoes and kayaks. A diversion dam was built one mile upstream of the resort community of Outingdale. Gradient last 6 miles 80 - 120 feet per mile. *(Down the Wild Rivers - A Guide to the Streams of California* by Thomas Harris).

1973 Chuck Koteen and Bob Holland boated the section from Highway 49 to Latrobe Road Bridge (RM 52.7 to RM 42.0); From Highway 49 downstream two miles, Class IV - VI (difficult, very difficult, extremely difficult). The remaining eight miles downstream to Latrobe Road Bridge, Class II (easy). *(Sierra Whitewater - A Paddler's Guide to the Rivers of California* by Charles Martin.)

1973 Charles Martin boated the section of the river from Latrobe Road Bridge to Bridge House (RM 42.0 to RM 32.7); Class II - III first three-quarters of a mile then, two waterfalls, falling a total of 20 feet must portage. Michigan Bar to Bridge house Class I - II (very easy, easy mostly flat backwater. *(Sierra Whitewater - A Paddler's Guide to the Rivers of California* by Charles Martin.)

1973 In the fall of 1973, Sacramento County, through the Department of Parks and Recreation, initiated a study of the resources of the Cosumnes River.
Basin. The county wanted to develop an integrated recreation, open space and resource conservation system for the Cosumnes River Basin.

Under the section for "Bridge House Park," the report states "It is a convenient take-out point for float trips down the river from Michigan Bar and would serve as a picnic spot for travelers on Highway 16 as well. The area lies adjacent to an excellent swimming hole beneath the bridge."

And under the section "River Floating Trips", "The recreation potential of the Cosumnes River between Michigan Bar (or Latrobe Road in Amador County) and Bridge House for float trips should not be overlooked." (Final Report Cosumnes River Basin Resources Study, Jones and Stokes Assoc., and VTN Sacramento, June 27, 1974.)

Boating on Middle Fork in section from Highway E16 to Highway 49 Bridge (RM 15.0 to RM 0.0); Class IV - VI (difficult, very difficult, extremely difficult) - experts only with many portages. A group from Sunnyvale started with a fleet of inflatable kayaks and two-man rafts. Four members hiked out. One inflatable kayak and paddle were lost. It took the others eleven grueling hours to reach Highway 49. Halfway down they ran into another party of six rafters. The six had started with two rafts, lost one, the other was caught under a fallen tree. (Sierra Whitewater - A Paddler's Guide to the Rivers of California by Charles Martin.)

Al Chase and Tom Allen made a run on the Middle Fork two weeks after the rafters had been there (late April, 1973) from Highway E16 to Highway 49 Bridge (RM 15.0 to M 0.0); Class IV - VII (difficult, very difficult, extremely dangerous) experts only with many portages. (Sierra Whitewater - A Paddler's Guide to the Rivers of California by Charles Martin.)
Thirty members of the Mother Lode Chapter of the Sierra Club boated the section of the river between Michigan Bar Bridge to Meiss Road Bridge (Sloughhouse) (RM 36.0 to RM 25.8).

This chapter makes at least one organized run per year. They have been making this run for approximately 20 years, usually in the spring months when flows are high. Additionally, smaller informal trips of 5 boats and 7 people are made on the average of twice a month during the winter-spring months. This chapter considers 100 CFS flow a minimum for supporting a boat or canoe, usually the trips are made with kayaks or canoes. The Mother Lode Chapter adopts for this stretch of river a category Class I (very easy). (Navigability of the Cosumnes River, Clement J. Dougherty Jr., Deputy County Counsel, February 20, 1975.)

The Bay Area Chapter of the Sierra Club began making regular runs about 10 years ago in the section of the river from Latrobe Road Bridge to Mokelumne River (RM 42.0 to RM 0.0); Typically 20 - 50 people per run. In 1975 they had two or three trips per year with 6 - 10 boats per trip. Their favorite runs include a “white water” run from Latrobe Road to Bridge House and a canoe run from Bridge House to Meiss Road. When flows are adequate, trips are made from Bridge House to its mouth at the Mokelumne River. (Navigability of the Cosumnes River, Clement J. Dougherty Jr., Deputy County Counsel, February 20, 1975.)

Clement J. Dougherty, Deputy County Counsel, wrote a report on the Navigability of the Cosumnes River. Quoting his conclusions, “we must conclude that the Cosumnes River from Michigan Bar to the point where it joins the Mokelumne River is capable of being navigated by motor propelled or oar propelled small craft for pleasure purposes and accordingly pursuant to the test established in the Mack case is a
navigable river. Thus, the public has the right to navigate the river and to exercise the incidents of navigation such as swimming, hunting and fishing.”

February 6, 1975

Clement J. Dougherty, Sacramento County Counsel, with two other county employees and a representative of the California Department of Fish and Game boated the section of the river from Michigan Bar to Meiss Road Bridge (Sloughhouse) (RM 36.0 to RM 25.8). They used a kayak and a canoe, the trip took approximately 4 - 1/2 hours and was made with little difficulty. One portage was made at Granlees Dam, just above Bridge House. (Navigability of the Cosumnes River, Clement J. Dougherty Jr., Deputy County Counsel, February 20, 1975.)

1976

Clementia Dam built; owned by Rancho Murieta Community Services District on a tributary of the Cosumnes River which enters the river at RM 34. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1976

Boating apparently occurred on the Cosumnes as evidenced by letter to the Amador county Supervisors from the Cosumnes River Protective Association of Elk Grove. Quoting the letter “we are in the early stages of being invaded by rafters and other recreationists along the Cosumnes River” and “rafts are being put into the river (when there is sufficient water) at the Latrobe Road Bridge and at Gold Beach.” (Sacramento Bee, March 10, 1976.)

1980

Vicini Dam built; owned by Gordon J. Vicini on Laguna Creek (Willow Creek) which enters the Cosumnes River. (Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)

1983

Michigan Bar #2 Dam built; owned by Rancho Murieta Community Services on a tributary of the
1983 cont. Cosumnes River which enters the river at RM 32. *(Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)*

1989 Michigan Bar #1 Dam built; owned by Rancho Murieta Community Services on a tributary of the Cosumnes River which enters the river at RM 32. *(Dams Within Jurisdiction of the State of California, Department of Water Resources.)*
CHAPTER IX
PUBLIC LAND AND PRIVATE GRANT SURVEYS

Three private land grants existed along the Cosumnes River offering evidence of navigability: Rancho Sanjon de los Moquelumnes, Rancho Cosumnes and Rancho Omochumnes. All of which lie in Sacramento County.

The left bank of the Cosumnes River was meandered in ranchos Sanjon de los Moquelumnes and Cosumnes as shown on the plats of 1863 and 1868 respectively. Rancho Omochumnes (plat of 1867) lies northwesterly of these ranchos and on the opposite side of the Cosumnes River. Here, the surveyor meandered the right bank. That portion northwesterly of Rancho Sanjon de los Moquelumnes and not within Rancho Omochumnes lies within S & O 609 (Section 29, T6N, R6E, MDM). The river was also meandered in this survey. Therefore, the bed of the Cosumnes River was excluded from private ownership within the area from the west line of Section 29 T6N, R6E, MDM to the northeast corner of Rancho Omochumnes which lies within Section 1, T7N, R7E, MDM.

The public land surveys offer little evidence of navigability. The earliest instructions for the survey of public lands referring to non-navigable waterways was in 1864. These instructions stated that “Rivers not embraced in the class denominated “navigable” under the statute, but which are well defined natural arteries of internal communication and have a uniform width, will only be meandered on one bank.” This was usually the right bank. The manual of 1881 instructed the same. The 1871 manual was a reprint of the 1855 manual and, as such, did not address this issue.
The survey for T5N, R5E, MDM (May 23, 1870) meanders the right bank of the river in Section 2. The survey for T7N, R7E, MDM (June 23, 1871) meanders the left bank in only a small portion of Section 1, probably to tie the public lands to the ranchos. The various surveys for T7N, R8E, MDM meanders the south bank of the river. The surveys for the remainder of the townships including the Cosumnes River do not meander the river and therefore did not segregate it from public lands. In essence, only small portions of the river were meandered and only on one side as the surveyor was instructed to do for non-navigable waterways.

The notes for the surveys of the public lands and private grants include references to bridges, which may, or may not, support evidence of navigability.
Several swamp and overflowed land surveys were made in areas along the Cosumnes River. Only one of the surveys (S & O 609) makes a call along the river; which indicates that the bed of the river was meandered and segregated as sovereign land from the swamp and overflowed lands. S & O 609 lies in Sections 19, 20 and 29 of Township 6 North, Range 6 East (Mount Diablo Meridian).

Many of the other swamp and overflowed land surveys make calls to the Cosumnes River, however they do not meander it; some make no reference at all in the description, but show the river on the sketch. This offers little evidence of navigability.

S & O 825 (Section 29, Township 6 North, Range 6 East) meanders a stream, however, a note in the patent states that the land to be purchased is situate in Township 5 North, Range 6 East, being all that part of Section 29 lying north of the south bank of the Cosumnes River. This clearly included the bed of the river within the swamp and overflowed lands. (Note the discrepancy of the township numbers.)

More specific information regarding swamp and overflowed lands is included in chapter 7 — Chronological Summary of Events.
CHAPTER XI
COUNTY BOUNDARIES

The South Fork of the Cosumnes River forms a portion of the boundary between El Dorado County and Amador County. Throughout the changes of county boundaries all references to the river or its South Fork were to the "centre", "middle", "up" or "down."

Although it is not a part of the boundary of Sacramento County, a call is made to a point on the Cosumnes River.

The Government Code - sections 23103, 23109 and 23134 describe the present boundaries of Amador, El Dorado and Sacramento Counties respectively.
Throughout its history the United States Army, Corps of Engineers has engaged in numerous military and civil works projects. Although its primary responsibility is to the military, it has also acted as the principle developer of the country’s water resources.

In California, the Corps of Engineers has played an active role in surveying and monitoring its waterways. With the discovery of gold in the Sierra streams and later hydraulic mining, they focussed their attention on the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and their tributaries.

Some research was conducted by SLC staff regarding the Executive Documents (Corps of Engineers Reports) revealing matters related to hydraulic mining. Little information was found regarding the Cosumnes River specifically. Further research in these records may be warranted.

The Executive Document, 47 Congress, 2d Session, 1882-83 described hydraulic mining on the Cosumnes River as follows:

Hydraulic mining, used large jets of water, taken from the river upstream, and turned on the auriferous deposits. The material washed from the hillsides consisted mostly of sand and the finer detritus called “slickens” but included gravel, cobbles and boulders. Three ditches taking water from the river were used. Eagle Ditch from the right bank 6 miles above Michigan
Bar, discharged opposite Michigan Bar. Empire ditch taking water form the South and Middle Forks of the Cosumnes, after being used it drains into Indian Creek. Amador and Sacramento Canal and Mining Company Ditch, takes water from the left bank 16 miles above Michigan Bar. This water was used at the Hill Top Mine on a ridge between the Cosumnes and Arkansas Creek just before their junction, all the detritus finally gets into the river. At the discharging point at the Hill Top Mine the river becomes dammed up by an immense mass of coarse material. Below here the river before had quite high and well-defined banks, now the filling has changed the river into numerous threads and trickles rather than flows between the bars.

Just prior to this Lt. Payson's report (House Executive Document No. 76, 46th Congress, 3d session) revealed that the Cosumnes River was thought to be the most damaged of the southern streams from the effects of hydraulic mining.
CHAPTER XIII
COSUMNES RIVER FLOW DATA AT MICHIGAN BAR

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) has measured the flows in cubic feet per second (CFS) of the Cosumnes River since the water year 1907-1908. (A water year begins in October and ends the following September.) This water gage is Station Number 11335000 located on the river at Michigan Bar which is at RM 36. The maximum flows occur between December through June (7 months). The summer months are periods of low flow; the river occasionally drying up altogether south of the Meiss Road Bridge (near Sloughhouse at RM 25.8).

Note: “RM” refers to a specific river mile. See also chapter 14 — River Mile Maps for the location of references indicated with “RM.”

The following are the mean monthly flows in CFS for the period 1907 - 1990:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>CFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>1168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>1167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER XIV
RIVER MILE MAPS

The following maps show references to river miles (RM) as discussed throughout this report. These maps are portions of the following United States Geological Survey's topographic maps (quads):

Bruceville: 1968, Photo Revised 1980
Galt: 1968, Photo Revised 1980
Elk Grove: 1968, Photo Revised 1979
Sloughhouse: 1968, Photo Revised 1980
Carbondale: 1968, Photo Revised 1980
Folsom SE: 1954, Photo Revised 1980
Irish Hill: 1962
Latrobe: 1949, Photo Revised 1973
Fiddletown: 1949
Placerville: 1949, Photo Revised 1973
Camino: 1952, Photo Revised 1973
Aukum: 1952, Photo Revised 1973

Note: Scale — 1 inch = 2000 feet.
CHAPTER XV

SUMMARY OF MAPS

The following lists of United States Geological Survey (USGS) historical topographic maps (quads) and miscellaneous maps do not particularly pertain to navigability of the Cosumnes River. They were, however, reviewed for evidence of navigability, and are included in this report for reference purposes for future title and boundary investigations.

The USGS historical quads do not offer any particular evidence of navigability.

The miscellaneous maps reviewed are mainly from the State Lands Commission's collection. Several surveys meander portions of the center of the channel; others meander portions of the bank of the river. Those surveys meandering the center of the channel may indicate private ownership to that point, however, several of these surveys are within the area of the ranchos which excluded the bed of the river. Further investigation of the deeds and field notes would be necessary to establish any determinations of ownership.

Many of the maps reviewed do not show sufficient detail of the river.
## CHARTER XVI

### MAPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLC INDEX #</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMF 294</td>
<td>Map showing portion of central California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXB 4123A</td>
<td><em>Plat Showing the Subdivision of the Two Bodies of Land &quot;Notoriusly Swampy and Overflowed&quot;</em>, J. R. Hardenbergh, Surveyor General, February 14, 1872.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXB 4488</td>
<td><em>Plat of Tract of Land Owned by Kate Wiezel and Margaret Schroth</em>, J. C. Boyd, C. E., June, 1935.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CXB 4552 Plan of an Estate the Property J. Sheldon, Esq., W. G. Sherman, F. King, May, 1901.


CXB 4559 Plat of Lower One-Fourth of Lot 13 Sheldon Grant, J. C. and M. D. Boyd, C. E.'s, May, 1931.


LRB 2003 Assessor's Map Book 146, Page 13, Sacramento County.

LRB 543 Swamp Land District No. 2, Sacramento County.

LRT 60 The Valley of Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers California from North End of Yolo Basin to Stockton and Suisun Bay, U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, 1908.

Judicial Districts of California and the Counties Composing the Same.

No label — map showing portion of central California.


### CHAPTER XVII
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS
(INCLUDING HISTORICAL QUADS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUAD NAME</th>
<th>SERIES</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>EDITION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1910(1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953(1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruceville</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968(1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968(PR1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909(1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953(1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953(1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968(PR.1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909(1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1952(1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968(PR.1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiddletown</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiddletown</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1949(1957)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiddletown</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1949(1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1941(1957)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1941(1962)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUAD NAME</th>
<th>SERIES</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>EDITION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Folsom S. E.</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom S. E.</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1954(1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom S. E.</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1954(P.R.1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1910(1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953(1965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrobe</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrobe</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1949(1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrobe</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1949(P.R.1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1949(1953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1949(1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1949(1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1:62,500</td>
<td>1949(1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1906)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1916)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1892(1929)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1947(1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1947(1961)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1947(1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1947(1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2°x1°</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1957(1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUAD NAME</td>
<td>SERIES</td>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td>EDITION DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento Valley</td>
<td>2'x1'</td>
<td>1:250,000</td>
<td>1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cosumne</em></td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cosumne</em></td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:31,680</td>
<td>1909(1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughhouse</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughhouse</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1953(1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughhouse</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughhouse</td>
<td>7.5'</td>
<td>1:24,000</td>
<td>1968(P.R.1975)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

Dates shown in parenthesis indicate reprinted editions. Photo revisions are shown as P.R.

*Cosumne quad was renamed Sloughhouse.
CHAPTER XVIII
ADDITIONAL RESEARCH SOURCES

The following is a list of sources that should be reviewed if additional information is needed.

California Department of Water Resources — Library
University of California - Berkeley — The Bancroft Library
U. S. Department of the Interior — Bureau of Reclamation
U. S. Army - Corps of Engineers — Reports and Maps
Sacramento, El Dorado and Amador County Libraries
Individuals with collections or knowledge of the Cosumnes River and adjacent area.


Stanley, Owen G. *Brief History of Hydraulic Mining, Gold Dredging, Creation of the California Debris Commission, and Birth of the Sacramento District of the Corps of Engineers*. October, 1865.
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California State Lands Commission

Map and Boundary Book Library
Maps
Books
Public Land Surveys
Historical Quads

Swamp and Overflowed Land Surveys and Locations
San Joaquin County General File
San Joaquin County — Mokelumne River General File
Statutes — Political Codes
W 503.813 — River Protection Assoc.

California State Library

California Room
Books
Newspapers (for particular dates of reference)
Sacramento Union
Sacramento Bee
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California State Library cont.

Government Publications
   U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers Reports
   U. S. Geological Survey Reports

Law Library
   Statutes
   Federal Reports

University of California, Berkeley — Bancroft Library
   Books
   News papers

Contacts:
   Elizabeth K. Houser, Sacramento, CA.