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authorization for boundary line hgreemient

APPLICANT:
Charles A. Orwick Route 3, Box 3570 Red Eluff, California 9.6080

## BACKGROUND:

In August 1979, the Tehama County Superior Court quieted the State's title to a 52-acre parcel of land, located along the east bank of the Sacramento River, approximately ten miles north of Red Bluff. This parcel, which had been used by the public for years as a fishing and camping site, was clained by Charles Orwick, owner of adjacent lands to the east, on tiie theory that it had accreted from the Sacramento River and attached to its east bark. The court agreed with the state's position that the parcel in question formed as an island within the Sacramento River and consequently belongs to the State pursuant to Section 10i6 of the Civil code. The court also held that the public has an easement across Mr. Orwick's lands based on implied dedication to this parcel from an adjacent public highway.
Foilowing the entry of judgment it was discovered that the description of the boundary line between the lands of Charles. A. Orwick and the state-owried, parcel contained some inaccuracies and did not conform to the court's holding. on June 21, 1984, the Commission authorized its staff to correct the errors in the description of the boundary line and prepare and file a record of survey map with the Tehama County Recorder. The revised map was recorded on August 13, 1984.
Since then. Mr. Orwick has agreed to enter into a boundary line agreement with the Commission, accepting the Comisission's recard of survey as the boundary between the pespecisive intarests.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 37 CCONT'DS

AB 884: $\quad N / A$.

## OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. Pursuant to the Commis'sion's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Adm. Code 15061), the staff has determined that this activity is exempt from the requiremersts of the CEQA as a statutory exempt project. The project is exempt bëcause it involves settlements of title and boundary problems.

Authority: P.R.C. 21080.11.
EXHIBIT: A. BLA Descriptior.
B. Location Map.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. FIND THAT THE ACTIUITY IS EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CEQA PURSUANT TO 14 CAL. ADM. CODE 15061 AS A STATUTORY EXEMPT PROJECT PURSUANT TO P.R.C. 21080.11, SETTLEMÉNT OF TITLE ARD BOUNDARY PROBLEMS.
2. APPROUE THE BOUHDAPY LINE AGREERENT AS RECOMMÉNDED BY THE STAFF AND OFFICE OF THE GTTORNEY GENERAL WHICH IS DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" AND IS ON FILE IN THE OFFICES OF THE STATE LAÑDS COMMISSION.
3. AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTIUE OFFICER OR HÉR DESIGNEE AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO EXECUTE THE BOUNDARY LINE AGREEMENT ON behalf of the state.

Locared in projected sactions 22. 23. 26 and 27. T29N. R3W. MDA. Tehena County. California. more particulariy described as EOL10ws:

COMEACIMG at the most southerly point of that 41.8 acre $\pm$ párcel of lans shown on that Record of survey for A. J. Heimer dated December. 1965, and filed in Book "O" of Fiaps at Page 155. Tehama Councy from whica the nortit $2 / 4$ corner of Section 26. T29N. स3W. MDS bears the following four (4) courses:

1. N $69^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ E. 1022.00 feet:
2. \& 37000 E. 539.97 feet:
3. N $58^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ E. 299.57 feet to a nail \& shiner set in road for North Heander Corner Section 26:
4. S $88043^{\prime}$ E. 2141.9 feet ( 2145.00 feet U.S.G.L.O. record): thence from said point along the westerly line of said 41.8 acre parcel the following seven (7) courses:
5. N $27000^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .908 .00$ feet:
6. N 060 00' E. 498.00 feet:
7. N 070 20' E. 366.00 feat:
8. N 460 40' E. 8004.00 feet:
6.-W 40000 ' E. 282.00 feet:
9. N $80^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ E. 160.00 feet to the most northerily point of said $41 . \mathrm{e}$ acre parcel: thence leäjing said parcel. $582^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E} .174 .76$ feet to the ROIN' OF beGIMAIMG of the herein describsd lins: thence southwesteriy along the centerinne of the old channel of the Sacramento River the following twenty-seven (27) courses:

| 2. | 5 | 340 | $08{ }^{\prime}$ | $58^{\prime \prime}$ | W. | 62.74 | et |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | S | 680 | 32' | 44" | W. | 70.44 | et: |
| 3 | S | 370 | 20' | 41" | W. | 34.71 | et: |
| 4 | 5 | 270 | $19^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime \prime}$ | W. | 93.52 | et: |
| 5. | 5 | çó | 02' | 45 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | H. | 182.30 | feet: |
| 6 | 5 | 160 | 04' | $08^{\prime \prime}$ | W. | 226.16 | feet: |
| 7. | S | 080 | 12' | 52" | E. | 113.22 | feek: |
| 8. | S | 130 | 22' | $36^{\prime \prime}$ | W. | 97.62 | fert: |
| 9. | S | 3300 | 17' | O8" | \%. | 84.50 | 近: |
| 10. | 3 | 170 | $35^{\prime}$ | 11" | E. | 145.14 | eti |
| 11. | S | $02^{\circ}$ | $53^{\prime}$ | 10" | E. | 119.72 | t: |
| 12. | 5 | 230 | 18. | 30" | H. | 136.64 | feet: |
| 13. | S | 210 | $40^{\prime}$ | 04* | H. | 89.46 | Ee |
| 14. | S | 060 | $26^{\circ}$ | $29 *$ | W. | 246.52 | feet: |
| 15. | S | 290 | $02^{\prime}$ | 50" | H. | 123.76 | feet: |
| 16. | 5 | 300 | $35^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime \prime}$ | H. | 182,.96 | ceet |



