

21. (Grazing Lease Application No. P.R.C. 1177 - Charles F. Hammond) The Commission was informed of the application received from Mr. Charles F. Hammond of Fort Jones for a grazing lease for a term of five years on the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 16, T. 43 N., R. 7 W., M.D.M., containing 200 acres in Siskiyou County, and subsequent advertising thereof.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Officer to execute and issue a five year grazing lease to Mr. Charles F. Hammond, the only bidder pursuant to the advertising, on the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 16, T. 43 N., R. 7 W., M.D.M., containing 200 acres in Siskiyou County, at an annual rental of twenty-two and one-half cents per acre, with the lessee to pay the first and last years' rental at the time of execution of the lease.

22. (Sale of Vacant Federal Land, Obtained through Use of Base, Scrip Application No. 10388, Los Angeles Land District - San Diego County - Augustine Fredy) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted confirming the filing for the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 21, T. 8 S., R. 4 W., S.B.M., containing 40 acres in San Diego County, with the Federal Government and approving, subject to the approval of the selection by the District Land Office, the sale of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 21, T. 8 S., R. 4 W., S.B.M., containing 40 acres in San Diego County, to Mr. Augustine Fredy at a cash price of \$200.00, subject to all statutory reservations including minerals.

23. (Funds for Litigation - Office of the Attorney General - Owens Lake) The attention of the Commission was called to the fact that a request had been received from Walter L. Bowers, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, for the allocation of \$10,000.00 from the State Lands Act Fund to cover estimated costs of litigation in the conclusion of the action, People vs. City of Los Angeles, et al., Santa Barbara Superior Court No. 36,863, relating to the flooding damage to Owens Lake and the initial preparation for the appeal of the action, which appeal, it is felt, will be automatic regardless of the decision of the trial court.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the allocation of an amount not to exceed \$10,000.00 from the State Lands Act Fund to cover the further costs of the office of the Attorney General in the litigation of the action, People vs. the City of Los Angeles, et al., Santa Barbara Superior Court No. 36,863, and any appeal thereof.

24. (Travel Expense Accounts - State Lands Division) The Commission was informed as to Circular Letter No. 384 from the Director of Finance which states that in most cases the noon meal is not a justifiable expense to the State when the employee is away from headquarters, only during regular working hours of one day, on the assumption that in the employment conditions, the employee's expenses are no greater by being away from headquarters than if he remained at

headquarters. Each agency is requested to execute a high degree of administrative control over all expenses in order to eliminate unnecessary expenditures and operate within the current budget. Review of conditions under which expenses for noon meals are incurred by employees of this Division has shown that in the case of employees of the field offices at Huntington Beach, Santa Barbara and Rio Vista where the employees normally take all meals at home, the employees' expenses are greater by being away from headquarters for the noon meal on trips of only one day.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the approval of travel expense vouchers for noon meals on trips for official business of one day made by employees of the Division of State Lands who normally take all meals at home, in the amount of actual expenditure for such meal up to the maximum established by the State Board of Control rules.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned.