MINUTE ITEM This Calendar Item No. 28 was approved as Minute Item No. 25 by the State Lands Commission by a vote of to ____ at its _//30/86 meeting:

CALENDAR ITEM **28**

58

29

11/20/86 W 10408 Naughton

PROPOSED EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUE FOR A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH

APPLICANT:

City of Long Beach

333 West Ocean Boulevard

Long Beach, California 90802

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT:

Purchase and install artificial seaweed offshore from the City's East Beach between 58th Place and 62nd Place, tos Angeles County.

PROJECT PURPOSE:

Beach shoreline erosion control. The artificial seaweed is to be installed offshore in about eight feet deep water parallel to the shoreline for about 600 to 800 feet. The material is designed to act like a snow fence to entrap waterborne sand particles that would otherwise wash away by tidal action.

PROJECT COST:

City's Estimate: \$107,162. Staff Review: Reasonable.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The City will fund the total project costs from its share of tideland oil revenues.

COMPLIANCE WITH CEQA (1970) AS AMENDED:

The City determined that the project is exempt from the requirements of CEQA (1970) as a Categorical Exemption, Class 1(e) (demolition and removal of building and related facilities).

(ADDED 11/12/86)

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

7 ฮ

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 28 (CONT'D)

 Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Adm. Code 15061) the staff recommends Commission concurrence with the City's CEQA determination.

Authority: P.R.C. 21065 and 14 Cal. Adm. Code 15378.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

Chapter 138/'64 lst E.S., Section 6(d) and 6(f).

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- Artificial seaweed was installed along this beach between 60th Place and 72nd Place as an erosion control demonstration project in May 1983. Though the City has asserted that the project was successful, the staff considers the results inconclusive. It is acknowledged that the shoreline along which the seaweed was installed has since maintained a greater measure of stability than in prior years. However, it can reasonably be contended that unusually benign weather conditions throughout this period, marked by the absence of severe southerly storms which traditionally erode this section of beach, have been a major contributor to this stability.
- 2. As with the 1983 installation, the staff would consider the proposed artificial seaweed placement between 58th Place and 62nd Place as a further demonstration into the effectiveness of this beach erosion control technique. As such, the City is requested to provide the Commission with details of and results obtained from any monitoring program conducted in conjunction with this installation.
- 3. The City's notification of intent to spend in excess of \$50,000 is in conformance with the requirements of Chapter 138/'64 1st E.S., Section 6(h). As support documentation, the City furnished an

(ADDED 11/12/86)

CALENDAR PAGE 79.1
MINUTE PAGE 3841

28 CALENDAR ITEM NO. (CONT'D)

adequate, detailed description of the proposed work in the form of construction plans and specifications and a copy of the project's Notice of Categorical Exemption

- The Commission may either:
 - Determine that the project is authorized by Chapter 138, Section: 6; or
 - 2. Determine that the project is not authorized by Chapter 138; or
 - Take no action within 60 days after receipt of the City's letter of clarification (by December 10, 1986) in which case the City may then proceed to spend its tideland oil revenues for the project.
- Action 1 is recommended because Section 6(d) provides for "The construction, reconstruction, repair, operation and maintenance of ... beaches ... on or adjacent to the Long Beach tidelands ...". Section 6(f) provides for "... the rendition of services reasonably necessary to the carrying out of the foregoing uses and purpose", in this case th engineering costs of the project.
- The staff also recommends that the Commission's finding include a requirement that the City file a statement of final project costs with the Commission within 180 days after completion of work, to ensure timely auditing of accounts.

AB 884:

N/A.

EXHIBIT:

A. Vicinity Map.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

CONCUR WITH THE CITY'S DESERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF CEQA.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 28 (CONT'D)

2. FIND THAT THE PROPOSED EXPENDITURE OF AN ESTIMATED \$107,162 BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FROM ITS SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES FOR PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF ARTIFICIAL SEAWEED BETWEEN 58TH PLACE AND 62ND PLACE IS IN ACCORD WITH CHAPTER 138/'64 1ST E.S. SECTIONS 6(d) AND 6(f), SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS THAT THE PROJECT CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO BACKGROUND INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED AND THAT THE CITY FILE A STATEMENT OF FINAL PROJECT COSTS WITH THE COMMISSION WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF THE WORK.

(ADDED 11/12/86)

4

