

APPENDIX E
SUMMARY INFORMATION FOR PLANKTON AND ICHTHYOPLANKTON

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1 The primary source of data for plankton present off the California coast is the long-term
2 sampling and analysis program conducted under the California Cooperative Fisheries
3 Investigations (CalCOFI). CalCOFI represents a partnership between the California
4 Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric
5 Administration's (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the University
6 of California, Integrative Oceanography Division.

7 With survey efforts initiated in 1949, the original efforts were designed to study the
8 ecological aspects of the sardine population collapse off California. At present, CalCOFI
9 focuses on the study of the marine environment off the coast of California, the
10 management of its living resources, and the monitoring of indicators of El Niño and
11 climate change. CalCOFI conducts quarterly cruises off Southern and Central
12 California, collecting a suite of hydrographic and biological data on station and
13 underway. Data collected at depths down to 500 meters (m) include: temperature,
14 salinity, oxygen, phosphate, silicate, nitrate (NO_3^-) and nitrite (NO_2^-), chlorophyll,
15 transmissometer, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), carbon-14 ($\text{C}14$) primary
16 productivity, phytoplankton biodiversity, zooplankton biomass, and zooplankton
17 biodiversity. Ancillary data collected include continuous underway sea surface and
18 meteorological measurements (SCIMS); Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) data;
19 the Continuous Underway Fish Egg Sampler (CUFES [winter and spring]); trace metals;
20 sediments; Multiple Opening/Closing Net and Environmental Sensing System
21 (MOCNESS) net sampling; bio-optics; carbon dioxide partial pressure (PCO_2) air-sea
22 interface, and atmospheric measurements. Marine mammal and seabird visual surveys
23 are conducted during transits between stations, as well as recording marine mammal
24 acoustics.

25 CalCOFI hosts an annual conference, and publishes a scientific journal as well as
26 quarterly hydrographic data reports for each cruise while maintaining a publicly
27 accessible data server. CalCOFI hydrographic and plankton data are distributed to the
28 community for use without restriction. Methods for data collection and processing are
29 summarized in the introductions of cruise data reports. Annual CalCOFI reports and
30 bi-annual data reports are available either online or via the University of California, San
31 Diego (UCSD)/Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) libraries.

32 In 2004, the CalCOFI surveys became part of the Long Term Ecological Research
33 (LTER) network as a site to understand the pelagic ecosystem of the California Current
34 (CCE). The CCE-LTER project has expanded CalCOFI goals and, with additional
35 seawater sample analyses and vertical net tows, has broadened the scope of its
36 62 year times-series. LTER also conducts seasonal process cruises in the CalCOFI grid
37 and operates autonomous gliders along several CalCOFI survey lines. While the vast
38 majority of the CalCOFI sampling sites are in Federal waters, there are several
39 nearshore sites with applicability to State waters.

40 Since the pioneering research of Sverdrup and Allen (1939), physical and biochemical
41 variables have been measured to better understand the link between physical

1 processes and biological responses in the Southern California Bight (SCB). For
2 instance, the CalCOFI program has conducted routine measurements of chlorophyll and
3 major nutrients, in addition to hydrographic surveys, since 1984 (Hayward and Venrick
4 1998). However, very nearshore waters adjacent to the coastline were not regularly
5 observed until Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System (SCCOOS)
6 stations were added to the current CalCOFI grid in 2004. Thus, while the vast majority
7 of the CalCOFI survey efforts are in deep-water and beyond 3 nautical miles (nm), there
8 are several nearshore shallow stations that are applicable to the Low Energy Offshore
9 Geophysical Permit Program (OGPP) Update Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND).
10 Given the recent acquisition of these data, the magnitude and variability of primary
11 productivity in nearshore waters of the SCB is not yet well known (Kim et al. 2009).

12 In spite of the absence of a long-term historical database on phytoplankton, recent
13 research findings are available. Ormand et al. (2012) and Kim et al. (2009) characterized
14 the seasonal phytoplankton cycle in the SCB, noting that it generally begins with a large
15 spring bloom, followed by a series of episodic blooms during the rest of the year. Dense
16 blooms observed nearshore, in water depths less than 20 m, may last only a few days.
17 Harmful algal blooms (HABs) may also occur, producing adverse effects such as toxins,
18 fish gill damage, or anoxia (Smayda 1997; Anderson et al. 2008). HABs that occur in
19 the nearshore are particularly damaging because of the high exposure to coastal and
20 benthic habitats (Ormand et al. 2012).

21 One of the prominent ecosystem features of the California Current System is the spring
22 phytoplankton bloom along a narrow coastal band, within 20 to 50 kilometers (km) of the
23 shore. This phenomenon results in strong seasonality and an inshore-offshore gradient
24 of primary production (e.g., see Strub et al. 1990; Thomas et al. 1994; Leggard and
25 Thomas 2006; Kim 2008).

26 Picophytoplankton is composed of three groups and includes the cyanobacteria
27 *Prochlorococcus* spp., *Synechococcus* spp., and small eukaryotic algae.
28 Picophytoplankton contribute greater than 50 percent of the biomass and production in
29 warm oligotrophic tropical and subtropical open oceans (Agawin et al. 2000).
30 *Prochlorococcus* spp. has been found to be more abundant in oligotrophic water than in
31 eutrophic water, and *Synechococcus* spp. is ubiquitous in the upper layers of temperate
32 and warm oceans (Zhao et al. 2010).

33 In Southern California, Tai and Palenik (2009) determined that *Synechococcus*, with
34 unique ecological niches, are distributed along a coastal to offshore transect within the
35 SCB. The composition of the *Synechococcus* communities generally changed with the
36 nitricline, thermocline, and chlorophyll maximum depths, each of which deepened as
37 sampling moved offshore.

38 Off the Central California coast (Central Coast region), site-specific studies by
39 Pennington and Chavez (2000) have characterized the seasonal fluctuations in physical
40 oceanographic parameters and nutrient characteristics over an eight-year time period

1 (1989–1996), and their subsequent influences on chlorophyll concentrations and
2 primary production in Monterey Bay. During spring and summer, upwelling brings
3 high-nutrient water to the surface of Monterey Bay. Nutrients, sunlight, and some
4 degree of water column stratification lead to high primary production and elevated
5 chlorophyll values during the upwelling period. During the upwelling period, flora within
6 the Bay are dominated by diatoms, especially *Chaetoceros* spp.

7 In the North Central Coast region, Pennington and Chavez (2000) analyzed a three-
8 year data set (2000–2003) of nearshore upwelling events off Bodega Bay. As part of the
9 Coastal Ocean Processes: Wind Events and Shelf Transport (CoOP WEST) study,
10 nutrients, carbon dioxide (CO₂), size-fractionated chlorophyll, and phytoplankton
11 community structure were measured. The ability of the ecosystem to assimilate nitrate
12 and silicic acid/silicate (Si(OH)₄) and accumulate particulate material
13 (i.e., phytoplankton) was realized in all three years, following short events of
14 upwelling-favorable winds with subsequent periods of relaxed winds. This was observed
15 as phytoplankton blooms, dominated by chlorophyll in cells greater than 5 micrometers
16 (µm) in diameter that reduced ambient nutrient levels to below detection limits
17 (i.e., reported as zero by Wilkerson et al. 2006). Seasonal wind-driven upwelling
18 supplies abundant nutrients to support increased phytoplankton productivity.

19 Studies of nearshore zooplankton tend to be site-specific. Barnett and Jahn (1987)
20 characterized nearshore zooplankton off San Onofre (Southern California), identifying
21 distinguishable nearshore and offshore assemblages. Nearshore, in water depths less
22 than 30 m, the copepods *Acartia clausi* and *Oithona oculata*, and barnacle larvae were
23 present. Offshore assemblages included the copepods *Calanus pacificus*, *Eucalanus*
24 *californicus*, and *Rhincalanus nasutus*, occupying water having less chlorophyll and less
25 near-surface nutrients (i.e., of more oceanic character). Throughout the year, nearshore
26 and offshore assemblages were distinguishable, with the change occurring around the
27 30-m contour. In spring and summer, most nearshore taxa shifted slightly seaward,
28 leaving a third assemblage, characterized by a very high abundance of *Acartia* spp.
29 copepodids and maximum abundances of *A. clausi* and *O. oculata* near the beach.

30 CalCOFI ichthyoplankton abundance data are also published at approximately yearly
31 intervals as NMFS Technical Memos, and the data have provided the basis for
32 numerous papers published in a variety of scientific journals. The many advances in fish
33 egg and larval identification made as a result of the CalCOFI surveys have been
34 documented in a descriptive atlas (Moser 1996).

35 In addition to the CalCOFI surveys and Fisheries Resources Division (FRD) resource
36 surveys (e.g., annual Pacific Sardine biomass cruise), there are several data available
37 from a variety of other research programs, including the Southern California Nearshore
38 Ichthyoplankton survey (2004–2005; Lavenberg et al. 1986), the Cowcod Conservation
39 Area high resolution ichthyoplankton and oceanographic surveys (2002–2005), and the
40 Marine Ecological Reserves survey (1998–1999; Watson et al. 1999, 2002a,b). These
41 surveys provide monitoring abundance trends of cowcod, bocaccio, and other fishes in

1 non-routinely trawled or untrawlable areas. Of particular note, the nearshore
2 ichthyoplankton survey effort was conducted in shallow coastal waters (8 to 75 m depth)
3 at four Southern California sites, reoccupying sampling stations last surveyed during the
4 1980s. Results were compiled to estimate the degree of change in the fish fauna near
5 shore during the approximately 20 years between surveys, and to provide more
6 information on eggs and larvae of nearshore fishes than is available from the standard
7 CalCOFI sampling grid.

8 Ichthyoplankton data for California are also available through NOAA's Northeast Pacific
9 Ichthyoplankton Information System (IIS), accessible at NOAA's website
10 (<http://access.afsc.noaa.gov/ichthyo/index.cfm>). This site allows the user to access data
11 on the early life history of fishes collected by the Alaska Fisheries Science Center
12 (AFSC) Recruitment Processes Program, which includes Fisheries-Oceanography
13 Coordinated Investigations (FOCI). AFSC scientists have conducted ichthyoplankton
14 cruises in 1972 and yearly from 1977 until the present. The resulting database includes
15 over 230 cruises which have sampled in four different geographic areas: The Gulf of
16 Alaska between the Aleutian Islands and Southeast Alaska; the Eastern Bering Sea
17 including the area surrounding the Pribilof Islands; north of Bering Sea, above 66°N;
18 and the Washington/Oregon/California coastal area. This latter area would include
19 survey results applicable to this MND, complementing the efforts of the CalCOFI.

20 The NOAA IIS data website can be used to identify unknown fish eggs and larvae by
21 using known data such as meristics, distribution, and illustrations of developmental
22 stages, or as a source of original data on the early life history stages of fishes of the
23 Bering Sea and Northeast Pacific Ocean, including Northern California. A taxonomic
24 guide to the early life history stages of the more than 200 fishes that spawn in these
25 areas was published by Matarese et al. (1989). More recently, a synoptic atlas
26 presenting data on spatial and temporal trends in the dominant fish eggs and larvae
27 from these regions has been completed (Matarese et al. 2003). These publications
28 complement earlier advances in regional ichthyoplankton taxonomy (e.g., Moser 1996;
29 Busby 1998) and a meta-database of ichthyoplankton cruise data.

30 In addition to plankton surveys, there are several sources for plankton and fish biomass
31 estimates. For example, since 2000, the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC)
32 has augmented CalCOFI surveys with multi-frequency-acoustic sampling to estimate
33 the abundance of mid-trophic level species (e.g., myctophids, euphausiids, small
34 pelagics). Research vessels are typically equipped with four echosounders that operate
35 at 38, 70, 120, and 200 kilohertz (kHz) to locate, identify, and estimate school or patch
36 size. Data are converted to biomass densities using accepted combined target strength-
37 to-length and length-to-biomass conversions. Length samples were collected via
38 surface trawls.

39 Within the context of monitoring climate change, NOAA Fisheries convened a workshop
40 in 2006 to summarize research to date and to propose sentinel plankton species in the

1 California Current Large Marine Ecosystem (LME) that can indicate climatic regime
 2 changes (NOAA Fisheries 2006). Sentinel plankton species are identified in **Table E-1**.

3 **Table E-1. Proposed Sentinel Plankton Species in the California Current Large**
 4 **Marine Ecosystem (LME) that can Indicate Climatic Regime Changes**
 5 **(From: NOAA Fisheries 2006)**

Characteristics	Species
Cosmopolitan (Throughout the California Current System)	
Copepods	<i>Calanus pacificus</i> , <i>Metridia cf. pacifica</i> , <i>Paracalanus parvus</i>
Euphausiids	<i>Thysanoessa spinifera</i> , <i>Euphausia pacifica</i>
Pteropods	<i>Limacina helicina</i>
Salps	<i>Salpa fusiformis</i>
Doliolids	<i>Dolioletta gegenbauri</i>
Medusae	<i>Chrysaora fuscescens</i>
Ichthyoplankton	Pacific Sardine, <i>Sardinops sagax</i> ; Northern Anchovy, <i>Engraulis mordax</i> ; Market Squid, <i>Loligo opalescens</i> ; Pacific Hake (Pacific Whiting), <i>Merluccius productus</i>
CalCOFI Region (Central California to Baja Mexico)	
Copepods	<i>Pareucalanus attenuatus</i> , <i>Euchaeta media</i> , <i>Neocalanus robustior</i> , <i>Pleuromamma gracilis</i>
Euphausiids	<i>Euphausia eximia</i> , <i>Euphausia gibboides</i> , <i>Euphausia pacifica</i> , <i>Euphausia recurva</i> , <i>Nyctiphanes simplex</i> , <i>Thysanoessa spinifera</i>
Doliolids	<i>Doliolum denticulatum</i>
Salps	<i>Cyclosalpa affinis</i> , <i>Cyclosalpa bakeri</i> , <i>Pegea social</i> , <i>Salpa maxima</i>
Decapods	<i>Pleuroncodes planipes</i>
Ichthyoplankton	Mexican lampfish, <i>Triphoturus mexicanus</i>

6 Acronyms: CalCOFI = California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations.

7
 8 **References**

9 Agawin, N.S.R., C.M. Duarte, and S. Augustí. 2000. Nutrient and temperature control
 10 of the contribution of picoplankton to phytoplankton biomass and production.
 11 *Limnology and Oceanography* 45(3):591-600.

12 Barnett, A.M., and A.E. Jahn. 1987. Patterns and persistence of a nearshore
 13 planktonic ecosystem off southern California. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 7:1-25.

14 Busby, M.S. 1998. Guide to the identification of larval and early juvenile poachers
 15 (Scorpaeniformes: Agonidae) from the northeastern Pacific Ocean.
 16 U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA. NOAA Tech. Rep., NMFS 137. 88 pp.

17 Hayward, T.L., and E.L. Venrick. 1998. Nearsurface pattern in the California Current:
 18 coupling between physical and biological structures. *Deep-Sea Res. II*
 19 45:1617-1638.

20 Kim, H-J. 2008. Climate Impacts on the Planktonic Marine Ecosystem in the Southern
 21 California Current. Ph.D. Dissertation, Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
 22 University of California, San Diego.

23 Kim, H., A. Miller, J. McGowan, and M. Carter. 2009. Coastal phytoplankton blooms in
 24 the Southern California Bight. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 82:137-147.
 25 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.05.002.

- 1 Lavenberg, R.J., G.E. McGowen, A.E. Jahn, and J.H. Petersen. 1986. Abundance of
2 southern California nearshore ichthyoplankton: 1978-1984. Calif. Coop. Oceanic
3 Fish. Invest. Rep. 27:53-64.
- 4 Legaard, K.R., and A.C. Thomas. 2006. Spatial patterns in seasonal and interannual
5 variability of chlorophyll and sea surface temperature in the California Current.
6 Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans 111 (C06032).
7 doi:10.1029/2005JC003282, 2006.
- 8 Matarese, A.C., A.W. Kendall, Jr., D.M. Blood, and B.M. Vinter. 1989. Laboratory
9 guide to the early life history stages of Northeast Pacific fishes. U.S. Department
10 of Commerce, NOAA. NOAA Tech. Rep., NMFS 80. 652 pp.
- 11 Matarese, A.C., D.M. Blood, S.J. Picquelle, and J.L. Benson. 2003. Atlas of
12 abundance and distribution patterns of ichthyoplankton from the Northeast
13 Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea ecosystems based on research conducted by the
14 Alaska Fisheries Science Center (1972-1996). U.S. Department of Commerce,
15 NOAA. NOAA Prof. Paper NMFS 1. 281 pp.
- 16 Moser, H.G. (ed.). 1996. The early life stages of fishes in the California Current
17 Region. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Atlas No. 33. 1,505 pp.
- 18 NOAA Fisheries. 2006. Integrating Plankton Survey Observations in the California
19 Current Large Marine Ecosystem, September 25-26, 2006. NOAA Fisheries
20 SWFSC Admin. Rep. LJ-07-07. 22 pp.
- 21 Omand, M.M., F. Feddersen, R.T. Guza, and P.J.S. Franks. 2012. Episodic vertical
22 nutrient fluxes and nearshore phytoplankton blooms in Southern California.
23 Limnol. Oceanogr. 57(6):1673-1688.
- 24 Pennington, J.T. and F. P. Chavez. 2000. Seasonal fluctuations of temperature,
25 salinity, nitrate, chlorophyll and primary production at station H3/M1 over
26 1989-1996 in Monterey Bay, California. Deep-Sea Research II 47:947-973.
- 27 Strub, P.T., C. James, A.C. Thomas, and M.R. Abbott. 1990. Seasonal and
28 non-seasonal variability of satellite-derived surface pigment concentration in the
29 California Current. J. Geophys. Res. 95:11,501-11,530.
- 30 Sverdrup, H.U., and W.E. Allen. 1939. Distribution of diatoms in relation to the
31 character of water masses and currents off southern California in 1938. J Mar.
32 Res. 2:131-144.
- 33 Tai, V., and B. Palenik. 2009. Temporal variation of *Synechococcus* clades at a
34 coastal Pacific Ocean monitoring site. ISME J. 3(8):903-915. doi:
35 10.1038/ismej.2009.35.
- 36 Thomas, A.C., F. Huang, P.T. Strub, and C. James. 1994. Comparison of the seasonal
37 and interannual variability of phytoplankton pigment concentrations in the Peru
38 and California current systems. Journal of Geophysical Research – Oceans
39 99:355-7370.

- 1 Watson, W., R.L. Charter, H.G. Moser, R.D. Vetter, D.A. Ambrose, S.R. Charter, L.L.
2 Robertson, E.M. Sandknop, E.A. Lynn, and J. Stannard. 1999. Fine-scale
3 distributions of planktonic fish eggs in the vicinities of Big Sycamore Canyon and
4 Vandenberg Ecological Reserves, and Anacapa and San Miguel Islands,
5 California. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 40:128-153.
- 6 Watson, W., R.L. Charter, H.G. Moser, D.A. Ambrose, S.R. Charter, E.M. Sandknop,
7 L.L. Robertson, and E.A. Lynn. 2002a. Distributions of planktonic fish eggs and
8 larvae off two State Ecological Reserves in the Santa Barbara Channel vicinity
9 and two nearby islands in the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary,
10 California. CalCOFI Rep. 43:141-154.
- 11 Watson, W., R.L. Charter, H.G. Moser, D.A. Ambrose, S.R. Charter, E.M. Sandknop,
12 L.L. Robertson, and E.A. Lynn. 2002b. Part III: Distributions of planktonic fish
13 eggs and larvae in the vicinities of Big Sycamore Canyon and Vandenberg State
14 Ecological Reserves, and Anacapa and San Miguel Islands in the Channel
15 Islands National Marine Sanctuary. In: R.D. Vetter, H.G. Moser, and W. Watson
16 (eds.), Egg and larval fish production from marine ecological reserves, Marine
17 Ecological Reserves Research Program Research Results—1996–2001.
18 Project. No. 4-M-N. CD-ROM. Sea Grant Coll. Program, La Jolla, CA.
- 19 Zhao, S.J., J.W. Wei, H.D. Yue, and T. Xiao. 2010. Picophytoplankton abundance and
20 community structure in the Philippine Sea, western Pacific. Chinese Journal of
21 Oceanology and Limnology 28(1):88-95.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK