MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. 31
was approved as Minute Item
No. 31 by the State Lands
Comission by a vote of 3
to at its 224/77
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

31.

2/77 W 10296 WMT

PROPOSED EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUE FOR SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK CITY OF LONG BEACH

SUBSIDENCE REME. AL PROJECT:

Replace Fire sprinkler system at Pier A, Berths 5-6, Back Area.

SUBSIDENCE ELEMENTS:

- A. City's Analysis: As a result of subsidence, the existing underground fire sprinkler supply system is completely immersed in ground water. Valves have corroded to the point where they are inoperable. The system is under stress due to horizontal movement of land surface and is susceptible to failures. Therefore, the replacement of the existing system is subsidence remedial work.
- B. Staff Analysis: The staff agrees with the City's analysis.

COST OF THE PROJECT:

- A. City's Estimate: Revised project cost will be \$128,000. Amount of this request: \$108,000 (2nd Phase); subsidence portion: \$104,328 (96.6%).
- B. Staff Estimate: Revised project cost will be \$128,000. First and second phase subsidence cost is the actual replacement cost less the depreciation credit in the fixed amount of \$10,044.

FISCAL IMPACT:

100% of the Subsidence cost will be borne by the State as an incremental cost because the City will receive the maximum payment pursuant to the provisions of Ch 138/64, 1st E.S.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

- A. City's Reference: Chapter 138/64, 1st E.S., Sec. 1(e).
- B. Staff Determination: Agreement.

A 52, 57, 58

s 27, 31

CALENDAR ITEM NO.31. (CONTD)

COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT OF 1970, AS AMENDED: The City of Long Beach Planning Commission made a determination that this project, to replace sprinkler system at Pier A, Berth 5 Back Area, is categorically exempt from the requirement for preparation of an environmental impact report. Exemption is based on Class 1(b).

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

Details of the project are set forth in a letter dated January 12, 1977 from the Port of Long Beach supplying supportive material and making request for prior approval of the Commission. The Commission, on October 3, 1974, approved \$20,000 for 1st phase work with the subsidence costs estimated at 89.498% of the project cost.

EXHIBITS: A. Vicinity Sketch. B. Detail Sketch.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. DETERMINE THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS ACTIVITY AS SUCH REPORT IS NOT REQUIRED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 14 CAL. ADM. CODE 15100 ET SEQ., AND PRC 21085.
- 2. DETERMINE THE FIRST AND SECOND PHASE SUBSIDENCE COST FOR THIS PROJECT TO BE THE ACTUAL REPLACEMENT COST FOR THE EXISTING SYSTEM TO BE ABANDONED LESS THE DEPRECIATION CREDIT IN THE FIXED AMOUNT OF \$10,044. THIS DETERMINATION IS APPLICABLE FOR THE PERIOD FROM THE DATE OF THE FIRST PHASE APPROVAL TO TERMINATION DATE, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS THAT THE AMOUNTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 4(D) OF CHAPTER 138/1964 IST. E.S. WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED, AND THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL.