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CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Good morning. I call this meeting of the State Lands Commission to order. All the representatives of the Commission are present. I am John Chiang, I'm the State Controller; and I am very pleased to be joined this morning by Brian Bugsch representing the Lieutenant Governor, John Garamendi, and Anne Sheehan who represents the Department of Finance.

For the benefit of those in the audience, the State Lands Commission administers properties owned by the State as well as its mineral interests. Today we will hear proposals concerning the leasing and management of these public properties.

The first item of business will be the adoption of the minutes from the Commission's last meeting. May I have a motion to approve these minutes?

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I'll move approval, if there are no changes.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Okay. Motion by Anne. Is there a second?

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Second by Brian. Without objection, the motion passes. The minutes are
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unanimously adopted.

And the next order of business is the Executive Officer's Report. Paul, may we have that report, please?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. Thank you.

Good morning, Members of the Commission. I have several different items I wanted to cover.

The first is the Commission had previously asked staff to keep you regularly updated on the progress of resolving some of the violations that have been identified over the last year. We started doing this at the last meeting, and I wanted to continue today.

First is the South Bay Yacht Club. At the last meeting, I erroneously said that I think several days after the Commission meeting we anticipated that the Yacht Club would be before the Santa Clara Valley Water District for a lease which is necessary to get some of the other permits they need to do the cleanup that we'd like to see happen.

In fact, the matter was scheduled for a hearing at the Yacht Club's own board, and today is the day we anticipate that this item will go to the Water District itself.

The staff recommendation from the Water
District is for approval. Once they have that approval, they can get the permit from BCDC and be able to do more work with Department and Fish and Game.

And in the long run, of course, just to refresh your memory, we are looking to see some of the docks replaced there, some of the excess vegetation that made the docks inaccessible to be removed.

And finally, a condition of the Commission's lease was that the public have access to the water here, and some of these improvements are necessary to safely get them there.

So we think good progress is being made, but they're still working on the December deadline from the Commission to achieve all of this.

With respect to the Courtland docks, this is a small marina that's in Courtland, California south of Sacramento perhaps 20 miles. The problem is generally that the docks are in poor shape. A house has been reconstructed so that it extended slightly out over the Public Trust lands, and the neighbors were concerned as to whether or not the marina owners were living on a vessel there.

There is a deadline of June 15th for this to be corrected. The individuals are now living in the house which had extended too far in the Public Trust
land, and the house has been reconstructed so it's been
drawn back off the Trust land. Some of the old docks
have been removed, and they've accepted delivery of all
the new docks, and some of them have been installed.

I have some pictures here that I'd like to
share with Commission that shows the docks are in the
process of being installed and some of them are still
on the bank.

So technically, they did not meet the
Commission's deadline of June 15th; but nonetheless,
given the progress that's been made there and the fact
that they have all the docks there that need to be
installed and that's really the last physical thing
they need to do, we think that the Commission should
hold in abeyance any effort for further enforcement
action there.

The one last other item they need to do is
obtain a bond. The owners here don't have a lot of
money, and they are in litigation with another
individual not related to the commission's enforcement
action. And because of that litigation, they've had
trouble getting that bond.

They hope to get a court judgment by the end
of this month on the 27th for -- that will clear up the
litigation, and they expect to be able to get a bond
after that.

And so I think our proposal is to come back to the Commission meeting on August 22nd and report on the progress of all these items, but that, as I say, good progress is being made.

Then the next item is Jeanne Bird. She owns a houseboat, a very nice houseboat, down in the Delta. The staff discovered that this houseboat was for sale in real estate ads as a residence. Of course, that's inconsistent with the Public Trust Doctrine.

The Commission allowed the lease to remain in holdover because it was expiring and directed her to seek ways to either sell this floating house, really -- it's not a houseboat; it's more like a floating house -- and have it moved to some place that didn't include Public Trust waters. There are a number of artificial waterways in the Delta, and so that would be appropriate. Or to seek use of it by somebody else, selling it to somebody else who might convert it into office space for a marina.

So far, none of that has happened. She has a deadline of June 30th, and it is looking to us like probably she's not going to end up complying. She's supposedly taking some efforts to try to market the houseboat, but she's not yet out of compliance because
the deadline hasn't come yet.

And once again, we'll report back on this in August, but we're less optimistic on this one as we are with the other two.

Then I also just wanted to make sure you knew we're still working on the Holbert case. This is a gentleman just south of Sacramento who had overbuilt the dock, built the dock much larger than the Commission had approved.

And the Commission authorized litigation, and Attorney General's office is working on that through the preliminary briefs and discovery in that matter. No real -- nothing real to report on that other than we're continuing to work on that.

So that concludes the enforcement actions, unless there are question you had about any of these.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Questions? No.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: While I'm on the subject of legal work, I guess, I wanted to make sure that all of you knew Joe Rusconi here, to introduce him to you if you haven't met him before.

He's been at a number of our meetings, has worked specifically through the years on a whole bunch of State Lands Commission projects. But he's now with us on a permanent basis.
Matt Rodriguez, who's been filling in for the Attorney General's office has been elevated. What's his official --

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL RUSCONI: He's now the Chief Deputy Attorney General in charge of the Public Rights Unit.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Lot more people, lot more responsibilities. We're lucky to have this happen because he's so familiar with the Public Trust Doctrine. He'll continue to be a real asset for us in the Attorney General's office, but he can't come to the meetings anymore.

And we're blessed to have Joe. Joe worked as well on the Hanson Sand Mining case and a whole bunch of things.

I also wanted to close with another update on Poseidon. I know that the Department of Finance is particularly interested in doing this. We talked about this at an earlier meeting. To refresh the Commission's recollection, we heard this in October.

The proposal is for a 50-million-gallon-a-day desalination facility in Carlsbad, and the Commission was reluctant to approve a lease because of concerns about whether or not mitigation has been worked out sufficiently to understand that it was adequately going
to address the impacts of once-through cooling, the
intake of the water from the Agua Hedionda Lagoon, and
also to see whether or not Poseidon was going to be
able to meet the commitment expressed at the Commission
meeting to have a zero greenhouse gas footprint from
the project.

Staff has been working on this since then.
The Coastal Commission had similar concerns, and so we
have been working with them as well.

The Coastal Commission actually approved the
permit, conditioned issuance of that permit upon
dealing with the same issues, and so there's been a lot
of cooperation there.

We have changed information with Poseidon. We
have been working with the Water Board who has primary
jurisdiction over the biological impacts, the Coastal
Commission, Energy Commission, and Air Resources Board.

On the wetlands matter, Poseidon claims that
in both phases the 42 wetland acres are sufficient
mitigation. The Coastal Commission has hired a
consultant who's worked on a number of coastal projects
who believes -- it's made a presentation that 55 acres
is the appropriate amount. That report is not yet
finaled.

Poseidon is working to obtain a site for
wetlands mitigation in the San Dieguito Lagoon. Our understanding is that it has not yet received permission from the project there. It's a big restoration project. It's mostly to mitigate the nuclear generating facility, and Edison is involved with it.

Those -- that entity controls the land there and has not yet agreed to its use by Poseidon, so Poseidon really hasn't met what the Commission asked for which was some assurance about where the mitigation site's going to be until it gets that approval.

The Water Board did approve earlier this spring, the flow plan which was intended to deal -- include the mitigation. But that was left somewhat ambiguous and the Water Board directed its staff to continue to work on this and develop final answers by this fall. And yet we haven't received, therefore, from the Water Board and its staff the guidance we're hoping to get from the experts on that matter.

On the greenhouse gas emissions, Poseidon continues to rely on a plan for offsetting the impacts. Most of the impacts are from the use of electricity in the desalination facility. It's developed a list of potential mitigation measures but would like to be able to choose from that list just before the plant opens.
There is some justification for that because offsetting greenhouse gases is a relatively new thing, and there continues to be new mitigation measures and perhaps some of these will be more efficient than the ones now available.

But it certainly doesn't give us very much in the way of specificity in terms of how we're going to do the mitigation.

The plan excludes mitigation for vehicles and for plant construction. It's just for the use of electricity in the finished plant.

It relies heavily on offsets from the foregone electrical use of the water that they say will be displaced -- the use of water which will be displaced by the new plant.

This is probably the most fundamental issue, whether we should be counting the total emissions associated from the electricity used by this plant, or whether we should subtract the amount of electricity that's used to bring the water from the State Water Resources project or the Colorado River from the emissions that are generated by the plant.

Staff's present conclusion, based on what we know, is that the water purveyors there that provide water in the area use every drop that's available to
MWD and the San Diego Water Authority; and as such, we don't think there is really a substitution occurring here. It will be an addition, additional water, and will therefore be an addition of emissions, and we don't think there is an offset.

But at the end of the day, we imagine we will bring calculations that are figured both ways to the Commission with an explanation of our approach; and Poseidon, of course, has its own reason why it believes an offset approach is a better way to go.

In terms of going forward, the Coastal Commission met in June to adopt findings to justify its approval of the project. The original staff report to the Commission recommended denial, so when the Coastal Commission went the other way for approval, they needed a reply staff report to provide a legal basis for the decision.

There was a debate between Poseidon and the Coastal Commission staff over what the appropriate findings would be. Poseidon had its own version they wanted the Coastal Commission to adopt. And in the end, the Coastal Commission put over adoption of those findings until August.

So we have been operating on the assumption, or something of a promise, that the Coastal Commission
would hear this in July. Because of this fight over Poseidon findings, it's been put off to August. The Coastal Commission staff believes that it's not appropriate to adopt both the findings that justify what the Coastal Commission is asking for in compliance with that, with those requirements.

So the Coastal Commission staff has taken the position that it will bring back revised findings in August, and perhaps not until September, to get compliance with the Coastal Commission's conditions to deal with those same issues as the wetlands mitigation and greenhouse gas mitigation.

As I've discussed previously with you, it's our intent to act in a way -- and I believe this represents the State Lands Commissioners' perspectives as well -- act in a way that does not unduly delay the project.

So my view is if the Coastal Commission hears both of these things, findings and compliance in August, we will hear this at the August 22nd meeting. If the Coastal Commission puts off final compliance until September -- especially if the wetlands mitigation is not resolved in terms of the site or the appropriate number of acres -- then it would be my recommendation that we hear this immediately after the...
Coastal Commission hears it, either in a special meeting or at the October 16th meeting which is the next one after the September meeting of the Coastal Commission.

So that's where it rests right now. And if this is consistent with your desires on that, that's how we'll proceed. We'll bring it August 22nd if the Coastal Commission acts finally in August, otherwise in a subsequent meeting.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: But it sounds like what you were saying on the -- at least the staff on the Coastal Commission wants to bifurcate the issue?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: But I guess, considering the Commissioners' desire to do it at the same time, that's still a possibility.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. We had a staff member ask the Coastal Commission when it heard this earlier this month. And I haven't talked with Peter since then about where he thinks that's going to go.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I'm sure he'll have discussions with his chair and probably be involved.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Brian?

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: I just trust, Paul, that the Coastal Commission is aware of our situation. You've been in contact with them in terms of --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: -- preference not to delay and push it forward.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We have discussed all of this with the Coastal Commission staff generally, you know. We've taken a position that we'd like to be prompt in our evaluation of this project.

But on the other hand, a lot of the work that the Coastal Commission has done and staff has done has been consistent with trying to get to the bottom of some of these issues that this Commission was interested in as well.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: And in terms of the Coastal Commission's information and its relevance to us, I mean you feel pretty strongly that it would inform our understanding of the mitigation dimension of that?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Absolutely. They have biologists on staff. And although our current head of our Environmental Planning Unit has a biology background, we don't deal with the issues with the same
frequency the Coastal Commission does. They have
coastal policies and wetlands, and they have a lot of
expertise on it.

So in the absence of the Water Board making
some sort of final determination on it, we're looking
for some help in all this from the Coastal Commission.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Understanding the sense
of the Lieutenant Governor's office, if you would keep
us apprised of the timeliness of the findings of the
Coastal Commission? I'm sensitive to my colleagues and
when they want to hear this. I'm not wedded to the
October time frame.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Just want to keep that
open.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We'll continue to
coordinate with all of the commissioners' offices about
this.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: In terms of
location, that October meeting is in?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: San Diego.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: San Diego.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: And then August in

LA.
EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: If we heard it then, it would be proximate to the location.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Then I think that concludes, yes, Executive Officer's Report.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Thank you. The next item of business is the Consent Calendar. Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak on an item that is still on the Consent Calendar?

Seeing none, if not, the remaining group of consent items will be taken up as a group for a single vote. Is there a motion?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I just want to make sure that we are clear the two items that are being removed from consent are items 8 and 26.

One of those deals with emission control for the dust at Owens Lake. And the other one is associated with an emergency revetment to prevent erosion already in place at a beach in Santa Barbara County. We expect to hear those at a later date.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Okay. That's noted.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: I move to approve the Consent Calendar, taking items 8 and 26 off that calendar.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And I'll second.
CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: We have a motion by Brian, seconded by Anne. Without objection, the motion passes.

Next item.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: The next item is the sole item on the regular calendar which is a resolution requested by the Controller's Office to support HR 21, a measure presently in Congress.

Mario De Bernardo, our legislative representative, will make the presentation.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Okay.

MR. DeBERNARDO: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Committee members. Mario De Bernardo, Legislative Liaison for State Lands.

And this resolution here is in support of the Ocean Conservation Education and National Strategy for the 21st Century Act, which is HR 21, otherwise known as oceans 21. And the author is Sam Farr in the House of Representatives.

This bill is in response to two Commission reports, one by the Pew Oceans Commission, the other by the US Commission on Ocean Policies. And these reports were conducted earlier in this decade, and they concluded that major changes in ocean management are needed to stop degradation of ocean resources and to
The bill does five things.

It establishes a national ocean policy to minimize environmental impacts to ocean waters, coastal waters, and to ocean resources.

It creates a Committee on Ocean Policy in the Executive Office to implement the national ocean policy.

And the third thing it does is formally codifies NOAA which was created in 1970 according to an Executive Order by President Nixon. This would formally codify NOAA.

The fourth thing it would do is implement this regional ocean coordination program which would split the country into nine different regions, and there would be a partnership between state and federal agencies and entities to develop a regional ocean plan which would then be implemented through this bill.

And it creates a trust fund and also a stamp to fund the program.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Okay, very good. Any comments?

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: No. I would be happy to move approval.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Would anybody like to
make public comment? Okay. I'll accept a motion.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I'll move approval of the resolution.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: We have a motion by Anne.

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Second by Brian. Without objection, motion passes.

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Very good.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Actually, that's all of the regular calendar items except we have one off-calendar item that we wanted to bring up, and that is to appropriately recognize Jack Rump in his impending retirement.

And I think what I'd like to do is read the resolution, and perhaps if the Commissioners would sign this resolution and would care to make comments then I might close with a few since I worked with Jack so much.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Sure.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And it's an unfortunately big day for me. But let me read through this resolution because it says a lot about Jack:

A Resolution by the California State Lands Commission Commending Jack E. Rump

WHEREAS, Jack Rump has given the People...
of California over 35 years of dedicated
and distinguished public service; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump, following two years
of private practice, entered state
service with the Department of Social
Welfare in November of 1972 as a hearing
referee, during which time he also
taught Business Law at the University of
the Pacific; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump, since May 1973, has
provided expert legal advice to the
Commission and its staff, initially as a
Legal Counsel, followed by his promotion
to Staff Counsel and in June 1984
Assistant Chief Counsel; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump, since 1995, has
served as the Chief Counsel of the
California State Lands Commission and
has now been a legal counselor to the
Commission for over half of its 70 year
existence, longer than any other
attorney; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump has guided the
Commission’s legal staff with his
expertise, supervision and leadership;
I don't know about supervision.

(Laughter)

And

WHEREAS, Jack Rump's accomplishments include providing environmental protection to tens of thousands of acres of San Francisco Bay wetlands and Public Trust lands through litigation and by negotiations of major title settlements, including the 10,000+ acre Westbay Settlement; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump provided the Commission with the legal guidance necessary to resolve a major dispute involving public access to moorings for recreational vessels at Catalina Island; and

WHEREAS, Jack Rump's Renaissance Man knowledge, including expertise involving complex areas of Constitutional law, the Public Trust Doctrine, environmental law, administrative law, land title and boundary law and public rights, has resulted in a legacy of benefits to the People of California; and
WHEREAS, as a result of his conscientious commitment, dedication and superior intellect Jack Rump has succeeded in compiling an impressive record of career achievements and earned the admiration and respect of not only those who have had the privilege of working with him, but those representing opposing interests; now therefore be it RESOLVED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS Commission, that Jack E. Rump be commended and thanked for his distinguished record of professional public service for more than thirty-five years representing and advising the California State Lands Commission; we extend sincere best wishes for a rewarding and gratifying retirement; and the Commission wishes Jack, his wife Sally and their daughter Megan the very best in the years to come.

I hereto set my hand and have caused the Seal of the California State Lands Commission to be affixed on this 24th day of June, of the Year 2008.
CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Very good. I know all three commissioners would like to make comments. Brian?

ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH: Yeah. I would just like -- I worked with Jack for about a year and a half now, and his integrity and work and knowledge and sage advice helping guide me and the Commissioner through everything is very much appreciated. I wonder if that resolution is only slightly shorter than his tenure with the State -- (Laughter)

(ALTERNATE MEMBER BUGSCH) But I don't know that I can add too much more to that. We just really appreciate you and I think we're really going to miss you. And I think -- I know there's a whole body of people underneath Paul and Jack, and they're kind of the figureheads we deal with primarily and everything. I think that he's a great representative of everything and helps synthesize all that, and I know the team underneath him is tremendous. And the Commissioner and I both work with lots of different State entities and everything, you know, and this is one of the most impressive bodies that I've ever worked with and staffs I've ever worked with, and I think
you're a big part of that, Jack, and I wish you the best in future.

And as always, Commission Garamendi is never to be outdone, right? So he also is giving Jack a resolution. I won't read it here, but I'll give that to you after we're all done and everything.

So thank you, Jack.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Great. Anne?

COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Sure. I would like to add my sentiments to both Brian's and the resolution. Let's see, I think I've been on a little bit longer than four years.

But I have to say it is truly your wisdom and counsel that I've been able to navigate and help me understand better the issues before the Commission. So I really appreciate and respect the dedication that you have for this.

We wish you the best in your retirement. We're going to miss you. You have really made it easier for me to do my job. So with that, I will always be truly grateful and wish you the best.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Thank you, Anne.

My comments: Jack, you've been nothing short of extraordinary. You have been most generous in your assistance. You obviously bring formidable
intellectual competence to the work here. You are held in extraordinarily high regard, and you have my -- and this is heartfelt -- thanks.

We are very, very blessed in this state to have wonderful talent, and you're part of the blessings of this great state.

So I wish you, your wife Sally, and Megan -- I guess you can try to learn French from her and you can speak German as you do your travels, even though it's a little bit expensive now with the dollar.

But I hope you look back very, very fondly upon your work here because we hold you in the deepest regard.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And I'd like to just add a couple comments of my own.

Obviously I would echo everything the Commission had to say. It represents a lot of my own views toward Jack.

But I think the Commission cannot know the number of times problems have been resolved early on and never get to you, or when they finally do get to you, they have been fully worked up and developed, that the options have been clarified, the lousy ones that I think of have been discarded.

And the work of the Commission is enormously
facilitated, not only at a Commission meeting like this, but day-to-day operations in the Commission offices.

Jack's voice has been one of wisdom, and I really cannot count the number of times where he sort of, Paul, you know, do you really want to go there and have you thought about the consequences of that?

And I'm a fool if I don't accept his advice on these sorts of things, so I always do.

So it's going to be an enormous loss for me on a day-to-day basis to figure out how we're going to do this stuff. I can't imagine without Jack's presence.

So I'm just hoping he won't be a stranger and will come by and I'll ask okay, on this one, what should we do. And I really appreciate his fellowship and advice since I've been with the Commission.

So with that, perhaps -- we do have a couple of cameras. Curtis you brought yours as well. We could do a presentation of the resolution.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Is there anybody who would like to make comments about Jack? This is your last chance.

(Laughter)

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Let's go off the record.

(Presentation of the Resolution)
CHIEF COUNSEL RUMP: I should say a few words.

(Laughter)

CHIEF COUNSEL RUMP: I'll keep it to three, which is my normal manner.

Yeah, it is a difficult moment for me because I've had a wonderful career, and it's very much due to fine commissioners such as you.

It's been wonderful to see people come in new to a subject matter which is extremely complex, pick it up with the ease that you do, and then implement it with good public policy. I couldn't be happier when I see that.

We deal with a complex mixture of history, of law, of science, public policy, all stirred around, public input, and you've made terrific decisions.

I was particularly proud to be here during the L&G hearings which were very difficult and very controversial, but we saw it through and got it done.

I have had the pleasure over a number of years. When I began with the Commission, think of it. Ronald Reagan was governor. Not president, but governor.

So it's been a few years, and it's a little shocking to me to hear that I've been here half the existence of the term of the creation of this
Commission. So in many ways these will be terrific memories, but I think the thing that makes me happiest is the baton has been passed to you. The torch has come from future Commissions, from you, and from the ones in the past. There is a continuity.

This is an interesting doctrine we have, the Public Trust. It means something. And it's to be used. And I couldn't be happier to have you all in office now. I feel like the days are assured that the public will get the full benefit.

Thank you very much. Appreciate everything.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And the last item on the regular session.

CHAIRPERSON CHIANG: Okay. Would anybody like to make any public comment? This is the time for public comment. Okay. Hearing none, we will now adjourn into Closed Session.

--o0o--

(Thereupon the CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS Commission meeting adjourned to Closed Session at 10:35 a.m.)
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