MlivuTE TEiN inis Galendar item No. $\frac{37}{}$ was approved as finute lands No. by the state Lands
on by a vote of comp at its $\xrightarrow{2}$ meeting. at its -
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REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM COMPETITIUE BID PROCEDURES FGR EXEMPTION FNO GAS LEASE ON COUNTY PROPERTY
FOR A SUBSURFACE OIL AND

AREA AND TYPE LAND: 41 acre parcel of county land in W . $1 / 2$, MDA, section 9, Township
contra costa County
BACKGROUND INFORNATIUN: requested contra Costa lease for county byron. sutsurface oil and Eden Plains Roadion to lease lands underlying and has an optione parcel of Maxus has leased and the 2,41 county has requested acreage surre contra costatitive bidding county land. Crom competitivate a lease uith to be exempted order to negotiate the this parcel procedures in has offered to contra costa Maxus. Maxus has ofreed to pay cont hydrocarbons of land and hasy of $1 / 6$ th far $\$ .00$ per acre per county a from this lease. \$ time processing produced from a $\$ 250.00$ on the proposed terms fee. Staff believes thate.
fee. fair and reasonable.
STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES: 7052 states ir part:
P.R.C. Section 7052
"The state Lands commission may in its discretion exempt particular leases or

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. 3 ?

 (CONT'D)operating agreements from the procedure required by this section and Section 7053" (competitive bidding procedures) -... "where by reason of the small size of the property or drainage, actual or imminent, such procedure would in the judgement of the State Lands Commission in the particular cause be impractical".

Staff has reviewed the application of Contra Costa County for a subsurface negotiated oil and gas lease with Maxus and has determined that it would be impractical for Contia Costa County to follow the competitive public bidding procedures as outlined in Section 7052 and 7053 of the California P.R.C. Because the parcel is small in size, the county proposes to develop the surface for other uses so there is no drillsite and the potential for drainage from adjacent lands under lease to Maxus appears

AB 884:
$N / A$.

## EXHIBITS:

A.' Location Map.
B. Legal Description

## OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

1. Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority, staff has determined that this activity is exempt from the requirement of CEQA because the activity is not a
"project" as defined by CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines.

Authority: P.R.C. 21065 and 14 Cal. Adm. Code 15378.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. FIND THAT THE ACTIUITY IS EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CEQfi PURSUANT TO 14 CAL. ADM. CODE 15061 BECAUSE IT IS NOT A PROJECT AS DEFINED BY P.R.C. 21065 AND 14 CAL. ADM.
CODE 15378 .

. APPROUE THE REQUEST OF THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM THE FORMAL BIDDING REQUIREMENTS AS OUTLINED IN P.R.C. SECTIONS 7052 AND 7053, FOR A SUBSURFACECATED AND UAS LEASE IN THE COUNTY-OWNED 2.41 ACRE ${ }^{\circ} 9$ TOWNSHIP 1 UNDER EDEN PLATNS ROADS; W. CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. NORTH., PANGF a EAST. MDM, CONTRA


## EXHIGIT "B"

"Parcel description furnished by Contra Costa County"
Attached to and made a part of that certain Oil, Gas and Mineral Lease, dated $\qquad$ Oil, Gas and Mneral 1937, between the COUNTY OF CONTHA COSTA, a political subdivision of the State of California, Lessor, and MAXUS
EXPLOLAT ION COSIPANY, Lessee.
TOWNSHIP 1 HORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, M.D. 3. EM. Section $9:$ A portion of the $1 / 2$ described as follows:

PEGINHING at the corner common to Sections $8,9,16$ and 17 , Township 1 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B. \&Ni., thence Norith along Township North, Rangeen Sections 8 and 9 a distance of the dividing line point on the Norri line of the County Road $5,259.8$ feet to a point sections 8 and 9 , thence East along along the North North line of Section line of Section 9 , $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ dis ance 16 , thence parallel to the West line between Sections the point of feet to the dividing a distance of 20 feet to the point of beginning.
Containing an area of 2.41 acres of land, more or les $s$.

