

## 4.11 VISUAL RESOURCES, LIGHT AND GLARE

---

Section 4.11 provides a detailed description of the existing visual resources of the Tesoro Avon Marine Oil Terminal (Avon Terminal) Lease Consideration Project (Project) study area, regional visual character, views of the Project area from important vantage points, and the changes in these views that would occur with continued use of the Avon Terminal for an additional 30-year period and Marine Oil Terminal Engineering Maintenance Standards (MOTEMS) compliance-related renovation. It also describes impacts on visual resources from renovation and continued use of the Avon Terminal, accidental spill releases, and identifies mitigation measures (MMs) to reduce impacts to less-than-significant levels.

### 4.11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

#### 4.11.1.1 Regional Character of Carquinez Strait and Suisun Bay

The Carquinez Strait forms a visually distinct, yet relatively narrow channel that connects San Pablo Bay to Suisun Bay. The approximately 6-mile strait lies between two major bridges: the Carquinez Bridge, from Crockett to Vallejo; and the Benicia-Martinez Bridge, from Benicia to Martinez. Both bridges are visually distinct features in a landscape characterized by gently rolling terrain. To the east, Suisun Bay widens until it reaches the city of Pittsburg, where the shoreline narrows again before the waters enter from both the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers. The landscape in the area is a combination of gently rolling hills and flat expanses of land. The Carquinez Strait and Suisun Bay are characterized by a visual mix of industrial uses, small towns, and open areas of undeveloped land.

The 1,294-acre Carquinez Strait Regional Shoreline includes several parcels of land along the southern shoreline of the Carquinez Strait. The area is characterized by coastal scrub and grasslands, bay laurels, and oak woodlands. The shoreline's bluffs rise approximately 750 feet to summits and ridges of the rolling terrain.

The 84,000-acre Suisun Bay marsh is the largest estuary marsh in the United States. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) manages several Wildlife Areas in the Suisun Bay region, including the Point Edith, Grizzly Island, and Hill Slough wildlife areas. The 760-acre Point Edith Wildlife Area is located on the eastern border of the approachway on the shoreline of Suisun Bay, and is characterized as marshland, consisting of numerous water channels and tiny ponds (CDFW 2014d). Grizzly Island occupies approximately 15,300 discontinuous acres in a patchwork of 10 distinct parcels around Suisun Bay, which are characterized as natural tidal wetlands and artificially diked marshes (CDFW 2014c). The nearest of these 10 parcels is located approximately 1.75 miles northwest of the Avon Terminal, across Suisun Bay; the

1 remaining parcels are located further north and east across the bay. The bay also has a  
2 patchwork of marsh islands that are accessible by boat.

3 Characteristic views of the Carquinez Strait and Suisun Bay show tugboats pushing  
4 barges, directing ships, or moving from job to job in the area. Oil tankers are a common  
5 sight in the area, with four active terminals located between Crockett and the Avon  
6 Terminal. Also located in Suisun Bay, north of the Project area, is the National Defense  
7 Reserve Fleet (also known as the mothball fleet) of retired vessels that serve as reserve  
8 ships for national defense and national emergency purposes (U.S. Department of  
9 Transportation Maritime Administration).

10 Regional, county, and city policies address aesthetic issues in the area. These policies  
11 include the general plans (GPs) of both Contra Costa and Solano counties, and of the  
12 cities of Martinez and Benicia. While no designated State Scenic Highways are located  
13 in the Project vicinity (Caltrans 2011), the city of Benicia has identified Interstate 680 (I-  
14 680) north of the bridge as a scenic route. And although it is not a State Scenic  
15 Highway, the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission's  
16 (BCDC) *San Francisco Bay Plan Map 2* (amended 2006) designates the Benicia-  
17 Martinez Bridge as a scenic drive (BCDC 2006).

#### 18 **4.11.1.2 Visual Character of the Avon Terminal and Adjacent Area**

19 The Avon Terminal is located in lower Suisun Bay, approximately 1.75 miles east of the  
20 Benicia-Martinez Bridge and I-680. The Plains All American Marine Oil Terminal (Plains  
21 Terminal) is located approximately 0.40 mile west of the Avon Terminal. The area is  
22 characterized primarily by open space and marshland, as well as industry. Heading  
23 north on I-680, as motorists approach the Benicia-Martinez Bridge, the Avon Terminal  
24 and surrounding marshland are not initially visible as the foreground is dominated by an  
25 industrial facility at the base of the bridge. Once the Avon Terminal is visible from the  
26 bridge, motorists have already passed the Avon Terminal, and views are in their  
27 background as they look southeast; only brief and limited views at a distance are  
28 available. The Plains Terminal is located between the bridge and the Avon Terminal,  
29 and is more prominently visible in motorists' views. While on the bridge, foreground  
30 views consist primarily of the bridge and industrial uses beyond, with gently rolling hills  
31 in the background.

32 From the Avon Terminal to either side between I-680 to the west and the Point Edith  
33 Wildlife Area to the east, the visual setting is characterized by views of the marsh and  
34 shoreline. The marshland includes wetland grasses, low-level shrubs, and numerous  
35 small ponds, providing a visual "softscape." Focal points that can be defined as the  
36 predominant "hardscape" landscape features along the shoreline include the Benicia-  
37 Martinez Bridge, Avon Terminal, and Plains Terminal.

1 The Avon Terminal is located approximately 1.30 miles from Waterfront Road, and is  
2 separated from the road by marshland and the northern portion of the Golden Eagle  
3 Refinery (Refinery) and water discharge pond. The Refinery storage tanks block views  
4 of the facility from Waterfront Road in both directions. The Avon Terminal is visible from  
5 other sections of Waterfront Road; however, because most traffic on Waterfront Road  
6 turns on Waterbird Way headed to the Acme Landfill/Transfer Station, the residual  
7 traffic on Waterfront Road east of Waterbird Way is minimal and consists primarily of  
8 traffic bound for the Plains and Avon Terminals (refer to Section 4.8, Land  
9 Transportation). No residential receptors are located in the area within views of the  
10 Avon Terminal. Only water users, users of the Point Edith Wildlife Area, and travelers  
11 across the Benicia-Martinez Bridge have views of the Avon Terminal.

12 The northern shore of Suisun Bay is characterized by a mix of undeveloped land and  
13 industrial uses, including the Valero Refinery. Primarily undeveloped land is located  
14 along the shoreline, and includes a mix of privately owned parcels and portions of the  
15 Grizzly Island Wildlife Area. However, recreational use of the wildlife area requires a  
16 CDFW Lands Pass, and thus, access is limited. Industrial uses are located beyond the  
17 undeveloped areas. The Avon Terminal is visible from these areas of open land along  
18 the northern shore of the bay; however, the National Defense Reserve Fleet, which is  
19 located on the northern shore of Suisun Bay, is prominent in foreground views from  
20 across the bay. Thus, views from the north of the Avon Terminal are also limited.

21 Other environmentally sensitive areas in the vicinity of the Avon Terminal are identified  
22 in Section 4.2, Biological Resources, and Section 4.9, Land Use and Recreation.

23 Existing exterior lighting is provided along the approachway and at the Avon Terminal to  
24 allow for night operations and provide safety for employees.

#### 25 **4.11.1.3 Visual Character of the San Francisco Bay Area**

26 The shoreline of the San Francisco and San Pablo Bays contains a range of visual  
27 stimulation consisting mainly of urbanized and industrial areas, with occasional rural  
28 and open space areas, coastal wetlands, and salt evaporation ponds. The landform  
29 throughout most of the area is hilly terrain. Where no development exists, the open area  
30 is generally covered with low vegetation.

31 The greatest area of urbanization is within the central and south-central portions of San  
32 Francisco Bay. From San Francisco south to Palo Alto, urban development is prevalent  
33 on the western shoreline. On the eastern shoreline, urban development is continuous  
34 from San Leandro to Pinole Point, but from there eastward is fairly undeveloped.

35 San Francisco and San Pablo Bays contain approximately 90 percent of California's  
36 remaining coastal wetlands. Major preserves and shoreline parks include Suisun Bay  
37 Marsh, with numerous duck hunting preserves; San Pablo Bay National Wildlife Refuge

1 off of Tubbs Island, which is accessible by boat; and Point Pinole Regional Shoreline.  
2 China Camp State Park, along the southwestern shore of San Pablo Bay, preserves a  
3 historic Chinese shrimp fishing village. Coyote Hills Regional Park and San Francisco  
4 Bay National Wildlife Refuge protect important wetland acreage in the south bay for  
5 wintering waterfowl. Many other small parks, piers, and recreational marinas also  
6 provide access to the shoreline.

7 The southern portion of the San Francisco Bay Area (Bay Area) contains several large  
8 areas of salt evaporation ponds. One is located north of the San Francisco Bay National  
9 Wildlife Refuge on the eastern shoreline, and another across the San Francisco Bay on  
10 the western shoreline. Several others are also located along the far southern end.

11 Numerous ports, harbors, marine terminals, and naval terminals lie within the Bay Area.  
12 A description of these facilities is presented in Section 2.0, Project Description. Marine  
13 vessel traffic is a common sight throughout the Bay Area.

#### 14 **4.11.1.4 Outer Coast**

15 Outside of the Golden Gate Bridge, one of the more pristine areas is the Farallon  
16 Islands, located 27 nautical miles west of Point Bonita in Marin County. The islands rise  
17 from the edge of the continental shelf forming jagged, rocky outcroppings, and remain  
18 the most important seabird nesting site on the coast. The Gulf of Farallones and  
19 Monterey Bay are marine sanctuaries that contain protected resources and are located  
20 off the coast.

21 A large portion of the northern California coast remains representative of the shoreline  
22 of years past. Little development has occurred, and areas along the northern California  
23 coast remain unspoiled. From the Golden Gate Bridge north, the shoreline consists of  
24 dramatic coastline features, including rolling hilly coastal landforms dropping to sandy  
25 beaches; jagged rock outcroppings forming hazards to marine vessels in the nearshore;  
26 cliffs that drop to the sea; and large, flat beach areas with dunes. Small shoreline  
27 communities and picturesque harbor areas also dot the shoreline in some areas. A  
28 large number of rivers and creeks cut the coastline, adding visual interest. Established  
29 preserve areas are also located along the coastline. Vegetation is diverse, ranging from  
30 salt marsh vegetation to Douglas fir and redwood forests.

31 The southern California coastline, from Santa Barbara south, ranges from undeveloped  
32 stretches (southern Orange County/northern San Diego County) to intense development  
33 (San Diego, Orange, and Los Angeles counties), to less intense development, but still  
34 much urbanization, toward Santa Barbara.

1 **4.11.2 REGULATORY SETTING**

2 Federal and State laws that may be relevant to the Project are identified in Table 4-1.  
3 Regional and local laws, regulations, and policies are discussed in the following  
4 paragraphs.

5 The County of Contra Costa GP is a comprehensive, long-range planning document  
6 that states the County's development and preservation goals and policies. The GP  
7 includes policies related to aesthetic quality, recognizing both the natural and developed  
8 composition of the environment. The following Open Space Element goals and policies  
9 in the GP pertaining to visual resources could be applicable to the Project:

- 10 • Goal 9-A: To preserve and protect the ecological scenic and cultural/historic, and  
11 recreational resource lands in the County.
- 12 • Policy 9-2: Historic and scenic features, watersheds, natural waterways, and  
13 areas important for the maintenance of natural vegetation and wildlife  
14 populations shall be preserved and enhanced.
- 15 • Goal 9-10: To preserve and protect areas of identified high scenic value, where  
16 practical, and in accordance with the Land Use Element map.
- 17 • Goal 9-12: To preserve the scenic qualities of the San Francisco Bay/Delta  
18 estuary system and the Sacramento-San Joaquin River/Delta shoreline.

19 The GP identifies two main scenic resources in its Open Space Element: (1) scenic  
20 ridges, hillsides, and rock outcroppings; and (2) the San Francisco Bay/Delta estuary  
21 system. Of these two types of scenic resources, only the San Francisco Bay/Delta  
22 estuary system occurs in the Project area. The waterway in which the Avon Terminal is  
23 located is designated as a Scenic Waterway system, as defined in the Open Space  
24 Element.

25 **4.11.3 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA**

26 Visual impacts are considered adverse and significant if one or a combination of the  
27 following apply:

- 28 • Cause adverse impacts on a scenic vista or scenic highway
- 29 • Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect  
30 day or nighttime views in the area (including views from land and water)
- 31 • Continued routine operations and maintenance visually contrast with or degrade  
32 the character of the viewshed (from adjacent roadways, waterways, or other  
33 public or private spaces), or otherwise change the expectations of viewers,  
34 resulting in a negative impression of the viewshed

1 Because of the time factor involved in oil dispersion, visual impacts from spills are  
2 considered to be significant and unavoidable impacts if first-response efforts would not  
3 contain or clean up the spill, resulting in residual impacts that would be visible to the  
4 general public on shoreline or water areas. If a spill occurs that would be contained and  
5 cleaned up during the first response, the impact on visual resources would be  
6 considered less than significant with mitigation.

#### 7 **4.11.4 IMPACT ANALYSIS AND MITIGATION**

8 The following subsections describe the Project's potential impacts on aesthetic and  
9 visual resources; where impacts are determined to be significant, feasible MMs are  
10 described that would reduce or avoid the impact.

##### 11 **4.11.4.1 Proposed Project**

12 **Impact Visual Resources (VR)-1: Create visual effects from continued routine**  
13 **operations over the 30-year lease period. (Less than significant.)**

14 Project operations involve tanker activity at the existing Avon Terminal and vessel  
15 transit through established shipping lanes in Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait, and San  
16 Pablo and San Francisco Bays. The Avon Terminal has been in use since the 1920s at  
17 its current location. Berthing vessels at the Avon Terminal can be seen from the Point  
18 Edith Wildlife Area, portions of Waterfront Road, limited sections of the Benicia-Martinez  
19 Bridge, and areas of the northern shoreline of Suisun Bay, consistent with existing  
20 conditions. However, viewers from boats have more direct views of the vessels.

21 The installation of Berth 1A would not substantially change the level of shipment activity  
22 during the proposed 30-year lease agreement period. It is expected that the annual ship  
23 and barge traffic would be approximately 70 to 120 vessels (anticipated maximum). Due  
24 to capacity, only one vessel at a time would continue to be berthed at the Avon  
25 Terminal. From the water, ships berthed at the Avon Terminal would appear as a use  
26 consistent with the existing operations. Therefore, continued Project operations would  
27 not significantly change the visual character of the area, and impacts are considered  
28 adverse, but less than significant.

29 Vessels currently pass near the Avon Terminal in the shipping lane. Therefore,  
30 continued transit operations would result in adverse, but less-than-significant, impacts  
31 on the visual environment.

32 Vessels arriving at the Avon Terminal via the San Francisco Bay transit lanes and along  
33 the outer coast would continue to blend in with other accepted tankering operations. No  
34 new visual elements would be added, and public sensitivity toward views would not  
35 change. Impacts are adverse, but less than significant.

1 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

2 **Impact VR-2: Create visual effects from accidental releases of oil at or near the**  
3 **Avon Terminal. (Significant and unavoidable.)**

4 This analysis considers the occurrence of accidental spills separate from continued  
5 routine operations. In general, the potential impacts resulting from such an occurrence  
6 could degrade the visual quality of the water and shoreline if oiling occurs over a  
7 widespread area, and where first-response containment and cleanup efforts are not  
8 effective, leaving residual effects of oiling. The degree of impact is influenced by factors  
9 not limited to location, spill size, type of material spilled, prevailing wind and current  
10 conditions, the vulnerability and sensitivity of the shoreline, and effectiveness of early  
11 containment and cleanup efforts.

12 The greatest probability of a spill is from small accidents at the Avon Terminal during  
13 normal operations. While there is less risk of spill during tankering, the size of a spill that  
14 could result is much greater, as discussed in Section 4.1, Operational Safety/Risk of  
15 Accidents. The following paragraphs describe the potential visual impacts that could  
16 occur in the event of a spill.

17 The use and refueling of derrick barges, tug boats, and MOTEMS renovation equipment  
18 over water during the installation of Berth 1A and the removal of Berth 5 could also  
19 create the potential for accidental releases of oil that could have potentially significant  
20 visual effects if not contained. Best Management Practices would be implemented to  
21 reduce the risk of potential releases, and vessels would be refueled at nearby fuel  
22 docks, rather than on site, to the extent possible. Refer to Section 2.5.9, Equipment  
23 Refueling, for a more detailed description of equipment refueling.

24 Generally, small leaks and spills (50 to 100 barrels) would be contained with  
25 contingency measures employed at the Avon Terminal. However, the Avon Terminal is  
26 located in an area of rapidly moving current. Thus, if a spill is not detected immediately,  
27 or if a moderate- or large-sized spill at or near the Avon Terminal occurred at a rate  
28 unable to be quickly contained due to the rapid current, the spill could spread over a  
29 large area. Based on modeling conducted for the nearby Tesoro Amorco Marine Oil  
30 Terminal (Amorco Terminal), during the summer, an oil spill would travel downstream  
31 past the Carquinez Bridge and into San Pablo Bay. In winter, spills would primarily  
32 travel upstream into Suisun Bay, with increased impact to the northern reaches of  
33 Honker, Suisun, and Grizzly Bays and further propagation downstream through  
34 Carquinez Strait and into San Pablo Bay. See Appendix B for the oil spill modeling  
35 results and Section 4.1, Operational Safety/Risk of Accidents for a detailed evaluation of  
36 modeling at various locations.

1 Visually, conditions could range from light oiling, which appears as a surface sheen, to  
2 heavy oiling, including floating lumps of tar. Light product spills generally volatilize  
3 relatively rapidly, and little remains within 24 to 48 hours after a spill. Heavy crude oil  
4 may disappear over a period of several days, with remaining heavy fractions lasting  
5 from several weeks to several months, floating at or near the surface in the form of  
6 mousse, tarballs, or mats. Therefore, the presence of oil on the water would change the  
7 color, and, in heavier oiling, textural appearance of the water surface. Oil on shoreline  
8 surfaces or nearshore marsh areas would cover these surfaces with a brownish-  
9 blackish, gooey substance.

10 Such oiling would result in a negative impression of the viewshed. The physical effort  
11 involved in spill cleanup, including the equipment used, could also contribute to a  
12 negative impression of the environment that would be an adverse visual impact as the  
13 public, becoming aware of a spill, may react negatively to the event. Sensitivity  
14 heightens and awareness of the negative change in the environment increases. Without  
15 rapid containment by immediate booming and cleanup, the visual effects of even a  
16 small spill of 50 barrels can leave residual visual impacts.

17 Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company, LLC (Tesoro) has contracted with Bay Area  
18 Ship Services to assist with initial oil spill response services, including the immediate  
19 execution of approximately 600 feet of harbor boom in approximately 30 minutes. In  
20 addition, Tesoro contracts with Marine Spill Response Corporation to serve as the  
21 primary Oil Spill Response Organization contractor in its Oil Spill Response Plan for  
22 offshore, onshore, and shallow-water response services. Refer to Section 2.4.16,  
23 Emergency Response, for a more detailed description of the Avon Terminal oil spill  
24 response capabilities and equipment.

25 The visual impact of a spill on a sensitive area, such as the Suisun Bay estuary marsh,  
26 could last for a long period of time, depending on the level of physical impact and  
27 cleanup ability. In events where light oiling would disperse rapidly, significant adverse  
28 visual impacts are not expected. In events where moderate-to-heavy oiling occurs over  
29 a widespread area and where first-response containment and cleanup efforts are not  
30 effective, leaving residual effects of oiling, significant adverse impacts would be  
31 expected. It is impossible to predict with any certainty the extent of consequences of  
32 spills; for large spills, visual impacts would be adverse and could be significant,  
33 depending on the effectiveness of first-response containment and cleanup.

34 MMs OS-1a, OS-1b, OS-1c, OS-2, OS-4a, and OS-4b, presented in Section 4.1,  
35 Operational Safety/Risk of Accidents, provide improved oil spill prevention measures.

36 **Mitigation Measure:** MMs OS-1a, Remote Release Systems; OS-1b, Tension  
37 Monitoring Systems; OS-1c, Allision Avoidance Systems; OS-2, Pipeline Purging and

1 Removal Plan; OS-4a, USCG Ports and Waterways Safety Assessment Workshops;  
2 and OS-4b, Spill Response to Vessel Spills apply to this impact.

3 **Rationale for Mitigation** The MMs identified previously provide improved oil spill  
4 capabilities, spill containment measures, and protection of sensitive resources.  
5 However, even with implementation of these measures, the impact on the visual  
6 environment may be significant.

7 **Residual Impacts** With implementation of these measures, the impact on visual  
8 resources could be reduced to less than significant for small spills; however, impacts  
9 would remain significant for large spills.

10 **Impact VR-3: Create visual effects from oil spills from vessels in transit.**  
11 **(Significant and unavoidable.)**

12 Vessels transiting the shipping lanes also pose a risk of spills from accidents. A  
13 moderate-to-large spill has the potential to spread within a large area, with floating oil  
14 and oil contacting sensitive shoreline resources given the right wind and current  
15 conditions, and the size and origin of the spill. Spills from vessels en route to the Avon  
16 Terminal would be the responsibility of the ship's operators/owners, and not Tesoro, as  
17 Tesoro does not own any vessels. Response capability is analyzed in Section 4.1,  
18 Operational Safety/Risk of Accidents.

19 Spills along the outer coast could result in significant adverse impacts where spills  
20 would be visible in the nearshore zone or at the shoreline. Spills would change the color  
21 and texture of water and shoreline conditions. The level of public sensitivity and  
22 expectations of views along the outer coast are more varied than within San Francisco  
23 Bay since public usage is lower along many portions of the outer coast. However, visual  
24 sensitivity would be high wherever spills, cleanup efforts and residual effects occur.

25 It is impossible to predict with any certainty the extent of consequences of spills; for  
26 large spills, visual impacts would be adverse and could be significant, depending on the  
27 effectiveness of first-response containment and cleanup. Tesoro contracts with Marine  
28 Spill Response Corporation to serve as the primary Oil Spill Response Organization  
29 contractor for any spills in transit.

30 MMs OS-1a, OS-1b, OS-1c, OS-2, OS-4a, and OS-4b, presented in Section 4.1,  
31 Operational Safety/Risk of Accidents, provide improved oil spill prevention measures.  
32 With implementation of these measures, the risk to shoreline and recreational resources  
33 can be reduced to less than significant for small spills; however, impacts would remain  
34 significant for large spills.

35 **Mitigation Measure:** MMs OS-1a, Remote Release Systems; OS-1b, Tension  
36 Monitoring Systems; OS-1c, Allision Avoidance Systems; OS-2, Pipeline Purging and

1 Removal Plan; OS-4a, USCG Ports and Waterways Safety Assessment Workshops;  
2 and OS-4b, Spill Response to Vessel Spills apply to this impact.

3 **Rationale for Mitigation** The MMs identified previously provide improved oil spill  
4 capabilities, spill containment measures, and protection of sensitive resources.  
5 However, even with implementation of these measures the impact on the visual  
6 environment may be significant.

7 **Residual Impacts** With implementation of these measures, the risk to visual resources  
8 could be reduced to less than significant for small spills; however, impacts would remain  
9 significant for large spills.

10 **Impact VR-4: Cause adverse impacts on a scenic vista or scenic highway. (Less**  
11 **than significant.)**

12 A scenic vista is generally considered a view of an area that has remarkable scenery or  
13 a resource that is indigenous to the area. A scenic resource may also represent a  
14 landmark or area that has been noted for its outstanding scenic qualities and is thereby  
15 protected by State or local plans because of those qualities. As discussed in Section  
16 4.11.2.2, the Avon Terminal is located in a designated Scenic Waterway, as defined by  
17 the Contra Costa County GP. Nearby public views of the Scenic Waterway are  
18 generally limited to the shoreline of the adjacent Point Edith Wildlife Area, the shoreline  
19 of the Grizzly Island Wildlife Area, north across Suisun Bay, and the Benicia-Martinez  
20 Bridge. While the Avon Terminal is visible from the shoreline of the Point Edith Wildlife  
21 Area, the Avon Terminal currently does not obstruct views of the waterway, and  
22 MOTEMS renovation would not create substantial visual changes or increase the visual  
23 extent of the Avon Terminal. Furthermore, access to the shoreline of the Point Edith  
24 Wildlife Area is only available by boat, and thus, use of the area is limited. Views of the  
25 Avon Terminal from the Grizzly Wildlife Area are from across Suisun Bay and do not  
26 obstruct views of the waterway, and views from the bridge are peripheral and limited.  
27 Therefore, impacts on a scenic vista would be less than significant.

28 As discussed previously, although not a State Scenic Highway, the BCDC *San*  
29 *Francisco Bay Plan Map 2* (amended 2006) designates the Benicia-Martinez Bridge as  
30 a scenic drive, and the city of Benicia has identified I-680 north of the Benicia-Martinez  
31 Bridge as a scenic route (BCDC 2006). However, as discussed in Section 4.11.1.2, only  
32 brief and obscured views of the Avon Terminal can be seen at a distance from the  
33 bridge, and views of the Avon Terminal from I-680 north of the bridge are also obscured  
34 by industrial buildings, leaving only sporadic views. Moreover, because there are no  
35 State-listed Scenic Highways in the Project vicinity (Caltrans 2011), and visual changes  
36 to the Project area resulting from renovation activities would not be substantial; no  
37 adverse impacts on a scenic highway would occur.

1 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

2 **Impact VR-5: Cause adverse impacts by contrasting with or degrading the**  
 3 **character of the viewshed from MOTEMS renovation. (Less than significant.)**

4 Short-term renovation impacts on visual resources would result from the temporary  
 5 presence of barges and heavy equipment, facility components, and workers who would  
 6 be visible during construction of Berth 1A, removal of Berth 5, and rebuilding of the  
 7 approachway. However, Berth 1A would be constructed adjacent to the existing Berth 1,  
 8 and would not significantly alter the visual extent of the structures. Furthermore,  
 9 removal of Berth 5 would eliminate the western portion of the Avon Terminal, and thus,  
 10 no overall net increase to the visual extent of the Avon Terminal would occur. MOTEMS  
 11 renovation activities would constitute an adverse, but not significant, impact on the  
 12 viewshed, because renovation would be short-term and would not result in a long-term  
 13 landscape change following renovation.

14 Approximately six barges would be used for a duration of approximately 19 months for  
 15 various purposes, including transportation of renovation equipment and prefabricated  
 16 pieces of infrastructure to the Avon Terminal. While an increase in vessel activity in the  
 17 region would be adverse, vessel traffic in the area is a normal and acceptable visual  
 18 action along established routes, and this increase would be short-term and temporary.  
 19 Therefore, while impacts on the viewshed due to renovation at the Avon Terminal would  
 20 be considered adverse, they would not be significant, due to the short-term and  
 21 temporary nature of the Project.

22 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

23 **Impact VR-6: Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would**  
 24 **adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area (including views from land or**  
 25 **water). (Less than significant.)**

26 The Avon Terminal currently operates 24 hours per day, with exterior lighting provided  
 27 along the approachway and at the Avon Terminal to allow for continued night operations  
 28 and provide safety for employees. Tanker movements throughout Suisun Bay are part  
 29 of an established pattern of activity in the area, and ships docked at the Avon Terminal  
 30 would generate light at any time of day or night. However, lighting from the Avon  
 31 Terminal and visiting ships is part of the existing setting.

32 With the demolition of Berth 5, a total of 18 metal halide bulbs (100 Watts [W]) would be  
 33 removed from the Avon Terminal. However, new lighting would be required at Berth 1A  
 34 to allow for continued night operations and provide safety for employees in compliance  
 35 with the Refinery Facility Security Plan. A total of 33 new light-emitting diode (LED) light  
 36 bulbs (23 47W, 5 64W, and 5 94W) would be installed at Berth 1A. Lighting fixtures

1 would be mounted on facility structures and/or free-standing light poles, and would be  
2 located and designed to avoid casting light or glare toward off-site locations, and would  
3 be fully shielded in compliance with 33 Code of Federal Regulations 154.570(d) lighting  
4 requirements. All of the Berth 1A lights were modeled in a lighting photometric study  
5 program and have been designed to meet American Petroleum Institute lighting  
6 standards. The fixtures are all energy-efficient International Dark-Sky Association-  
7 compliant LED light fixtures, meaning the fixtures do not emit any luminous output  
8 above 90 degrees in the vertical plane.

9 The additional lighting installed with the construction of Berth 1A would create a slight  
10 net increase of light; however, this increase would not constitute a noticeable amount,  
11 as the removal of lighting from Berth 5 would generally offset new lighting installed at  
12 Berth 1A. Furthermore, no sensitive receptors are located in the vicinity of the Avon  
13 Terminal, and views potentially impacted by an increase in light are generally distant to  
14 the north across Suisun Bay. Therefore, this minimal increase in light at the new Berth  
15 1A would not change the expectations of viewers beyond the current extent.

16 New structures at the Avon Terminal would be made primarily of steel and concrete,  
17 and thus, would have the potential to reflect light and create glare. However, structures  
18 and equipment that generate glare from the new Berth 1A would be similar to those  
19 already in operation at the existing Berth 1, and in some cases, would be relocated from  
20 the existing berth and would not contribute a substantial amount of new glare beyond  
21 baseline conditions. Through the decommissioning of Berth 1 and the removal of Berth  
22 5, removal of equipment and structures that create glare from the existing berths would  
23 also lessen the amount of glare generated at the Avon Terminal. Continued operation of  
24 the Project would not result in impacts on glare to nearby land uses, as the new Berth  
25 1A would not create substantial amounts of glare beyond current conditions.

26 MOTEMS renovation would temporarily create new sources of light and glare at the  
27 Avon Terminal, as use of construction equipment and an increase in barges have the  
28 potential to reflect light and create glare. While renovations would generally occur  
29 between the hours of 7 a.m. and 6 p.m., some work may be completed outside of typical  
30 work hours and necessitate the use of exterior lighting. MOTEMS renovation would be  
31 short-term and temporary, and temporary lighting would be located to avoid casting light  
32 or glare toward off-site locations, including habitat and open space adjacent to the  
33 Project site; therefore, MOTEMS renovation would not result in significant impacts  
34 related to light and glare.

35 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

#### 1 4.11.4.2 Alternative 1: No Project

##### 2 **Impact VR-7: Effects on visual resources with no new Avon Terminal lease.** 3 **(Beneficial.)**

4 The No Project Alternative involves lease denial and cessation of Avon Terminal  
5 operations. The Avon Terminal would eventually be decommissioned, with its  
6 components abandoned in place, removed, or a combination thereof. If the Avon  
7 Terminal was dismantled, heavy equipment, including a barge or crane, would likely be  
8 used temporarily. While the removal effort would cause adverse effects due to the  
9 heavy equipment, impacts would be short-term and less than significant. With the  
10 removal of the Avon Terminal from the shoreline, a slight long-term beneficial change in  
11 visual conditions in the immediate area may occur.

12 After decommissioning, the No Project alternative assumes that the number of tankers  
13 servicing the area would remain essentially the same due to regional demands, and that  
14 without the Avon Terminal, tankers would instead go to the Amorco Terminal or to  
15 another terminal located in the region. Therefore, the risks associated with the transport  
16 of oil would not be removed from the region, but simply shifted to a nearby facility. The  
17 localized risk of a spill (i.e., risks associated with the specific location and access route  
18 to the Avon Terminal) impacting visual resources would shift. Impacts at the Avon  
19 Terminal would not occur, as it would not be in use. With no potential for spills in the  
20 immediate area, a slight beneficial impact may occur. However, an incremental increase  
21 in risk associated with increases in vessel activity at the Amorco Terminal or other  
22 terminal would result.

23 Under the No Project Alternative, Tesoro would have to pursue other means of export to  
24 continue to meet existing regional demands and the current throughput from the Avon  
25 Terminal. Options that Tesoro might pursue include: (1) transitioning the Amorco  
26 Terminal (currently an import-only facility) to absorb export operations from the Avon  
27 Terminal; (2) land-based alternatives such as pipeline, rail, or truck transportation; or (3)  
28 some combination of these alternatives. All of these alternatives would require the  
29 construction or modification of facilities and infrastructure, as well as lengthy and  
30 complex regulatory processes, and subsequent environmental review.

31 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

#### 32 4.11.4.3 Alternative 2: Restricted Lease Taking Avon Terminal Out of Service for 33 Oil Transport

##### 34 **Impact VR-8: Effects on visual resources by taking Avon Terminal out of service** 35 **for oil transport. (Less than significant.)**

1 The Avon Terminal is an existing facility on land designated for water-related industry,  
 2 and no visual changes to the Project area are anticipated as a result of a restricted  
 3 lease. Should this alternative be selected, MMs would be determined during a separate  
 4 environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act.

5 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation required.

6 **4.11.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS**

7 Oil spills from multiple sources that would overlap in time would cause visual impacts  
 8 associated with both the spill events and the cleanup operations. A spill can begin as a  
 9 localized incident, but has the potential to spread over a very large area. If more than  
 10 one spill occurred within a short timeframe in Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait, San Pablo  
 11 Bay, or along the outer coast, and first-response cleanup efforts were unsuccessful,  
 12 impacts on visual resources would be significant and unavoidable.

13 **4.11.6 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

14 Table 4.11-1 summarizes anticipated impacts on visual resources and associated MMs.

**Table 4.11-1: Summary of Visual Resources Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)
<b>Proposed Project</b>	
VR-1: Create visual effects from continued routine operations over the 30-year lease period.	No mitigation required
VR-2: Create visual effects from accidental releases of oil at or near the Avon Terminal.	Refer to MMs OS-1a, OS-1b, OS-1c, OS-2, OS-4a, and OS-4b
VR-3: Create visual effects from oil spills from vessels in transit.	Refer to MMs OS-1a, OS-1b, OS-1c, OS-2, OS-4a, and OS-4b
VR-4: Cause adverse impacts on a scenic vista or scenic highway.	No mitigation required
VR-5: Cause adverse impacts by contrasting with or degrading the character of the viewshed from MOTEMS renovation.	No mitigation required
VR-6: Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area (including views from land or water).	No mitigation required
<b>Alternative 1: No Project</b>	
VR-7: Effects on visual resources with no new Avon Terminal lease.	No mitigation required
<b>Alternative 2: Restricted Lease Taking Avon Terminal Out of Service for Oil Transport</b>	
VR-8: Effects on visual resources by taking Avon Terminal out of service for oil transport.	No mitigation required