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MR. CHAMPION: The meeting will please come to order. We have been furnished copies of the minutes of the meeting of August 18, 1964. If there is no objection, they will stand approved as submitted.

Permits, easements, and rights-of-way to be granted to public and other agencies at no fee, pursuant to statute:

(a) State of California, Department of Fish and Game —
Permit for intermittent use during next ten years of 0.69 acre in bed of San Joaquin River, San Joaquin County, for rock storage (in conjunction with closure of Old River to facilitate salmon migration and possibly to diminish erosion).

(b) City of Larkspur — Ten-year renewal of Lease P.R.C. 480.9, submerged lands in Corte Madera Creek, Marin County. (Right-of-way easement used for maintenance of a sewage force main.)

(c) City of Morro Bay — Permit to dredge approximately 60,000 cubic yards of material and deposit same on lands granted by State to County of San Luis Obispo. Operation will be beneficial to general navigation.

(d) County of Sacramento — 49-year easement, 1.47 acres tide and submerged lands, Snodgrass Slough, Sacramento County, for existing Twin Cities Road Bridge.

(e) U. S. Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research — One-year extension of Permit P.R.C. 3087.9, for conduct of experiments involving detonation of explosives under water in Mono Lake, Mono County. (In the interest of
national defense.

(f) City of Seal Beach -- Approval of sublease and sublease amendment and modification, under Lease P.R.C. 23489, Orange County, between City of Seal Beach and Seal Beach Sportfishing, Inc.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second.

MR. SIEROTY: Mr. Chairman, on the question of Seal Beach, I know that Mr. Hortig has been working with the representatives of Seal Beach to clarify that lease. Is there anything we can point out as to the preservation of the right of the public to go on the pier without any charge and use the fishing facilities without any charge?

MR. CAIRNS: My name is James Cairns. I am the City Attorney for the City of Seal Beach. Actually, paragraph 7, the paragraph to which you have referred, which reads, "The lessee shall keep the entire pier open for the use of the general public at all reasonable times," was intended primarily to give the right to the sublessee to close it down for brief periods of time in the event of storms or when work had to be done for the protection of the public.

They had no intention to make a charge for access for the right to fish. I think under the terms of the original permit such charges would be prohibited, and the sublessee takes, of course, subject to the terms of the original permit. I think by law it is covered by the terms of the original
permit.

If the Commission should desire a change -- we just heard of this possible problem this morning and we have been sort of kicking around a lot of language -- we might add: "There will be no charge to the public for access to the pier including the right to fish therefrom."

MR. SIEROTY: I would like to see that.

MR. CHAMPION: Could we approve this, with the addition by Mr. Hortig of the language to carry out the intent?

MR. CRANSTON: I so move.

MR. CHAMPION: I'll second that and that will be added to the original motion. Is there any further question? (No response) Stand approved.

Permits, easements, leases and rights-of-way issued pursuant to statutes and established rental policies of the Commission:

(a) Associated Dredging Company -- Amendment of Lease P.R.C. 3123.1, tide and submerged lands, Petaluma River, Sonoma County, by revision of present legal description. Total area remains virtually the same, i.e., 9.388 acres instead of original 9.385 acres.

(b) Associated Dredging Company -- Assignment of Lease P.R.C. 3123.1, tide and submerged lands, Petaluma River, Sonoma County, to Edward Halperin.

(c) John R. Farrow -- Five-year grazing lease, 640 acres school land, San Diego County. Annual rental $25.60.
(d) Max Hoff -- Five-year minor structure permit, 0.018 acre State-owned land in bed of Lake Tahoe, Placer County, for construction of private non-commercial rock-cribbed pier. Total rental $25.00.

(e) Edward Kleim -- Termination of Mineral Extraction Lease P.R.C. 1444.1 in bed of Tuolumne River, Stanislaus County, and authorization for acceptance of quitclaim, in accordance with Section 17 of the lease.

(f) Lee R. Milier -- Extension through December 31, 1965, of Dredging Permit P.R.C. 2716.1, Corte Madera Creek, Marin County. Permittee has been unable to employ a dredge.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. HORTIG: There are more.

MR. CHAMPION: I'll second and we will pick up the remaining items in this category. Those will stand approved.

(g) Peter R. and Margaret Mirande -- Assignment of Lease P.R.C. 2220.1, submerged land of Sacramento River, Colusa County, to Darrell E. and Lenna V. Grimes.

(h) Joseph Morris -- Assignment of Lease P.R.C. 391.1, tide and submerged lands, Santa Monica Bay, Los Angeles County, to Paradise Cove Land Company.

(i) Occidental Petroleum Company -- Termination of Lease P.R.C. 3067.1, subsurface easement, tide and submerged land in New York Slough, Contra Costa County, pursuant to Section 19 of the lease. Lessee has found operation unfeasible.

(j) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- 15-year lease,
0.41 acre tide and submerged lands of Miner Slough, Solano County, for overhead wire crossing; annual rental $13,57.

(k) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- Ten-year renewal of Lease P.R.C. 363.2, 6.68 acres State school land, Shasta and Trinity counties, for overhead powerline; total rental $882.

(l) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- 49-year easement, 0.123 acre sovereign land in bed of Sacramento River, Sutter and Yolo counties (for 12 K.V. overhead power transmission line); total rental $285.18.

(m) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- Ten-year renewal of Lease P.R.C. 459.1, 1.0528 acres submerged lands, San Joaquin River, Madera and Fresno counties; annual rental $33.08.

(n) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- Ten-year renewal of Lease P.R.C. 461.1, 1.38 acres submerged lands, Sacramento River, Sacramento and Yolo counties; annual rental $63.00.

(o) Richfield Oil Corporation -- Deferment of drilling requirements, Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 1466.1, Ventura County, through June 30, 1965, to permit institution of secondary recovery program to assure maximum recovery of oil.

(p) Richfield Oil Corporation -- Deferment of drilling requirements, Oil and Gas Leases P.R.C. 308.1 and P.R.C. 309.1, Santa Barbara County, through May 17, 1965 -- because of weather problems and unavailability of pulling vessels.
(q) Sierra Talc Company -- Assignment of Mineral Extraction Lease P.R.C. 11.2, Inyo County, to Cyprus Mines Corporation.

(r) Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Inc. -- Amendment of Easement P.R.C. 1902.1, tide and submerged lands of Walnut Creek, Contra Costa County, to delete restrictive wording and permit construction and maintenance of additional 8-inch pipeline.

(s) Lindsey H. Spight d.b.a. Diablo Communications Center -- Sublease to W. Rettick, d.b.a. Moradco, of portion of Lease P.R.C. 2364.2, for maintenance and operation of a base station transmitting on 461.10 megacycles and receiving on 468.05 megacycles.

(t) Valley Cities Investment Corporation and Albert Berger -- 15-year lease, 0.478 acre tide and submerged lands in Santa Barbara Channel, for construction of public pier for swimming and fishing; annual rental $238.32.

What is the pleasure of the board?

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Any further question? (No response) Stand approved.

City of Long Beach -- Approvals required pursuant to Chapter 29, 1956, First Extraordinary Session, and Chapter 138, 1964, First Extraordinary Session.

Are these the first approvals under the new law?

MR. HORTIG: No, sir. There were some composite
approvals at the last meeting.

MR. CHAMPION: Piers "A" and "B" -- Subsidence cost determination as result of final audit, with credit due State of $460.18 for AFE No. 335; credit due State of $2,457.49 for AFE No. 370; credit due City of $312.44 for AFE No. 405; and no adjustment necessary for AFE No. 457; and authorization for appropriate written instruments.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.


Land Sales and Exchanges: All items here presented have been reviewed by all State agencies having a land acquisition program and, unless otherwise indicated, no interest has been reported by those agencies in the lands proposed for sale or exchange.

(a) Approve sale to County of Trinity of 31.23 acres land in Trinity County, obtained under exchange transaction with U. S. Bureau of Land Management, at appraised price of $20,551.79.

(b) Approve cancellation of application of Elizabeth Wilson Dugan and Thomas Buchanan Dugan to purchase 347.40 acres federal land in Imperial County, for failure to meet deposit requirements; and approve selection of said land on behalf of the State.

(c) Approve selection of 65 acres federal land, San Bernardino County, and authorize sale of said land to Pacific
Gas and Electric Company at appraised price of $6500.00.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Is there any question? (No response) Stand approved.

Oil and Gas Leases: Authorize Executive Officer to offer for oil and gas lease 4,290 acres tide and submerged lands, Santa Barbara County, designated as W.O. 5424 (Parcel 24).

MR. CRANSTON: Move approval.


Proposed annexations: (a) Authorize Executive Officer to notify City Council of City of San Buenaventura: (1) that present value of tide and submerged lands to be annexed under proposed Pierpont Bay Addition No. 2 Annexation is $2,048,360; and (2) that map and legal description of the boundaries of territory to be annexed comply with provisions of Government Code Section 35014.

(b) Authorize Executive Officer to notify City Council of City of Huntington Beach: (1) that present value of tide and submerged lands to be annexed under proposed Bolsa Chica State Park Annexation is $5,550,000; and (2) that map and legal description of boundaries of territory sought to be annexed comply with provisions of Government Code Section 35014.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

Legal actions: (a) Authorize Executive Officer execute stipulation in Pacific Gas and Electric Company v. County of San Mateo, et al., San Mateo County Superior Court No. 805v3, extending the interim occupancy of certain State lands by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to December 31, 1956.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Any questions? (No response)

Stands approved.

Report on status of major litigation.

MR. HORTIG: This does not require any action by the Commission. It is submitted here for the information of the Commission.

MR. CHAMPION: Is there anything special?

MR. HORTIG: Only that the oral arguments in the largest case pending on behalf of the State Lands Commission, being the controversy with the United States of America over tide and submerged lands beyond three miles from the mainland and three miles landward of the offlying islands, was presented last week by Special Assistant Attorney General and Deputies Attorney General to the United States Supreme Court; and the case is now under consideration of the United States Supreme Court. There is no accurate prediction as to when the Court will rule.

MR. CHAMPION: Is there any further filing of briefs or is the whole matter under submission?

MR. HORTIG: The matter is now under submission and
subject to decision by the Court.

MR. CRANSTON: When would the decision be made?

MR. HORTIG: Before the end of the October term this year -- which means by June 1965.

MR. CRANSTON: Is there no argument at the moment as to where the boundary should be or where we consider it should be?

MR. HORTIG: No; the argument is how to draw the boundary, and also where the baseline is to draw the boundary -- whether three miles from the mainland or three miles from the furthermost rocks and reefs.

MR. CHAMPION: This will decide the issue finally?

MR. HORTIG: Hopefully. There is one alternative by the Court, and this was suggested by the United States Attorney General; and that is, that any decree by the Court might require the appointment of a Special Master. This would take a considerable amount of time to follow the judgment of the Court before the administrative agencies would know what the answer is as a practical matter.

MR. CRANSTON: Your comment in the agenda is, first, whether the old case will be determined moot or is to be re-activated,

MR. HORTIG: This is one of the contentions.

MR. CRANSTON: We are arguing that as well?

MR. HORTIG: That is correct.

MR. CHAMPION: Boundary Agreement: (a) Authorize
Executive Officer to execute agreement with Nick and Ruth Katsaris fixing the Ordinary Low Water Mark as the common boundary along a tidal waterway in the vicinity of Surfside, Orange County, described in boundary agreement W.O. 5143, as the permane boundary between State submerged land and subject private lands along the tidal waterway.

MR. CRANSTON: Move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Any question? (No response)

Stands approved.

Administration:

Approve proposed resolution of Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners of City of Los Angeles to lease, for purpose of drilling for, producing, extracting, and removing oil, gas, asphaltum, and other hydrocarbon substances from those certain tide and submerged lands lying in Santa Monica Bay, Los Angeles County, granted to the City of Los Angeles by Legislative Grant described in Chapter 77, pages 88-90, Statutes of 1917, as amended by Chapter 1513/1945, and more particularly described as Exhibit A, Parcel 2, of the resolution submitted.

Authorize Executive Officer to conduct public hearing during January 1965 in San Francisco Bay Area concerning proposed exchange between State and Leslie Salt Co.

Authorize Executive Officer to execute an interagency agreement with the Colorado River Boundary Commission, on behalf of State Lands Commission as contractor, providing for
rendering of engineering, administrative, and other services to the Boundary Commission for the 1964-65 fiscal year, at Commission's actual costs, not to exceed $7,000.

Approve proposed budget for 1965-66 fiscal year, in total amount of $1,100,067 (exclusive of additional costs for operations under Chapter 138/64, First Extraordinary Session); approve establishment of ten additional positions; approve proposed budget for tidelands litigation, U.S. v. California, for 1965-66 fiscal year, in total amount of $314,028.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. SIEROTY: May I speak on the first item -- the City of Los Angeles?

MR. CHAMPION: The second, third and fourth items stand approved as submitted. There is no action on Number (1).

I might point out that while I am approving the budget because we need two votes, this as usual does not commit me to any further action.

Item (1) you want to speak on, Alan. Two, three and four stand approved as submitted.

MR. SIEROTY: Yes, I wanted to spend a little bit of time on this particular item because I think it is necessary to distinguish this from the prior application. Art Spaulding, the Petroleum Administrator, is here and he may want to speak on this item and the application of the City. I gave him a copy of a letter that was received by the Lieutenant Governor this morning, a copy of which was sent to Mayor
Yorty. Maybe the best way to start this off is to read the letter and Art can reply to the letter, as well as other things he wants to bring to the attention of the Commission. The letter is:

"Dear Lieutenant Governor Anderson:

It is our understanding that the State Lands Commission will meet on December 17 to discuss the tidelands oil drilling on and off the Venice beaches.

At a meeting of the executive committee of the Marina Area Chamber of Commerce today, a motion was passed reiterating our stand on this matter, as follows:

To protect our beautiful beaches which are enjoyed by millions of people every summer, we strongly urge that no oil drilling in the State controlled area be permitted without stringent guarantees.

All pumps should be submerged and invisible from shore after the original drilling period of one year.

All land installations should be underground, silent, odorless, and landscaped.

We would appreciate being informed of the outcome of the meeting.

Sincerely yours,

William A. Tickle
President
Marina Area Chamber of Commerce"

Before Art responds, I think he knows and certainly those who have followed the Commission's action recently know the action of the State Lands Commission in preserving the esthetic and recreational attributes of our State; and I'd like to point out that the Attorney General's opinion, I think,
is very fine in this respect, in that the Attorney General's opinion goes into these considerations which we have been interested in and I compliment the Attorney General for going beyond what perhaps would be strictly necessary to bring these matters of esthetics to our attention.

Also, I'd like to point out that the City of Los Angeles has done a great deal in this respect, in terms of maintaining esthetic considerations and I think our consideration of this matter is not in any way to pick on Los Angeles but almost the opposite. I think Art can point out some of the things that are being done in Los Angeles, which we might want to encourage other cities and counties to do.

MR. CHAMPION: In other words, I gather while this question is being raised, there is no dissatisfaction on the part of any members of the Commission about the proposal.

MR. SIEROTY: That is correct.

MR. HORTIG: Might I interpose at this point and to follow up Mr. Sieroty's statement, the staff received one letter from a property owner with respect to the matter under consideration here today, with a request it be read into the record. It is from Mr. Kurt Simon of 1825 Westbridge Road, Los Angeles, California:

"From what I understand, the State Lands Commission plans to meet December 17th to discuss the tideland drilling on and off the Venice beaches. I am a member of the Oil Committee and Venice Planning Committee, and quite familiar with the many facets of the matter. Besides, my partner and I own more Venice ocean frontage than anyone."
"There are supposedly great oil deposits in that area. Their recovery might benefit our community but only if it is not done at the expense of our scenic beauty.

To protect our beautiful beaches, which millions of people enjoy every summer, may I suggest that no oil drilling be permitted in the State-controlled area without stringent guarantees. All pumps should be submerged and invisible from shore after the original drilling period of one year. This has been successfully done in Santa Barbara by Shell Oil and in the Gulf of Mexico by other firms.

All land installations should be underground, silent, odorless, and landscaped.

The additional cost of such hidden pumps is small compared to the value gained by preserving the beauty of our shores.

Please inform the members of the committee of my letter and let me know the outcome of the meeting."

MR. HORTIG: (continuing) Now, on behalf of the staff, I wish to point out to the Commission for the record that the procedures recommended to the Commission for approval fully meet the guarantees and tests which Mr. Simon proposed. There is no proposal to place any equipment offshore, and all land installations are proposed to be landscaped, underground silent, odorless, et cetera; and, therefore, for the record I feel it would be very desirable for the Commission to have on the record Mr. Spaulding's verification and comments with respect to the proposed method of development.

MR. CHAMPION: Mr. Spaulding, the record is getting pretty replete with reassurances, but if you would like to add anything...
MR. SPAULDING: Yes. It would appear from the tone of the letter there is some confusion as to what is proposed by the City in Santa Monica Bay, judging from the word "submerged." We have no intention of placing any installations offshore. All drilling would take place on the upland area, probably one of the parking lots which the Department of Recreation manages along the ocean walk.

MR. CHAMPION: I think everybody has discussed esthetics.

MR. SPAULDING: With the Commission's indulgence I would like to show some of the pictures which we have of our other urbanized drillsites within the City of Los Angeles, which I think bear out the remarks made by Mr. Hortig that we do indeed provide the guarantees Mr. Simon and others are interested in.

Here, for example, are photographs taken of a drillsite operated by the Union Oil Company at the corner of Pico Boulevard and San Vicente Boulevard. This is what the appearance is from the outside. Here are wells, which are recessed in subterranean chambers, with a walkway on top. Here is a photograph of the internal compound area. Here, again, is a photographic view of the same complex maintained by Union at this site. Perhaps there are some repetitious photographs, but I do wish to impress you with the measures the City of Los Angeles does take in establishing urbanized drillsites, the tankage which the zoning administrator authorizes.
We have a number of other photographs which perhaps we might produce at your pleasure.

MR. CHAMPION: Thank you very much. I am fully satisfied and I think the other Commissioners are. As a matter of fact, we would like to compliment the City of Los Angeles for its work in this regard.

MR. CRANSTON: Absolutely, and I move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Is there any further question? (No response) Stands approved.

MR. SIEROTY: Mr. Chairman, on a related subject -- it is not on the agenda, but I think it is important and I'd like to raise it at this point -- I had the opportunity to visit one of the submerged sites with Mr. Spaulding yesterday and in our conversation he brought out something which I think the Commission will be interested in.

The City of Los Angeles has an ordinance which requires oil producers to remove derricks, I think it is thirty days after the completion of a well, and in the City of Los Angeles 99.9 per cent of all the derricks which are no longer being used for drilling have been removed.

MR. SPAULDING: That is correct. I think there are two derricks and I think we have a law suit to remove those.

MR. SIEROTY: That is not true in the County of Los Angeles and other cities which have not taken the time, or there hasn't been any pressure of some public-spirited citizen to promote this. If you drive along LaBrea, you will find many derricks which I am sure are no longer being used.
that particular well on which it sits, and I think the State Lands Commission might want to explore what we could do to encourage cities and counties to adopt an ordinance like this.

I don't think we have any direct power to do anything, but I think if we could bring to cities and counties the ordinance which Los Angeles has first, I think someone might pick it up and it might be adopted in other areas.

MR. CHAMPION: Are the other areas on State leases?

MR. SIEROTY: No.

MR. CHAMPION: I would think it would be more appropriate for a legislative body to do this. We have a very limited jurisdiction in expressing ourselves on properties we have nothing to do with.

MR. SIEROTY: I don't think we have to -- we are not taking any jurisdiction, but I think we could bring it to the attention of other jurisdictions as to what this particular ordinance provides, and perhaps other jurisdictions would follow.

MR. SPAULDING: May I counterpoint Mr. Sieroty's remarks with a statement of my own in connection with the ordinance to which he refers? We in the City of Los Angeles believe we have a unique arrangement by which mineral resources, notably oil and gas, may be recovered, not only for the benefit of the oil and gas industry but also the property owners within the City. I think there is no other city that has a similar code arrangement to the City of Los Angeles, and it has worked
with amazing effectiveness to date, I think, with very few
complaints coming now -- whereas originally there were a great
number of complaints at the outset. We have virtually no com-
plaints to speak of at this time.

MR. CHAMPION: Thank you very much, Mr. Spaulding.
I don't know whether any action is called for. Certainly, I
think the Lands Commission agrees if there are any derricks
not being used, if somebody would take them down we would be
delighted to see it done; but I don't know that there is any
formal action of the Commission in its proper official function
to do anything about it.

MR. CRANSTON: Alan, do you have any ideas how we
could do it? I certainly think it would be nice to stimulate
this, but we don't have the jurisdiction.

MR. SIEROTY: I think a letter with a copy of the
ordinance to cities and counties might bring this to their
attention.

MR. SPAULDING: May I suggest the League of California
Cities as the vehicle for such a communication?

MR. CHAMPION: We better include the County Super-
visors' Associations, Mr. Sieroty.

MR. CRANSTON: I suggest we have a letter prepared
by the staff and submitted for formal action.

MR. CHAMPION: Can you do that in your present
budget, Frank?

MR. HORTIG: If the vehicle for distribution should
be through the League of California Cities and the County Supervisors' Association for further distribution, this would only take two letters and we could do it within our present budget.

MR. CHAMPION: Any further question on this matter? (No response)

Item 12 -- Authorize Executive Officer to submit proposed legislation for consideration during the 1965 Session of the Legislature to provide for the addition and/or amendment of Sections 6303.1, 6461, and 7361 of the Public Resources Code, with the form of legislation to be drafted by the Office of the Legislative Counsel.

Mr. Hortig, do you want to speak to that subject?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. The three proposed amendments and additions to the Public Resources Code, which are in sequence on pages 53 to 56 of your agenda, relate to actions which it is felt are desirable from the standpoint of clarifying the administrative authority of the Commission to proceed under the circumstances outlined in the proposed bills.

The proposed addition to Section 6303.1 is recommended because the present law....

MR. CHAMPION: Mr. Hortig, let me interrupt in the interest of time. Have the members of the Commission had a chance to look these over? They are very technical in substance and I don't think require policy consideration.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.
MR. CHAMPION: Stand approved. Approve schedule of meetings of the State Lands Commission for the 1965 calendar year. Did we meet on any of the scheduled dates last year?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir -- better than fifty per cent.

MR. CHAMPION: Well, I guess it is worth doing, then. I have had no chance to look it over.

MR. CRANSTON: I'd like to change Thursday, June 24th. I'd like to move it to Monday, June 21st in order to get it closer to the end of the Legislature.

MR. SIEROTY: Do you know whether our office has O.K.'d this?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. These were cleared with the secretaries and the calendars of each of the Commissioners.

MR. CHAMPION: With that amendment, as moved by Mr. Cranston, ....

MR. SIEROTY: With some possible reservation. I can't speak on the change to June 21st.

MR. CHAMPION: All right. We can take care of that. Any further question? (No response) Stand approved.

Confirm transactions consummated by the Executive Officer pursuant to authority confirmed by the Commission at its meeting on October 5, 1959. Is there anything special on that?

MR. HORTIG: There were no special items. They were all extensions of previously authorized geological permits.
MR. CHAMPION: What is the pleasure of the Commission?

MR. CRANSTON: Second. Any question? (No response)

Stand approved.

Reconfirm date, time and place of January meeting of the Commission - Thursday, January 28, 1965, at 10 a.m., in Sacramento.

MR. CRANSTON: Move approval.

MR. CHAMPION: Second. Any further question? (No response) Stands approved.

Supplemental Calendar Item -- City of Long Beach:

Determine that proposed expenditure by City of Long Beach, dated October 21, 1964, of approximately $229,845 for construction of a Sea Scout Base at the Long Beach Marina, is in accordance with provisions of Chapter 138/64, First Extraordinary Session.

I understand there have been some discussions with the City Attorney about minor matters in the lease and that we are in agreement; and subject to that agreement we are in a position to proceed. Is that correct?

MR. LINGLE: Yes, sir, that is correct. I believe we can work out a readjustment of the terms of the lease.

MR. CHAMPION: Since Mr. Sieroty has been working with you and Mr. Hortig on this, perhaps we can leave it with the approval of the Commission subject to the working out of that language with the Executive Officer.

MR. LINGLE: Thank you.

MR. CRANSTON: Move approval.
MR. CHAMPION: Second. If there is no further question, stands approved. If there is no further business, the meeting stands adjourned.

ADJOURNED 10:55 A.M.
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