

MINUTE ITEM

7/17/68

35. STATUS OF MAJOR LITIGATION - W.O.s 2716, 1839.20, 503.461, 503.481, 503.521, 503.510, 4721, 503.527, 1339, 503.554, 5200.400V, 503.557, AND 5825.

The attached Calendar Item 33 was presented to the Commission for information only, no Commission action being required.

Attachment
Calendar Item 33 (3 pages)

33.

STATUS OF MAJOR LITIGATION - W.O.s 2716, 1839.20, 503.461, 503.481, 503.521, 503.510, 4721, 503.527, 1339, 503.554, 5200.400V, 503.557, and 5825.

The following information is current as of July 3, 1968:

1. Case No. 747562 (now consolidated with Case No. 649466) W.O. 2716
People vs. City of Long Beach, et al.
Los Angeles County Superior Court
(Long Beach Boundary Determination, Chapter 2000/57)

No change; i.e., The City is being contacted by the Attorney General's Office to urge them to obtain the necessary information so that this matter may be moved along more quickly than in the past.

2. Case No. 59173 (Highway Case No. 55800) W.O. 1839.20
People vs. Monterey Sand Co., et al.
Monterey County Superior Court

(Action for declaratory relief, damages for trespass, quiet title, accounting, and injunction. It is alleged that the Monterey Sand Company is trespassing upon tide and submerged lands owned by the State, and is removing valuable sand deposits from said lands without paying any royalty to the State.)

FINAL REPORT: Negotiated settlement, as authorized by the Commission at its meeting of June 19, 1968, has been completed. Boundary line agreement has been recorded. Mineral extraction lease has been entered into, effective July 1, 1968. State has received payment of \$15,000 for release by the State of all past claims for rents, royalties, and any and all other obligations due the State with regard to the leased premises.

3. Case No. 30417 W.O. 503.461
City of Morro Bay vs. County of San Luis Obispo and
State of California
San Luis Obispo County Superior Court

(By Chapter 1076, Stats. of 1947, certain tide and submerged lands in the vicinity of Morro Bay were granted to the County of San Luis Obispo. On July 17, 1964, the City of Morro Bay was incorporated so as to include the area of the granted tide-lands. The purpose of the present action is to determine whether or not the City of Morro Bay acquired title to these tide and submerged lands as successor to the County and whether the City must take immediate title to such lands or may postpone taking title to some future date.)

See Calendar Item 29, page 52.

INFORMATIVE CALENDAR ITEM 33 (CONT'D.)

4. Case No. 21087 W.O. 503.481
Thomas P. Raley vs. State of California
Yolo County Superior Court

(Suit to quiet title to land adjacent to the Sacramento River.)

No change; i.e., Settlement conference has been held to review respective appraisals, and revised settlement proposal is under review.

5. Case No. 903714 W.O. 503.521
Standard Oil Company v. City of Carpinteria, et al.
Los Angeles County Superior Court

(Challenge by Standard of the appraised value set by the State Lands Commission on the State's interest in tide and submerged lands proposed to be annexed by the City of Carpinteria.)

No change; i.e., Parties are preparing a Stipulation of Facts to be used at the trial, date of which has not yet been set.

6. Case No. 892295 W.O. 503.510
Miller vs. City of Santa Monica, et al.
Los Angeles County Superior Court

(An action by private upland owners involving title to tidelands that have artificially accreted. Both the State Lands Commission and the Division of Beaches and Parks have interests to protect.)

No change; i.e., The City and the State have not filed any Demurrer or Answer as yet. However, the City and the State have entered into a Stipulation with the Plaintiffs in lieu of a preliminary injunction. The Stipulation restrains the Plaintiffs from building in the disputed area, and restrains the City and the State from removing any improvements thereon.

7. Case No. 5 Original in the United States Supreme Court W.O. 4721
United States vs. State of California

(Relating to the location of the offshore boundaries between lands under the paramount jurisdiction of the United States and lands owned by the State, for such purposes as minerals. A Supplemental Decree was entered in this case, settling the principal controversies between the State and the United States, but reserving jurisdiction in the United States Supreme Court to settle any remaining controversies.)

No change; i.e., The Solicitor General of the United States and the Solicitor for the Department of the Interior were notified of oil-and-gas lease offers adjacent to Carpinteria, and indicated no objection thereto.

INFORMATIVE CALENDAR ITEM 33 (CONTD.)

8. Case No. 57239 W.O. 503.527
White vs. State of California
Sonoma County Superior Court
- (Quiet title action against the State to determine a property boundary along the Petaluma River, Sonoma County.)
- Pre-trial conference was held June 17, 1968. A tentative date for trial has been set for the week of September 17, 1968. It is estimated that the trial will take about two days.
9. Case No. 48620 W.O. 1339
W.O. 503.554
Alameda Conservation Association, et al. vs.
State of California, et al.
United States District Court, Northern District
- (Action for declaratory relief and an injunction against the State of California, certain of its officers and officials, and Leslie Salt Co., seeking to invalidate the boundary settlement and exchange of lands between the State of California and Leslie Salt Co.)
- No change; i.e., Notice of Appeal has been filed by the Alameda Conservation Association in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
10. Case No. LA 29534 W.O. 5200.400V
Atlantic Oil Company, et al. vs. County of Los Angeles, et al.,
and Humble Oil & Refining Company, et al. vs. City of Los Angeles
Supreme Court of the State of California
- (An action by various oil companies to recover ad valorem taxes. It is anticipated that this case may constitute a significant precedent which could affect State revenues from the Long Beach tidelands in excess of \$100 million.)
- No change; i.e., Pursuant to the authorization of the Commission on February 29, 1968, the Attorney General on April 19, 1968, filed an amicus curiae brief of the State Lands Commission.
11. Case No. 926809 W.O. 503.557
W.O. 5825
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
vs. Norris
Los Angeles County Superior Court
- (A Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief was filed on February 16, 1968, naming the State Lands Commission as one of the real parties in interest. The main issues in this litigation are the constitutionality of Chapter 1520, Statutes of 1967, and the legal propriety of certain agreements entered into pursuant to that statute. This concerns a nuclear desalting and electrical plant that may involve capital expenditures of over \$750 million, as well as important questions as to the Legislature's authority over tide and submerged lands.)
- Oral argument originally set for July 26, 1968, will be reset for October 15, 1968.