

1 **3.3.3 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions**

<b>III. AIR QUALITY:</b> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the Project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or Projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 This section discusses the existing air quality conditions that occur within the Project  
 3 area. This section also identifies significance criteria, and assesses potential Project-  
 4 related impacts to existing air quality conditions. A discussion and analysis of GHG  
 5 emissions in relation to global climate change (GCC) is also included.

6 **3.3.3.1 Environmental Setting**

7 Ambient air quality is influenced by the climate, meteorology, and topography of an area  
 8 along with the quantity and type of pollutants released into the air.

9 **Climate and Meteorology.** The Project is located in San Luis Obispo County (on the  
 10 central coast of California) within the South Central Coast Air Basin (SCCAB). Summers  
 11 are typically mild and characterized by early morning and afternoon fog. Winters are  
 12 generally cool and wet with the rainy season extending from late November to early  
 13 April.

14 Airflow plays an important role in the movement and dispersion of air pollutants in the  
 15 San Luis Obispo region. The speed and direction of local winds are controlled by: (1)

1 the location and strength of the Pacific High and other global patterns; (2) topographical  
2 factors; and (3) circulation patterns resulting from temperature differences between land  
3 and sea. During the spring and summer when the Pacific High attains its greatest  
4 strength, onshore winds from the northwest generally prevail during the day. As evening  
5 approaches, onshore winds are reduced, and the wind direction reverses with winds  
6 flowing down the coastal mountain and valleys to form light easterly breezes. In the fall,  
7 onshore surface winds decline and the marine layer becomes shallow, allowing for an  
8 occasional flow reversal to a weak offshore flow. This, along with the diurnal alteration  
9 of land-sea breeze circulation, can sometimes produce a "sloshing" effect. Under such  
10 conditions, pollutants may accumulate over the Pacific Ocean and subsequently be  
11 carried back onshore with the return of sea breezes.

12 In the atmosphere, air temperatures normally decrease as altitude increases. At varying  
13 distances above the earth's surface, however, a reversal of this temperature gradient  
14 can occur. Such a condition, called an inversion, is simply a warm layer of air over a  
15 layer of cooler air. Inversions can have the effect of limiting the vertical dispersion of air  
16 pollutants, trapping them near the earth's surface.

17 Several types of inversions are common to the San Luis Obispo area. Weak surface  
18 inversions are caused by radiational cooling of air in contact with the cold surface of the  
19 earth at night. In valleys and low-lying areas, this condition is intensified by the addition  
20 of cold air flowing down from hills and pooling in valleys. Surface inversions are  
21 common throughout San Luis Obispo County during winter months, particularly on cold  
22 mornings. As the morning sun warms the surface of the earth and air near the ground,  
23 the inversion layer lifts, gradually dissipating throughout the day. During the summer,  
24 subsidence inversions can occur when the Pacific High causes the air mass aloft to  
25 sink. As the air descends, compression heating warms the air to a higher temperature  
26 than the air below. This highly stable atmospheric conditioning can act as a nearly  
27 impenetrable lid to the vertical mixing of pollutants. Subsidence inversions can persist  
28 for one or more days, causing air stagnation and the buildup of pollutants.

29 **Air Quality Measurement.** Air quality is determined by measuring ambient  
30 concentrations of air pollutants that are known to cause adverse health effects. For  
31 regulatory purposes, air pollutants are generally recognized as "criteria pollutants" or as  
32 "toxic air pollutants" (or hazardous air pollutants). For most criteria pollutants,  
33 regulations and standards have been in effect for more than 20 years, and control  
34 strategies are designed to ensure that the ambient concentrations do not exceed certain  
35 thresholds. For toxic air emissions, however, the regulatory process usually assesses  
36 the potential impacts to public health in terms of "risk" (such as the Air Toxics "Hot  
37 Spots" Program in California), and emissions are usually controlled by prescribed  
38 technologies.

39 **Criteria Pollutants.** Criteria pollutants that are considered to be inert (those that do not  
40 react chemically, but preserve the same chemical composition from point of emission to  
41 point of impact), include carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>),

1 sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), coarse particulate matter less than 10 microns in aerodynamic  
2 diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>) and 2.5 microns or less (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), lead (Pb), sulfates (SO<sub>4</sub>), and  
3 hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S).

4 CO is primarily formed through the incomplete combustion of organic fuels. Higher CO  
5 values are generally measured during winter when dispersion is limited by morning  
6 surface inversions. Seasonal and diurnal variations in meteorological conditions lead to  
7 lower values in summer and in the afternoon.

8 Ozone is formed in the atmosphere through a series of complex photochemical  
9 reactions involving oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), reactive organic gases (ROG), and sunlight  
10 occurring over several hours. Since ozone is not emitted directly into the atmosphere,  
11 but is formed as a result of photochemical reactions, it is classified as a secondary or  
12 regional pollutant. Because these ozone-forming reactions take time, peak ozone levels  
13 are often found downwind of major source areas.

14 Ambient air quality standards have been set for two classes of particulate matter: PM<sub>10</sub>  
15 and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Both consist of different types of particles such as metal, soot, smoke, dust  
16 and fine mineral particles that are suspended in the air. Depending on the source of  
17 particulates, toxicity and chemical activity can vary. Particulate matter is a health  
18 concern, because when inhaled it can cause permanent damage to the lungs. The  
19 primary source of PM<sub>10</sub> emissions appears to be soil via roads, construction, agriculture,  
20 quarries, and natural windblown dust. Other sources of PM<sub>10</sub> include particulate matter  
21 released during combustion processes (such as those in gasoline or diesel vehicles),  
22 wood burning, and sea salt. Fugitive emissions from construction sites, wood stoves,  
23 fireplaces and diesel truck exhaust are primary sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Both sizes of  
24 particulates can be dangerous when inhaled; however, PM<sub>2.5</sub> tends to be more  
25 damaging because it remains in the lungs once it is inhaled.

26 Nitric oxide (NO) is a colorless gas formed during combustion processes which rapidly  
27 oxidize to form NO<sub>2</sub>, a brownish gas. The highest NO values are generally measured in  
28 urbanized areas with heavy traffic.

29 **Existing Air Quality.** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has  
30 designated all areas of the U.S. as having either air quality better than (attainment) or  
31 worse than (non-attainment) the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The  
32 NAAQS are federal air quality standards established under the Clean Air Act (CAA).  
33 The CAA also mandates that states submit and implement a State Implementation Plan  
34 (SIP) for local areas not meeting those standards. The plans must include pollution  
35 control measures that demonstrate how the standards will be met.

36 “Non-attainment” areas are further categorized as either marginal, moderate, serious,  
37 severe or extreme, depending upon the numerical exceedance of the priority pollutant  
38 standard and the measures that are in place to reduce pollutant levels. These  
39 designations are specific to the area and the pollutant. Because the local air basin does  
40 not meet state standards for O<sub>3</sub> and inhalable particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>), San Luis

1 Obispo County is considered a state non-attainment area for those pollutants; however,  
 2 the air basin is considered to be in attainment for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>. Table 3.3.3-  
 3 1 lists federal and state attainment status for the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution  
 4 Control District (APCD) portion of the SCCAB.

5 **Table 3.3.3-1. Federal and State Attainment Status for San Luis Obispo County**  
 6 **APCD Portion of the South Central Coast Air Basin**

<b>Pollutants</b>	<b>Federal Classification</b>	<b>State Classification</b>
O <sub>3</sub> (1-hour standard)	Classification revoked June 2005	Moderate Non-attainment
O <sub>3</sub> (8-hour standard)	Unclassified/Attainment	Non-attainment
PM <sub>10</sub>	Unclassified	Non-attainment
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
CO	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
NO <sub>2</sub>	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
SO <sub>2</sub>	Unclassified	Attainment

Source: California Air Resources Board: Area Designation Maps State/National 2010

7 The San Luis Obispo County APCD maintains a network of air quality monitoring  
 8 stations located throughout the county. The permanent monitoring station that is closest  
 9 to the Project area is the Morro Bay Station, which is located approximately 8.0 km  
 10 (5.0 mi] north of Montaña de Oro State Park.

11 Criteria pollutants O<sub>3</sub>, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub> are monitored at the Morro Bay Station.  
 12 A summary of monitoring data for the last three most recent years (2007 to 2009) is  
 13 included in Table 3.3.3-2. The closest CO monitoring station to the site was San Luis  
 14 Obispo Station; monitoring of CO at this station was discontinued in November, 2006.  
 15 Monitoring data, shown in Table 3.3.3-2, show the following pollutant trends: neither  
 16 state nor national O<sub>3</sub> standards were exceeded during the three-year reporting period.  
 17 CO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are low, with no recorded exceedances during that  
 18 reporting period. Particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) concentrations are largely affected  
 19 by meteorology and show some variability and that the national PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard was not  
 20 exceeded during the reporting period.

1

**Table 3.3.3-2. Air Quality Data from the Project Area**

<b>Pollutant Standards</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)</b> <i>State standard (1-hour average = 0.09 ppm)<sup>a</sup></i> <i>National standard (8-hour average = 0.08 ppm)</i>			
Maximum concentration 1-hour period (ppm)	0.071	0.083	0.073
Maximum concentration 8-hour period (ppm)	0.062	0.081	0.065
Days state 1-hour standard exceeded	0	0	0
Days national 8-hour standard exceeded	0	1	0
<b>Carbon Monoxide (CO)</b> <i>State standard (8-hour average = 9 ppm)</i> <i>National standard (8-hour average = 9 ppm)</i>			
Maximum concentration 8-hour period (ppm)	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>
Days state/national 8-hour standard exceeded	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>
<b>Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)</b> <i>State standard (1-hour average = 0.18 ppm)</i>			
Maximum 1-hour concentration	0.046	0.045	0.046
Days state standard exceeded	0	0	0
<b>Suspended Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>)<sup>d, e</sup></b> <i>State standard (24-hour average = 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)<sup>e</sup></i> <i>National standard (24-hour average = 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</i>			
Maximum 24-hour concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	42.0	59.5	69.0
Days exceeding state standard	0	13.5	*
Days exceeding national standard	0	0	0
<b>Suspended Particulates (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)<sup>c, d, e</sup></b> <i>National standard (24-hour average = 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</i>			
Maximum 24-hour concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	19.2	18.4	19.7
Days exceeding national standard	0	0	0

Data obtained from the Morro Bay monitoring station.

<sup>a</sup> Parts per million has been abbreviated to ppm.

<sup>b</sup> NA represents Not Available: CO is no longer monitored at the Morro Bay or San Luis Obispo monitoring stations.

<sup>c</sup> PM<sub>2.5</sub> data are from San Luis Obispo monitoring station, the next most proximate monitoring station.

<sup>d</sup> PM<sub>10</sub> sampling occurs every six days, therefore a single PM<sub>10</sub> exceedance is statistically equated to six exceedance days.

<sup>e</sup> Microgram per cubic meter has been abbreviated to µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

\* Insufficient data available

Source: California Air Resources Board.

1 **Global Climate Change.** GCC is a change in the average weather of the earth, which  
2 can be measured by wind patterns, storms, precipitation, and temperature. Common  
3 GHGs (gases that trap heat in the atmosphere) include water vapor, carbon dioxide  
4 (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), NO<sub>x</sub>, chlorofluorocarbons, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons,  
5 sulfur hexafluoride, ozone, and aerosols. GHGs are emitted by both natural processes  
6 and human activities, and the accumulation of GHGs in the atmosphere regulates the  
7 earth's temperature. Without the natural heat trapping effect of GHGs, the earth's  
8 surface would be approximately 34 degrees Centigrade (°C) cooler. However, it is  
9 generally agreed by the scientific community that emissions from human activities, such  
10 as electricity production and vehicle use, have elevated the concentration of these  
11 gases in the atmosphere beyond the level of naturally occurring concentrations.

12 In 2006, the California State Legislature adopted AB 32, the California Global Warming  
13 Solutions Act of 2006, which focuses on reducing GHGs in California. As defined under  
14 AB 32, GHGs include: CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur  
15 hexafluoride. AB 32 requires the California Air Resources Board (CARB), the state  
16 agency charged with regulating statewide air quality, to adopt rules and regulations that  
17 by 2020 would achieve GHG emissions equivalent to statewide levels in 1990.

18 Section 15064.4 of the State CEQA Guidelines provides regulatory direction on how to  
19 determine the significance of potential impacts from GHGs. Under this section, lead  
20 agencies are required to describe, calculate, or otherwise characterize GHG emissions.  
21 Where feasible, lead agencies should strive to quantify emissions, but section 15064.4  
22 provides that a qualitative analysis or reliance on performance based standards are  
23 allowed, as long as the lead agency makes a "good-faith effort" based on scientific,  
24 factual data, to disclose and analyze GHG impacts.

### 25 3.3.3.2 Regulatory Setting

26 **Federal Regulations.** The CAA was first enacted in 1955 and has been amended  
27 many times in subsequent years (i.e., 1963, 1965, 1967, 1970, 1977, and 1990). It  
28 establishes federal air quality standards, known as NAAQS, and specifies future dates  
29 for achieving compliance. The CAA also mandates that states submit and implement a  
30 SIP for local areas not meeting those standards. SIPs must include pollution control  
31 measures that demonstrate how the standards will be met. The 1990 CAA Amendments  
32 identify specific emission-reduction goals for areas not meeting the NAAQS. The  
33 sections of the CAA that would most substantially affect the development of the Project  
34 include Title I (Non-attainment Provisions) and Title II (Mobile-Source Provisions). Title I  
35 provisions were established with the goal of attaining the NAAQS for criteria pollutants.  
36 Table 3.3.3-3 shows the NAAQS currently in effect for each criteria pollutant. The  
37 NAAQS were amended in July 1997 to include an 8-hour standard for O<sub>3</sub> and to adopt a  
38 NAAQS for fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>).

1 **Table 3.3.3-3. Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	CAAQS <sup>a</sup>	NAAQS <sup>b</sup>
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	1 hour	0.09 ppm <sup>c</sup>	--
	8 hour	0.07 ppm	0.075 ppm
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1 hour	20 ppm	35 ppm
	8 hour	9.0 ppm	9 ppm
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	1 hour	0.18 ppm	--
	Annual	0.030 ppm	0.053 ppm
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	1 hour	0.25 ppm	--
	3 hour	--	0.5 ppm
	24 hour	0.04 ppm	0.14 ppm
	Annual	--	0.030 ppm
Inhalable Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	24 hour	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>c</sup>	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Annual	20 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	--
Fine Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	24 hour	--	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Annual	12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

Notes:

<sup>a</sup> The California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) for O<sub>3</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub> (1-hour and 24-hour), NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are values not to be exceeded. All other California standards shown are values not to be equaled or exceeded.

<sup>b</sup> NAAQS, other than O<sub>3</sub> and those based on annual averages, are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The O<sub>3</sub> standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with maximum hourly average concentrations above the standard is equal to or less than one.

<sup>c</sup> ppm = parts per million by volume; µg/m<sup>3</sup> = micrograms per cubic meter.

Source: California Air Resources Board 2007.

3 **State Regulations.**

4 **California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32).** The California Global  
 5 Warming Solutions Act requires that the state cap GHG emissions at 1990 levels by the  
 6 year 2020. The Act requires that CARB establish a program for statewide GHG  
 7 emission reporting, and monitor and enforce compliance with the program.

8 **California Diesel Fuel Regulations.** This rule sets sulfur concentration limitations for  
 9 diesel fuel sold in California for use in on-road and off-road motor vehicles (CARB  
 10 2004). Harbor craft were originally excluded from the rule, but were later included by a  
 11 2004 rule amendment (CARB 2005a). Under this rule, diesel fuel used in motor vehicles  
 12 except harbor craft has been limited to 500 parts per million (ppm) sulfur since 1993.  
 13 The sulfur limit was reduced to 15 ppm beginning September 1, 2006 (a similar federal  
 14 diesel rule limiting on-road vehicle sulfur content to 15 ppm began October 15, 2006).

15 **Heavy Duty Diesel Truck Idling Regulation.** The CARB Heavy Duty Diesel Truck  
 16 Idling rule, which became effective on February 1, 2005, prohibits heavy-duty diesel  
 17 trucks from idling for longer than five minutes at a time. Truck idling for longer than five  
 18 minutes while queuing is allowed, however, provided the queue is located beyond 30 m  
 19 (100 ft) from any homes or schools (CARB 2006b).

1 **Statewide Portable Equipment Registration Program (PERP).** This Program  
2 establishes a uniform program to regulate portable engines and portable engine-driven  
3 equipment units (CARB 2005b). Once registered in the PERP, engines and equipment  
4 units may operate throughout California without the need to obtain individual permits  
5 from local air districts. The PERP generally would apply to shore-end and land-based  
6 construction equipment such as generators, compressors and power winches.

7 **Local Regulations.** The San Luis Obispo APCD is the local agency in San Luis Obispo  
8 County primarily responsible for attaining the air quality standards established by the  
9 CARB and EPA. The APCD implements programs and regulations to control air  
10 pollution released from stationary sources within the APCD; it also implements  
11 programs to encourage alternative means of transportation. In 2009, the APCD  
12 published a revised CEQA Air Quality Handbook to help local governments analyze and  
13 mitigate project-specific air quality impacts. This handbook, which provides standards,  
14 methodologies, and procedures for conducting air quality analyses in EIRs, was used  
15 extensively in the preparation of this assessment. The APCD has established CEQA  
16 thresholds for the emissions of air pollutants by construction activities. The established  
17 threshold for Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for construction equipment is  
18 185 lbs/day or 2.5 tons/calendar quarter of ROG or NO<sub>x</sub>. If these thresholds are  
19 exceeded, mitigation measures, including offsets, may be required.

20 Through the attainment planning process, the APCD developed the *County APCD*  
21 *Rules and Regulations* to regulate sources of air pollution in the county. The emission  
22 sources associated with the Project are mobile sources, and therefore, not subject to  
23 the APCD rules that apply to stationary sources, such as Regulation VI - New Source  
24 Review and Regulation VII - New Source Review of Toxic Air Contaminants.

### 25 3.3.3.3 Impact Analysis

26 **Significance Criteria.** Applicable significance thresholds are contained in the San Luis  
27 Obispo County APCD (2009) CEQA Air Quality Handbook. If any of the thresholds  
28 below are exceeded, Project emissions are considered to result in a significant impact.

- 29 1. Operational impact threshold for ROG, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> that exceed 10 lbs/day,  
30 and for CO that exceed 550 lbs/day. The APCD considers impacts significant  
31 and requires more stringent environmental review for projects exceeding 25  
32 lbs/day of ROG, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions, or 550 lbs/day of CO emissions.
- 33 2. Construction impact threshold for ROG, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> that exceeds 185  
34 lbs/day or 2.5 tons/quarter and for PM<sub>10</sub> emissions that exceed 2.5 tons/quarter.  
35 The APCD requires BACT for construction equipment for projects with ROG or  
36 NO<sub>x</sub> emissions between 2.5 and 6.0 tons/quarter and requires BACT plus further  
37 mitigation for projects with emissions exceeding 6.0 tons/quarter.
- 38 3. The APCD has established health risk threshold values under the Air Toxics “Hot  
39 Spots” Information and Assessment Act. These values trigger community  
40 notification and a risk reduction plan:



1 improved transfer efficiency, fuel switching or electrification of stationary emissions  
2 sources, and chemical or catalytic reduction. These reduction methods are primarily  
3 directed toward reducing emissions from existing and new stationary sources. Since the  
4 Project does not develop new stationary sources or modify existing sources of  
5 emissions, it would not be in violation of the 2010 Clean Air Plan.

6 ***b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or***  
7 ***projected air quality violation?***

8 Significance thresholds are contained in the San Luis Obispo County APCD (2009)  
9 CEQA Air Quality Handbook. The Handbook includes a provision for construction  
10 activities and requires further assessment and mitigation of ROG, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> that  
11 exceed 185 lbs/day or 2.5 tons/quarter, and for PM<sub>10</sub> emissions that exceed 2.5  
12 tons/quarter. Projects exceeding this threshold would be required to complete a  
13 Construction Activity Management Plan (CAMP) that outlines specific mitigation  
14 strategies to reduce impacts to sensitive receptors. Sensitive receptors generally refer  
15 to residences, schools, daycare facilities, hospitals or senior care facilities.

16 As shown in Table 3.3.3-4, however, total Project emissions would not exceed 185  
17 lbs/day; emissions are calculated to be: ROGs, 13.39 lbs/day; CO, 44.4 lbs/day; and  
18 NO<sub>x</sub>, 115.64 lbs/day. Since the Project would be located primarily offshore, no sensitive  
19 receptors are located in the Project area. As such, the Project would not be required to  
20 complete a CAMP and short-term impacts would be less than significant. In addition,  
21 although hauling OBSs and cable from the POLA to Morro Bay would generate Project-  
22 related mobile emissions in Los Angeles, Ventura, and Santa Barbara Counties, a total  
23 of two truck trips (one northbound trip on one day and a southbound trip on a second  
24 day) through those counties would not result in a significant air quality impact.

25 ***c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant***  
26 ***for which the Project region is in non-attainment under an applicable***  
27 ***federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions***  
28 ***which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?***

29 For any project that does not individually have significant air quality impacts, the  
30 determination of a significant cumulative impact should be based on an evaluation of  
31 the consistency of the Project with the local general plan and of the general plan with  
32 the regional air quality plan. As demonstrated above, the Project would be consistent  
33 with the adopted Clean Air Plan. The Project would also be consistent with the Energy  
34 and Extractive Resource Areas (EX) land use designation applied to the Project area by  
35 the County of San Luis Obispo Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance (CZLUO).<sup>3</sup> According  
36 to CZLUO section 23.07.040, EX areas are those where:

---

<sup>3</sup> The EX land use designation is a combined designation to protect significant resource extraction and energy production areas identified by the Land Use Element from encroachment by incompatible land uses that could hinder resource extraction or energy production operations, or land uses that would be adversely affected by extraction or energy production.

- 1 a. Mineral or petroleum extraction occurs or is proposed to occur;
- 2 b. The state geologist has designated a mineral resource area of statewide or
- 3 regional significance pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (Pub.
- 4 Resources Code, § 2710 et seq.); or
- 5 c. Major public utility electric generation facilities exist or are proposed.

6 The Project consists of the installation on the seafloor and operation of OBS  
7 instruments and a cable in support of the existing DCP. As it is an existing major  
8 public utility, the DCP facility falls under the “c” category of CZLUO section 23.07.040.  
9 Based on the Project’s consistency with the Clean Air Plan and General Plan, the  
10 Project’s air emissions would not be cumulatively considerable or result in a significant  
11 cumulative impact.

12 **d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?**

13 The Project would be predominately located in ocean waters offshore of the DCP. The  
14 only onshore component is the extension of an existing conduit within the DCP facility.  
15 No public access is allowed within the DCP grounds. Since the Project is located  
16 offshore and within the boundaries of the existing DCP facility, no sensitive receptors  
17 are located within the Project area. As such, no impacts to sensitive receptors would  
18 result.

19 **e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?**

20 Installation of the OBS units would slightly and temporarily increase ambient air  
21 pollutant concentrations offshore due to the combustion of diesel fuel. Some individuals  
22 consider diesel combustion odors to be objectionable, although quantifying the odor  
23 impacts of such emissions is difficult. The location of the Project, offshore of DCP,  
24 ensures that only those associated with installation activities onboard the *MV Michael*  
25 *Uhl* or its support vessel would be exposed to any odors. The mobile nature of the  
26 marine engine emission sources would help disperse those emissions. Therefore, any  
27 temporary impact would be less than significant.

28 **f) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may**  
29 **have a significant impact on the environment?**

30 See response below.

31 **g) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the**  
32 **purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?**

33 Based on anticipated construction equipment lists and activities, GHGs were calculated  
34 for the Project based on EMFAC (2007a) and URBEMIS (Urban Emissions Model)  
35 (2007b) data files. Table 3.3.3-5 shows estimated construction equipment emissions for  
36 each phase of the Project. Detailed emission calculation worksheets are provided in  
37 Appendix C. Construction of the Project is expected to produce approximately 27.96  
38 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub> eq) emissions. Over the long-term no new employees would

1 be required, as the Project would use existing personnel for monitoring and  
 2 maintenance activities. Additionally, the Project’s long-term energy use is anticipated to  
 3 be virtually the same as currently exists.

4 **Table 3.3.3-5. Total GHG Emissions through Project Duration (tons)**

Source	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	Total (tons)
Off-Road Vessels and Equipment	28.69	0.001	0.002	
Worker Transportation	1.42	0.0002	0.0002	
Equipment Delivery from POLA	0.85	0.000026	0.000031	
Total English Tons	30.96	0.001	0.002	
Total Metric Tons	27.65	0.001	0.002	
CO <sub>2</sub> eq	27.65	0.268	0.044	

5 Due to the lack of significance thresholds, a determination of the Project’s impact on  
 6 regional, statewide, or continental resources of concern affected by GCC (i.e., regional  
 7 water supply and hydrology, plant and wildlife species range expansions or  
 8 contractions, Sierra snowpack, extent of polar ice caps, sea level rise, etc.) would be  
 9 speculative. However, the Attorney General requires GHG impact evaluation and the  
 10 implementation of feasible mitigation at the Project level. As such, consideration of GHG  
 11 impacts should be considered for both operational and construction-related emissions.

12 San Luis Obispo County has not adopted specific thresholds for determining the  
 13 significance of GHG emissions. For the purposes of this analysis, and due to the fact  
 14 that hauling equipment (OBSs and cable) from the POLA to Morro Bay would result in  
 15 Project-related mobile emissions in Los Angeles, Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties,  
 16 GHG emissions thresholds adopted by the South Coast Air Quality Management District  
 17 (SCAQMD) could be considered applicable to the Project. However, no formal  
 18 regulations establishing GHG thresholds at the local level exist in the South Coast Air  
 19 Basin either. In October, 2008, the SCAQMD distributed a Draft Guidance Document –  
 20 Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold. According to the SCAQMD, the purpose of  
 21 the Guidance Document was to: provide information on GHG legislation relative to  
 22 CEQA; summarize a Working Group process; provide information on the SCAQMD’s  
 23 authority to establish a GHG significance threshold pursuant to CEQA; and develop  
 24 guidance for the resulting staff-recommended interim GHG significance threshold  
 25 proposal and how to use it. The Guidance Document does not recommend GHG  
 26 thresholds, but GHG thresholds may be provided in subsequent SCAQMD documents.

27 As such, for the purposes of this analysis, Project emissions were compared to the  
 28 Thresholds of Significance established by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District  
 29 (BAAQMD). The BAAQMD’s approach to developing a Threshold of Significance for  
 30 GHG emissions is to identify the emissions level for which a project would not be  
 31 expected to substantially conflict with existing California legislation adopted to reduce  
 32 statewide GHG emissions needed to move the state towards climate stabilization. If a

1 project would generate GHG emissions above the threshold level, it would be  
 2 considered to contribute substantially to a cumulative impact, and would be considered  
 3 significant. Table 3.3.3-6 provides the BAAQMD thresholds for GHG emissions.

4 **Table 3.3.3-6. Bay Area Air Quality Management District**  
 5 **GHG Significance Thresholds (Updated 2011)**

GHGs	Construction-Related Average Emissions (lbs/day)	Operational-Related
Stationary Sources	None	10,000 MT/yr
Other Projects (not Stationary Sources)	None	Compliance with qualified GHG Reduction Strategy; <b>or</b> 1,100 metric tons (MT) of CO <sub>2eq</sub> /year (yr); <b>or</b> 4.6 MT CO <sub>2eq</sub> /SP/yr (residents + employees)

6 The Project and operation of the OBS units would not result in long-term emissions of  
 7 GHGs. The only Project-related GHG emissions would result from short-term,  
 8 installation-related operations. Based on GHG emission estimates provided in Table  
 9 3.3.3-5 above, the Project would generate approximately 27.96 tons of CO<sub>2eq</sub>  
 10 emissions. Although the BAAQMD GHG emission thresholds do not provide a numerical  
 11 threshold for short-term, construction-related emissions and the Project’s short-term  
 12 GHG emissions would be below a 1,100 MT/yr threshold for non-stationary source  
 13 emissions, these construction-related GHGs can still be reduced by implementing  
 14 Project-design measures typically required by the San Luis Obispo APCD CAMP. As  
 15 noted above, the CAMP outlines specific mitigation strategies.

16 The Project would not generate additional emissions during operation of the OBS units;  
 17 only short-term, installation-related GHGs would occur. Implementation of Standard  
 18 Control Measures for Construction Equipment are measures in the CAMP that would be  
 19 applicable to the Project. With implementation of these measures, listed in MM AIR-1,  
 20 Project GHG emissions are considered less than significant.

21 **3.3.3.4 Mitigation and Residual Impacts**

22 The following mitigation measure will reduce Project-related GHG emissions.

23 **MM AIR-1.** The Applicant shall implement Standard Control Measures for  
 24 Construction Equipment, which include:

- 25 • Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to
- 26 manufacturer’s specifications;
- 27 • Fuel all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment with CARB-
- 28 certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use
- 29 off-road);

- 1 • Use diesel construction equipment meeting CARB's Tier 2 certified  
2 engines or cleaner off-road heavy-duty diesel engines, and comply  
3 with the State Off-Road Regulation;
- 4 • Use on-road heavy-duty trucks that meet CARB's 2007 or cleaner  
5 certification standard for on-road heavy-duty diesel engines, and  
6 comply with the State On-Road Regulation;
- 7 • Construction or trucking companies that do not have engines in their  
8 fleet that meet the engine standards identified in the above two  
9 measures (e.g., captive or NO<sub>x</sub>-exempt area fleets) may be eligible by  
10 proving alternative compliance;
- 11 • All on and off-road diesel equipment shall not idle for more than five  
12 minutes. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and/or  
13 job sites to remind drivers and operators of the five-minute idling limit;
- 14 • Diesel idling within 300 meters (1,000 feet) of sensitive receptors is not  
15 permitted;
- 16 • Staging and queuing areas shall not be located within 300 meters  
17 (1,000 feet) of sensitive receptors;
- 18 • Electrify equipment when feasible;
- 19 • Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment,  
20 where feasible; and,
- 21 • Use alternatively fueled construction equipment onsite where feasible,  
22 such as compressed natural gas, liquefied natural gas, propane or  
23 biodiesel.

24 The Project's incremental contribution to GCC is not cumulatively considerable due to  
25 the small amount of GHG emissions in relation to that emitted in the region (California's  
26 annual GHG emissions have been estimated at 468.8 million tons in 2004), and the  
27 short-term nature of these emissions. Therefore, construction of the Project would not  
28 contribute to a significant cumulative impact to GHGs or GCC.

29 **Residual Impacts.** With the incorporation of the recommended mitigation, there will be  
30 no residual impacts to air quality or associated with GHG emissions.