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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: I'll call this special meeting of the State Lands Commission to order. All the representatives of the Commission are present. We have one item on today's calendar.

But before we get to that item, we have three members of the public that clearly were paying attention to public notices --

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: And took time to be here. And in respect to their taking that time, I would love to hear from all of you before we enter -- if it's okay with the Commissioners, before we enter into our closed session.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Sounds good.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Whichever one of you wishes to speak first.

MS. MADDEN: I think I might have signed the list first, so.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: And if you could just for the record state your name?

MS MADDEN: My name is Alison Madden. I am with the Organization San Francisco Bay Marinas For All, also Save Docktown. And I know that you guys have heard us, you know, come and address you before. So I appreciate
the opportunity.

I actually wanted to come today. We do know that you're going to be in Berkeley on April 20th. And some of our members may show up there. And what I wanted to come and ask is I -- we have retained Gary Redenbacher and Bill Parkin to address a settlement agreement that Redwood City entered into with a lawyer named Ted Hannig.

And what we are proceeding forward on is the Redwood City Charter actually establishes the Port Department and the City Council, and they're entirely separate, two different political entities, if you will. And the port does not report to the city, and the city has no review authority over the port. And our allegation is that Docktown is in the Port jurisdiction, and so Ted Hannig sued the wrong party.

It doesn't involve you guys at all, but what we noticed when we were doing the research is that the charter defines the port area as the tide and submerged lands filled and unfilled, granted or ungranted, and the land adjoining it, so the assessor's parcel number adjoining it. And it has all kinds of ramifications, because certain things like the Pete's Harbor permit is not in the city council's jurisdiction and all kinds of things.

But what we did then started to look at is the
city is applying to the BCDC for 10 percent liveaboards in the municipal harbor. And so what we'd like to propose that the State Lands Commission staff and Commissioners maybe start thinking about is allowing the entire port area. Redwood City defines the port area, as I just stated, as the tide and submerged lands. It's also in the interior of the port. It's the Redwood City Yacht Harbor. So out of all the slips that are in the harbor, some or private land, some not, so he contested.

You know, we'd like to see if -- that we can have a potential resolution to have 10 percent of the port area be allowed liveaboards. And I know the 10 percent comes from the BCDC rule. It comes from the Bay Plan and McAteer-Petris Act. And its in the Bay Plan because of the rich history of living on San Francisco Bay, not because of the safety issue.

And I know that the State Lands Commission has allowed two to four percent liveaboards for safety. And we just want to propose that as a question of kind of a mixed question of fact and why it's actually not safe to have two to four percent with people traveling, and they work. And it's actually risky to the people that live there in such a small community.

And I also wanted to say statewide boating is enhanced by having a marina because they intend to take
the marina out. So we have, you know, a long guest dock. We brought a club who just hosted all the tall ship sailors that came in. We have sail-ins, we have sail-outs. We have boating safety. We clean the creek. So many, many public -- advanced by the liveaboard community. So that is mostly what I wanted to say.

I also wanted to say, you know, Massachusetts law, Florida, Tennessee Valley Authority, the federal, Seattle, Portland, Washington, Oregon, they all allow this kind of approach that if you have a community that also supports and doesn't inhibit other material Public Trust purposes, that it's permanent.

So I think that's everything I wanted to say. I wanted to let you know about the litigation that it doesn't involve you, but that we are hoping that that's peaceful resolution.

And if I could, can I have leave this stuff. It's just declarations and whatnot, declarations of hardship. There's a 85-year old lady cancer who keeps calling me saying she thinks she's going to die if she has to move. And Redwood City is trying to kick us out this year, even though you guys never said this year. We know you guys would have given us 10 or 15 years.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Thank you.
MR. COATS: Hello. I'm Francis Coats. And I came here to ask for an opportunity on the part of the public to work with the agency staff in an open, transparent, and cooperative manner on developing a document to inform the public and the agencies of the public's rights relative to public access and the use of the Public Trust lands.

That -- this is -- you know, grows out of at least the strategic plan process, where there's a commitment to do that, and I'm advised that the agency staff has been working with somebody at the Attorney General's office on this for -- I've been told that for at least a year.

I know nothing about it. And being a retired State employee, I'm afraid that whatever come -- they come up with is going to set in concrete and there's going to be great resistance to any kind of input or change once somebody spent a year and a half working on a document with the Attorney General. So that's, A, I'd like to be able to work on that.

And B, I'm looking for a response to a rulemaking petition I submitted on January 17th for an interpretation of Article 1, Section 25 of the State Constitution, which is the fishing rights. Basically, the part I'm interested
in is that State agencies are obligated to reserve in the public the absolute right to fish upon any land owned by the State, sold or transferred by the State, that -- I'm told that the Commission doesn't believe that land swapped for other land is transferred, or maybe it doesn't think its land. Either one I don't follow.

I'm also told they're relying on a 1962 Attorney General's opinion relative to a Leslie's Salt Company transaction. And I would point out that the Supreme Court case on this subject is a 1978 decision, 16 years later. And their Attorney General's opinion was done without the benefit of any real appellate court decisions on this.

In 1973, there was the Quinn -- in re Quinn, and 1978, the San Luis Obispo Sportsmen's Association Case. The Supreme Court case very clearly said that State-owned land means land owned by the State, except that the right to fish on State-owned land does not apply to prisons or mental institutions. It doesn't leave a lot of room for -- a swap is not a transfer, let alone a sale. So thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Appreciate it.

Appreciate it. We can talk about that in a moment. Theresa.

MS. SIMSIMAN: I'm keeping mine brief. I'm sure you remember -- may remember me from last time.
Theresa Simsiman with American Whitewater. I am the California Stewardship Director. American Whitewater is a national organization that has been working over 60 years on public land in terms of the Public Trust and access to our rivers.

So I've come here today to kind of get, you know, request for a follow-up of where we're at with the legal guide, because we feel that, again, it will be good a tool for us to use. And it was our understanding from the stakeholder meeting that we had, that we would be more involved. And I am just here to say I'm ready to be involved.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Okay. Appreciate that. I think -- those are the three.

Anyone else?

Do members of my staff wish to speak?

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: You seem to have a lot to say privately. Interesting silence.

So we'll close public comment. Thank you, all, for being here.

Anything you want to just reflect out of respect to the speakers.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Of course. I'm happy to. With regard to the public access document, it
is our intention to involve both Francis and Theresa on --
in the development of that document. I will say that we
have not actually worked on it since our stakeholder
meeting, so we're not moving on, you know, without you.
It's a workload issue right now.

So -- and in terms of Francis -- Mr. Coats' other
comments regarding the Attorney General's opinion, and his
request for a rulemaking, we are looking into that. I'm
not sure if we've actually provided a written response to
that, but we are preparing one if we haven't already yet.
We've also been working with the Attorney General's
office. So we are working on all of the things that Mr.
Coats and Theresa raised.

It is taking longer than I'm sure they would
hope. And frankly, it's a workload issue at this point.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Do we have a sense of
a time on the public access thing?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Well, the

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Second quarter this
year, third quarter.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Well, no. I don't
have a sense at this moment in time, because the message I
got from our stakeholder meetings and other public
comments at various Commission meetings were that the
various stakeholders wanted to be at the table in the
development of the legal guide. So that entails some
coordination and some setting up of meetings, and that
sort of thing, as opposed to just providing them a draft
of what we've already worked on so far and getting a
reaction. So it's really on my plate, frankly, right now
to set up those meetings, and work through that process.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: So I'm going to go
out on a limb here. Can maybe you come to our meeting in
a couple of weeks with kind of a outline of a plan and
timetable --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I can do that.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: -- so you don't have
to do it off the top of your heard what this looks like.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Right. I'm happy to
do that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: That's great. All right.
Thanks. Excellent.

So item number 1, consider whether to discuss
pending litigation in closed session.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. So staff is
asking for the -- we're not in closed session yet. This
is still the public open session. We haven't -- you're
welcome to leave or listen.

(Laughter.)

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We -- staff is
asking the Commission to adopt the recommendation as laid out in the staff report before you, and I'm happy to answer any questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Anyone?

CHIEF COUNSEL MEIER: The recommendation is to go into closed session.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Motion to go to closed?

ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yes.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Without objection, we'll go into closed session, and we thank you guys for being here. You can come back at the end for public comment again, if you wish.

(Off record: 2:14 p.m.)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed into closed session.)

(Thereupon the meeting reconvened in open session.)

(On record: 3:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: So we're back in open session. Anything to report out?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.

CHAIRPERSON NEWSOM: Nothing.

Is there any public comment?

Seeing none, public comment is closed.
That, I believe, ends today's closed session/special meeting.

Thank you. You're wonderful.

(Thereupon the California State Lands Commission meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.)
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