

## 1   **4.5   AIR QUALITY**

2   This section discusses the environmental setting for air quality in the vicinity of the  
3   Proposed Project and analyzes the potential impacts to local and regional air quality  
4   due to the Proposed Project. The analysis will be completed for two air basins and air  
5   districts in southern California because SONGS Unit 1 and Oceanside are in San Diego  
6   County, Dana Point is in Orange County, and Long Beach is in Los Angeles County.

### 7   **4.5.1   Description of Resource/Environmental Setting**

8   Air quality is affected by both the rate and location of pollutant emissions and by  
9   meteorological conditions that influence movement and dispersal of pollutants.  
10   Atmospheric conditions such as wind speed, wind direction, and air temperature  
11   gradients, along with local topography, provide the link between air pollutant emissions  
12   and air quality.

13   This section describes the existing air quality environment in the vicinity of the Proposed  
14   Project and associated activities. This existing setting includes a description of the  
15   physical geography, climate, and meteorology of the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) and  
16   the San Diego Air Basin (SDAB), a description of criteria air pollutants, and a short  
17   discussion of the potential health effects for each pollutant.

#### 18   **South Coast Air Basin**

19   The SCAB includes all of Los Angeles and Orange counties, and the urbanized portions  
20   of San Bernardino and Riverside counties. The distinctive climate of the SCAB is  
21   determined by its terrain and geographic location. The SCAB is a coastal plain with  
22   connecting broad valleys and low hills, bounded by the Pacific Ocean to the southwest  
23   and high mountains around the rest of its perimeter. The general region lies in the  
24   semi-permanent high-pressure zone of the eastern Pacific (the Pacific High), resulting in  
25   a mild climate tempered by cool sea breezes with light average wind speeds. The  
26   usually mild climatological pattern is interrupted occasionally by periods of extremely hot  
27   weather, winter storms, or Santa Ana winds (SCAQMD 1993).

28   The vertical dispersion of air pollutants in the SCAB is hampered by the presence of  
29   persistent temperature inversions. High-pressure systems, such as the semi-  
30   permanent high-pressure zone in which the SCAB is located, are characterized by an  
31   upper layer of dry air that warms as it descends, restricting the mobility of cooler  
32   marine-influenced air near the ground surface, and resulting in the formation of  
33   subsidence inversions. Such inversions restrict the vertical dispersion of air pollutants  
34   released into the marine layer and, together with strong sunlight, can produce worst-

1 case conditions for the formation of photochemical smog. Throughout the SCAB,  
2 inversions occur at 3,500 feet (1,067 m) above mean sea level (AMSL) or less and  
3 average 191 days per year (SCAQMD 1993).

4 The atmospheric pollution potential of an area is largely dependent on winds,  
5 atmospheric stability, solar radiation, and terrain. The combination of low wind speeds  
6 and low inversions produces the greatest concentration of air pollutants. On days  
7 without inversions, or on days of winds averaging over 15 miles per hour (mph), smog  
8 potential is greatly reduced within the SCAB.

### 9 **San Diego Air Basin**

10 The SDAB is contiguous with San Diego County. The climate of the SDAB is  
11 characterized by warm, dry summers and mild, wet winters. One of the main  
12 determinants of the climatology, as in the SCAQMD, is the Pacific High. In the summer,  
13 this pressure center is located well to the north, causing storm tracks to be directed  
14 north of California. This high-pressure cell maintains clear skies for much of the year.  
15 When the Pacific High moves southward during the winter, this pattern changes, and  
16 low-pressure storms are brought into the region, causing widespread precipitation.

17 As in the SCAB, the vertical dispersion of air pollutants in the SDAB is hampered by the  
18 presence of persistent temperature inversions. The subsidence inversions within the  
19 SDAB generally occur during the warmer months (May through October) as descending  
20 air associated with the Pacific high-pressure cell comes into contact with cool marine  
21 air. Within the SDAB, the inversion layer is approximately 2,000 feet (610 m) AMSL  
22 during the months of May through October. During the winter months (November  
23 through April), the temperature inversion rises to approximately 3,000 feet (914 m)  
24 AMSL. Inversion layers are important elements of local air quality because they inhibit  
25 the dispersion of pollutants, thus resulting in a temporary degradation of air quality. As  
26 in the SCAB, on days without inversions, or on days of winds averaging over 15 mph,  
27 smog potential is greatly reduced with the SDAB.

### 28 **Criteria Air Pollutants**

29 The following specific descriptions of health effects for each of the criteria air pollutants  
30 are based on information developed and promulgated by the EPA (EPA 2004a) and the  
31 California Air Resources Board (ARB) (ARB 2001).

32 Ozone. Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is considered a photochemical oxidant, which is a chemical that is  
33 formed when volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), both  
34 by-products of combustion, react in the presence of ultraviolet light. O<sub>3</sub> is considered a

1 respiratory irritant and prolonged exposure can reduce lung function, aggravate asthma,  
2 and increase susceptibility to respiratory infections. Children and those with existing  
3 respiratory diseases are at greatest risk from exposure to O<sub>3</sub>.

4 Carbon monoxide. Carbon monoxide (CO) is a product of combustion, and the main  
5 source of CO in the SCAB and SDAB is from motor vehicle exhaust. CO is an odorless,  
6 colorless gas. CO affects red blood cells in the body by binding to hemoglobin and  
7 reducing the amount of oxygen that can be carried to the body's organs and tissues.  
8 CO can cause health effects to those with cardiovascular disease and can also affect  
9 mental alertness and vision.

10 Nitrogen dioxide. Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) is also a by-product of fuel combustion and is  
11 formed both directly as a product of combustion and in the atmosphere through the  
12 reaction of nitric oxide with oxygen. NO<sub>2</sub> is a respiratory irritant and may affect those  
13 with existing respiratory illness, including asthma. NO<sub>2</sub> can also increase the risk of  
14 respiratory illness.

15 Particulate matter. Respirable particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) refers to particulate matter with  
16 an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less. Fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) refers to  
17 particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less. Particulate  
18 matter in this size range has been determined to have the potential to lodge in the lungs  
19 and contribute to respiratory problems. PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> arise from a variety of sources,  
20 including road dust, diesel exhaust, combustion, tire and brake wear, construction  
21 operations, and windblown dust. PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> can increase susceptibility to  
22 respiratory infections and can aggravate existing respiratory diseases such as asthma  
23 and chronic bronchitis. PM<sub>2.5</sub> is considered to have the potential to lodge deeper in the  
24 lungs.

25 Sulfur dioxide. Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is a colorless, reactive gas that is produced from  
26 the burning of sulfur-containing fuels such as coal and oil, and by other industrial  
27 processes. Generally, the highest concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> are found near large industrial  
28 sources. SO<sub>2</sub> is a respiratory irritant that can cause narrowing of the airways leading to  
29 wheezing and shortness of breath. Long-term exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> can cause respiratory  
30 illness and aggravate existing cardiovascular disease.

31 Lead. Lead (Pb) in the atmosphere occurs as particulate matter. Pb has historically  
32 been emitted from vehicles combusting leaded gasoline, as well as from industrial  
33 sources. With the phase-out of leaded gasoline, large manufacturing facilities are the  
34 sources of the largest amounts of Pb emissions. Pb has the potential to cause

1 gastrointestinal, central nervous system, kidney, and blood diseases upon prolonged  
2 exposure. Pb is also classified as a probable human carcinogen.

3 Sulfates. Sulfates are the fully oxidized ionic form of sulfur. In California, emissions of  
4 sulfur compounds occur primarily from the combustion of petroleum-derived fuels,  
5 e.g., gasoline and diesel fuel, that contain sulfur. This sulfur is oxidized to SO<sub>2</sub> during  
6 the combustion process and subsequently converted to sulfate compounds in the  
7 atmosphere. The conversion of SO<sub>2</sub> to sulfates takes place comparatively rapidly and  
8 completely in urban areas of California due to regional meteorological features. The  
9 ARB's sulfates standard is designed to prevent aggravation of respiratory symptoms.  
10 Effects of sulfate exposure at levels above the standard include a decrease in  
11 ventilatory function, aggravation of asthmatic symptoms, and an increased risk of  
12 cardiopulmonary disease. Sulfates are particularly effective in degrading visibility and,  
13 because they are usually acidic, can harm ecosystems and damage materials and  
14 property.

15 Hydrogen Sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide (HS) is a colorless gas with the odor of rotten eggs.  
16 It is formed during bacterial decomposition of sulfur-containing organic substances.  
17 Also, it can be present in sewer gas and some natural gas and can be emitted as the  
18 result of geothermal energy exploitation. Breathing HS at levels above the standard will  
19 result in exposure to a very disagreeable odor. In 1984, an ARB committee concluded  
20 that the ambient standard for HS is adequate to protect public health and to significantly  
21 reduce odor annoyance.

22 Vinyl Chloride. Vinyl chloride, a chlorinated hydrocarbon, is a colorless gas with a mild,  
23 sweet odor. Most vinyl chloride is used to make polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastic and  
24 vinyl products. Vinyl chloride has been detected near landfills, sewage plants, and  
25 hazardous waste sites, due to microbial breakdown of chlorinated solvents. Short-term  
26 exposure to high levels of vinyl chloride in air causes central nervous system effects,  
27 such as dizziness, drowsiness, and headaches. Long-term exposure to vinyl chloride  
28 through inhalation and oral exposure causes liver damage. Cancer is a major concern  
29 from exposure to vinyl chloride via inhalation. Vinyl chloride exposure has been shown  
30 to increase the risk of angiosarcoma, a rare form of liver cancer in humans.

## 31 **4.5.2 Regulatory Setting**

### 32 **Federal**

33 The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) (USC § 7401) requires the adoption of National  
34 Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) to protect the public health, safety, and welfare  
35 from known or anticipated effects of air pollution. The NAAQS have been updated

1 occasionally. Current standards are set for SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and Pb.  
2 These pollutants are collectively referred to as criteria pollutants.

3 Federal standards for 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> became effective on September 15, 1997,  
4 and were subsequently challenged and litigated. The U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the  
5 standards, and policies and systems to implement these new standards are being  
6 developed. Attainment designations for 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> were formally published on April 15,  
7 2004, and designations for PM<sub>2.5</sub> were formally published on December 17, 2004 (ARB  
8 2004b; EPA 2004b, 2004f).

9 The EPA has designated all areas of the United States as either “attainment,”  
10 “nonattainment,” or “unclassified” with respect to the NAAQS. An attainment  
11 designation means that the air quality of the area is better than the NAAQS. A  
12 nonattainment designation means that a primary NAAQS has been exceeded more than  
13 three separate times in 3 years in a given area. An area is designated as unclassified  
14 when sufficient data are not available to classify it as either attainment or  
15 nonattainment. If an area is redesignated from nonattainment to attainment, the CAA  
16 requires a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP), called a maintenance plan,  
17 to demonstrate how the air quality standard will be maintained for at least 10 years.

## 18 **State**

19 The ARB is the State agency with authority to enforce regulations to both achieve and  
20 maintain the NAAQS. The ARB has established additional standards, known as the  
21 California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), which are generally more stringent  
22 than the NAAQS. Federal and State standards are shown in Table 4.5-1. The ARB is  
23 responsible for the development, adoption, and enforcement of the State’s motor  
24 vehicle emissions program, as well as the adoption of the CAAQS. The ARB also  
25 reviews operations and programs of the local air districts and requires each air district  
26 with jurisdiction over a nonattainment area to develop its own strategy for achieving the  
27 NAAQS and CAAQS. The local air district has the primary responsibility for the  
28 development and implementation of rules and regulations designed to attain the  
29 NAAQS and CAAQS, as well as the permitting of new or modified sources,  
30 development of air quality management plans, and adoption and enforcement of air  
31 pollution regulations.

1 **Table 4.5-1. National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	NAAQS <sup>1</sup>		CAAQS <sup>2</sup>
		Primary <sup>3</sup>	Secondary <sup>4</sup>	Concentration <sup>5</sup>
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>6</sup>	1-Hour	0.12 parts per million (ppm) (235 micrograms per cubic meter [ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ])	Same as Primary Standard	0.09 ppm (180 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	8-Hour	0.08 ppm		-
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	8-Hour	9.0 ppm (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	None	9.0 ppm (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	1-Hour	35 ppm (40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		20 ppm (23 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	Annual Average	0.053 ppm (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Same as Primary Standard	-
	1-Hour	-		0.25 ppm (470 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	Annual Average	80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (0.03 ppm)	-	-
	24-Hour	365 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (0.14 ppm)	-	0.04 ppm (105 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	3-Hour	-	1300 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (0.5 ppm)	-
	1-Hour	-	-	0.25 ppm (655 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	24-Hour	150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Same as Primary Standard	50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ note 7
Fine Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) <sup>6</sup>	24-Hour	65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Same as Primary Standard	-
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ note 7
Lead (Pb)	30-Day Average	-	-	1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
	Calendar Quarter	1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Same as Primary Standard	-
Hydrogen Sulfide (HS)	1-Hour	No Federal Standards		0.03 ppm (42 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
Sulfates (SO <sub>4</sub> )	24-Hour			25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Visibility Reducing Particles	8-Hour (10 am to 6 pm, Pacific Standard Time)	No Federal Standards		In sufficient amount to produce an extinction coefficient of 0.23 per kilometer due to particles when the relative humidity is less than 70 percent.

2 NAAQS (other than O<sub>3</sub>, particulate matter, and those based on annual averages or annual arithmetic mean) are not to  
3 be exceeded more than once a year. The O<sub>3</sub> standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration in a  
4 year, averaged over 3 years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM<sub>10</sub>, the 24-hour standard is attained when 99  
5 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over 3 years, are equal to or less than the standard. For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, the 24-  
6 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over 3 years, are equal to or less than  
7 the standard. Contact the USEPA for further clarification and current Federal policies.

8 <sup>2</sup> California Ambient Air Quality Standards for O<sub>3</sub>, CO (except Lake Tahoe), SO<sub>2</sub> (1- and 24-hour), NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and  
9 visibility reducing particles, are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded.

10 <sup>3</sup> National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the  
11 public health.

12 <sup>4</sup> National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or  
13 anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.

14 <sup>5</sup> Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based  
15 upon a reference temperature of 25°C (77°F) and a reference pressure of 760 millimeters (mm) of mercury. Most  
16 measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760  
17 mm of mercury (1,013.2 millibar). Ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.  
18 <sup>6</sup> New Federal 8-hour ozone and fine particulate matter standards were promulgated by USEPA on July 18, 1997. The  
19 Federal 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard continues to apply in areas that violated the standard. Contact USEPA for further  
20 clarification and current Federal policies.

21 <sup>7</sup> On June 5, 2003, the Office of Administrative Law approved the amendments to the regulations for the state ambient air  
22 quality standards for particulate matter and sulfates. Those amendments established a new annual average standard  
23 for PM<sub>2.5</sub> of 12  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and reduced the level of the annual average standard for PM<sub>10</sub> to 20  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . The approved  
24 amendments were filed with the Secretary of State on June 5, 2003. The regulations became effective on July 5, 2003.

25 Source: CARB 2004a.

1 The ARB, similar to the EPA, designates areas as either “attainment” or “nonattainment”  
2 based on compliance or noncompliance with the CAAQS. The ARB considers an area  
3 to be in nonattainment if the CAAQS have been exceeded more than once in 3 years.

#### 4 **Local**

##### 5 South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)

6 The SCAQMD is the agency responsible for the administration of Federal and State air  
7 quality laws, regulations, and policies within the SCAB. Included in the SCAQMD’s  
8 tasks are the monitoring of air pollution, the preparation of the SCAB portion of the SIP,  
9 and the promulgation of Rules and Regulations. The SIP includes strategies and tactics  
10 to be used to attain and maintain acceptable air quality in the county; the strategies  
11 identified in the SIP are taken from the SCAQMD Air Quality Management Plan  
12 (AQMP). SCAQMD regulations require that any equipment that emits or controls air  
13 contaminants, such as NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC be permitted (Permit to Construct or Permit to  
14 Operate) prior to construction, installation, or operation. The SCAQMD is responsible  
15 for review of applications and for the approval and issuance of these permits.

##### 16 San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD)

17 The SDAPCD is the agency responsible for protecting the public health and welfare  
18 through the administration of Federal and State air quality laws and policies within the  
19 SDAB. Included in the SDAPCD’s tasks are the monitoring of air pollution, the  
20 preparation of the San Diego County portion of the SIP, and the promulgation of Rules  
21 and Regulations. The SIP includes strategies and tactics to be used to attain and  
22 maintain acceptable air quality in the county; this list of strategies is called the Regional  
23 Air Quality Strategies (RAQS). SDAPCD regulations require that any equipment that  
24 emits or controls air contaminants be permitted (Permit to Construct or Permit to  
25 Operate) prior to construction, installation, or operation. The SDAPCD is responsible  
26 for review of applications and for the approval and issuance of these permits.

## 1 **Air Quality Plans**

### 2 South Coast Air Quality Management District

3 The current Air Quality Plan in the SCAB is the 2003 AQMP, which is an update of the  
4 1997 AQMP. The 2003 AQMP employs up-to-date science and analytical tools and  
5 incorporates a comprehensive strategy aimed at controlling pollution from all sources,  
6 including stationary sources, on-road and off-road mobile sources, and area sources.  
7 The 2003 AQMP proposes policies and measures to achieve Federal and State  
8 standards for healthful air quality in the SCAB. The 2003 AQMP updates the  
9 demonstration of attainment with the Federal standards for O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>; replaces the  
10 1997 attainment demonstration for the Federal CO standard and provides a basis for a  
11 maintenance plan for CO for the future; and updates the maintenance plan for the  
12 Federal NO<sub>2</sub> standard that the SCAB has met since 1992 (SCAQMD 2003). The 2003  
13 AQMP was adopted by SCAQMD in August 2003 and approved, with modifications, by  
14 the ARB in October 2003 (ARB 2003). The EPA is reviewing the 2003 AQMP and  
15 approval is pending.

### 16 San Diego Air Pollution Control District

17 The current RAQS in the SDAB is the 2004 Triennial Revision of the RAQS (2004  
18 RAQS), which is an update of the 2001 RAQS. The 2004 RAQS employ up-to-date  
19 science and analytical tools and incorporate a comprehensive strategy aimed at  
20 controlling pollution from all sources, including stationary sources, on-road and off-road  
21 mobile sources, and area sources. The 2004 RAQS contain an expeditious schedule  
22 for adopting every feasible emission control measure under the SDAPCD's purview to  
23 comply with the NAAQS and CAAQS O<sub>3</sub> standards (SDAPCD 2004a).

## 1 Existing Air Quality

### 2 Attainment Status

#### 3 *South Coast Air Basin*

4 The Orange County portion of the SCAB is currently classified as a Federal and State  
5 nonattainment area for O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and a Federal nonattainment area for CO.  
6 Orange County is classified as a State attainment area for CO. Specific O<sub>3</sub>  
7 nonattainment designations are “extreme” for the 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard and severe-17 for  
8 the 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard. The SCAB currently meets the Federal and State standards for  
9 NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and Pb and is classified as an attainment area for these pollutants (EPA  
10 2004d).

11 On December 17, 2004, the EPA issued the initial designations for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard,  
12 and the SCAB is classified as nonattainment. States with nonattainment areas must  
13 submit plans by early 2008 that outline how they will meet the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards. They  
14 are expected to attain clean air as soon as possible and not later than 2010. The EPA  
15 can grant one 5-year extension, to 2015, for areas with more severe problems (EPA  
16 2004f).

#### 17 *San Diego Air Basin*

18 The SDAB currently meets the Federal standards for all criteria pollutants except O<sub>3</sub>  
19 and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and meets State standards for all criteria pollutants except O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and  
20 PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The SDAB completed 3 years within the Federal 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard on  
21 November 15, 2001, becoming eligible for redesignation as an attainment area. Formal  
22 redesignation by the EPA as an O<sub>3</sub> attainment area occurred on July 28, 2003, and a  
23 maintenance plan was approved. On April 15, 2004, the EPA issued the initial  
24 designations for the 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard, and the SDAB is classified as “basic”  
25 nonattainment. Basic is the least severe of the six degrees of O<sub>3</sub> nonattainment. The  
26 SDAPCD must submit an air quality plan to the EPA in 2007; the plan must demonstrate  
27 how the 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard will be attained by 2009 (SDAPCD 2004b). The SDAB is  
28 currently classified as a State “serious” O<sub>3</sub> nonattainment area and a State  
29 nonattainment area for PM<sub>10</sub>. The SDAB currently falls under a Federal “maintenance  
30 plan” for CO, following a 1998 redesignation as a CO attainment area.

31 On December 17, 2004, the EPA issued the initial designations for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard,  
32 and the SDAB is classified as nonattainment. States with nonattainment areas must  
33 submit plans by early 2008 that outline how they will meet the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards. They  
34 are expected to attain clean air as soon as possible and not later than 2010. The EPA

1 can grant one 5-year extension, to 2015, for areas with more severe problems (EPA  
2 2004f).

### 3 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

4 As the primary sources of air emissions would be located in the SDAB (only the towing  
5 of the barges would occur within the SCAB), it is appropriate to use a monitoring station  
6 in the SDAB to characterize the existing air quality in the project area. Ambient air  
7 pollutant concentrations in the SDAB are measured at 10 air quality monitoring stations  
8 operated by the SDAPCD. The closest SDAPCD air quality monitoring station in the  
9 SDAB is the MCB Camp Pendleton monitoring station, located at 21441 West B St.,  
10 Camp Pendleton, approximately 14 miles (23 km) southeast of the Proposed Project  
11 area. The station only monitors O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. No other monitoring stations in the SDAB  
12 or SCAB are located near enough to the Proposed Project area to be used to  
13 characterize other criteria pollutants. Table 4.5-2 summarizes the excesses of  
14 standards and the highest pollutant levels recorded at this station for the years 1999 to  
15 2003.

### 16 **4.5.3 Significance Criteria**

17 Criteria to determine the significance of air quality impacts are based on Federal, State,  
18 and local air pollution standards and regulations. The SCAQMD has established air  
19 pollution thresholds under the CEQA against which a proposed project can be  
20 evaluated and which assist lead agencies in determining whether a proposed project  
21 would produce significant air quality impacts. The SDAPCD does not have CEQA  
22 thresholds. Separate impact criteria have been established for both short-term  
23 construction and long-term operations. Impacts on air quality would be considered  
24 significant if project emissions, or emissions of any alternative: (1) would exceed  
25 thresholds used to determine the significance of proposed emissions for the purpose of  
26 the CEQA review, or (2) would cause an increase in ambient pollutant levels above  
27 national or State ambient air quality standards. The following summarizes the CEQA  
28 thresholds applicable to each affected air jurisdiction.

### 29 **South Coast Air Quality Management District**

30 Due to the short-term nature of the Proposed Project's activities, project emissions that  
31 would occur within the SCAB project region would be compared to the following  
32 SCAQMD construction emission thresholds (Table 4.5-3): (1) daily emissions of 75  
33 pounds of reactive organic compounds (ROC), 100 pounds of NO<sub>x</sub>, 150 pounds of  
34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5**Table 4.5-2. Ambient Air Quality Summary, MCB Camp Pendleton**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Air Quality Standards	Federal Primary Standards	Maximum Concentrations <sup>(1)</sup>					Number of Days Exceeding Federal Standard <sup>(2)</sup>					Number of Days Exceeding State Standard <sup>(2)</sup>				
				2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Ozone	1 hour	0.09 ppm	0.12 ppm	0.109	0.113	0.87	0.099	0.110	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4	2
	8 hour	None	0.08 ppm	0.099	0.098	0.073	0.084	0.089	2	1	0	0	1	–	–	–	–	–
Nitrogen Dioxide	1 hour	0.25 ppm	none	0.117	0.092	0.109	0.095	0.099	–	–	–	–	–	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	none	0.053 ppm	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.012	–	0	0	0	0	0	–	–	–	–	–

“–” = data not available or applicable.

<sup>(1)</sup> Concentration units for O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and NO<sub>2</sub> are in parts per million (ppm). Concentration units for PM<sub>10</sub> are in micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

<sup>(2)</sup> For annual standards, a value of 1 indicates that the standard has been exceeded.

<sup>(3)</sup> Federal standard is annual arithmetic mean; state standard, through 2001, is annual geometric mean.

<sup>(4)</sup> PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring began in 1999. Standards and exceedances are based on 3-year averages. The 3-year averages have not been published, and the standard has not been implemented.

Source: CARB 2004a.

6

1 **Table 4.5-3. SCAQMD CEQA Significance Thresholds - Construction**

Pollutant	Emissions Threshold	
	Pounds/Day	Tons/Quarter
Volatile Organic Compounds	75	2.5
Oxides of Nitrogen	100	2.5
Oxides of Sulfur	150	6.75
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	150	6.75
Carbon Monoxide	550	24.75

2  
3 sulfur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>) or PM<sub>10</sub>, or 550 pounds of CO; and (2) calendar quarter emissions of  
4 2.5 tons of ROC or NO<sub>x</sub>, 6.75 tons of SO<sub>x</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub>, or 24.75 tons of CO (SCAQMD  
5 1993).

### 6 **San Diego Air Pollution Control District**

7 Neither the County of San Diego nor the SDAPCD have quantitative thresholds for  
8 determining significance of impact under the CEQA. On Federal projects in a Basic O<sub>3</sub>  
9 (8-hour) nonattainment area, thresholds for the presumption that a project would  
10 conform to the SIP are 100 tons per year for both NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC. In recognition of State  
11 nonattainment for O<sub>3</sub> and to be conservative, thresholds of 50 tons per year for NO<sub>x</sub> and  
12 VOC are used for this project. The Federal SIP conformity threshold for PM<sub>10</sub> in a  
13 Federal nonattainment area is 100 tons per year. Although the SDAB is not a Federal  
14 nonattainment area for PM<sub>10</sub>, it is a State nonattainment area as well as a Federal  
15 nonattainment area for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Therefore, the conservative threshold of 50 tons per year  
16 for PM<sub>10</sub> is used for this project. For CO, as the SDAB is compliant with both State and  
17 Federal standards, the conformity threshold of 100 tons per year will be used to  
18 determine significance.

### 19 **4.5.4 Impact Analysis and Mitigation**

20 The Proposed Project includes the installation of conduit plugs and the removal of the  
21 manhole risers and terminal structures on the conduits for SONGS Unit 1. The  
22 Proposed Project may affect air quality in more than one air basin. Towing emissions  
23 would be generated primarily in the SCAB, as the barges would be towed from the Port  
24 of Long Beach and along the Orange County Coast, while the actual project activity  
25 would occur within the SDAB. The analysis includes air emissions that would be  
26 generated onshore and offshore, plus barging activities that would occur within the  
27 12-nautical-mile U.S. Territorial Waters Boundary. To determine the significance of the  
28 Proposed Project, estimated daily, quarterly, and annual project air emissions were  
29 developed and compared to the thresholds identified in Section 4.5.3. Appendix C  
30 includes assumptions and project data used in calculating project emissions. The Air

1 Quality section only analyzes short-term emissions during the Proposed Project. After  
2 completion, there would be no long-term air emissions associated with the Proposed  
3 Project.

4 Typically, heavy equipment used on barges and in onshore construction, including  
5 concrete and haul trucks, is diesel engine powered. The principal pollutant of concern  
6 during construction activities similar to the proposed project is NO<sub>x</sub>, which is the  
7 principal pollutant emitted by diesel engines. Therefore, it has been conservatively  
8 assumed that all construction equipment used on the project would be diesel engine  
9 driven.

#### 10 **Impact AIR-1: Project Emissions in South Coast Air Basin**

##### 11 **The Proposed Project would not exceed the SCAQMD's CEQA thresholds for** 12 **emissions (Class III)**

13 Prior to beginning activities, the crane barge and associated equipment would be towed  
14 to the project area. A deck barge would also be towed to the project site near the end  
15 of the activities and used to transport the debris from the manhole risers and terminal  
16 structures back to the Port of Long Beach. Depending on the size of the deck barge  
17 used, it may require an additional trip to haul the debris back to the Port of Long Beach.  
18 Towing the barges would require approximately 2 days. Assuming a conservative  
19 scenario, where two trips would be required of the deck barge, towing of the barges  
20 would require a total of 12 days. Table 4.5-5 presents the estimated emissions that  
21 would be generated by towing the barges. As the majority of the towing would occur  
22 within the SCAB, emissions from towing are compared to the SCAQMD thresholds of  
23 significance. Total towing emissions are compared to SCAQMD's quarterly thresholds  
24 even though not all towing would occur within the same quarter, which represents a  
25 conservative assessment of total towing emissions. As shown in Table 4.5-4, the  
26 estimated emissions would not exceed the SCAQMD daily or quarterly thresholds for  
27 any criteria pollutant and thus would not result in a significant impact on air quality. This  
28 is considered a Class III impact, and no mitigation is required.

#### 29 **Impact AIR-2: Project Emissions in San Diego Air Basin**

##### 30 **The Proposed Project would not exceed air emissions thresholds established for** 31 **the SDAB (Class III)**

32 The removal and disposal of the conduits would occur in three separate environments:  
33 onshore, nearshore, and offshore. The onshore environment includes portions of the  
34

1 **Table 4.5-4. Estimated Emissions from Barge Towing**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
Tugboat (lbs/day)	14.4	54.6	37.4	2.2
<i>SCAQMD Daily Emission Thresholds</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>550</i>	<i>150</i>
Does the Project Exceed Thresholds?	No	No	No	No
Total Towing (tons)	0.08	0.33	0.22	0.13
<i>SCAQMD Quarterly Emission Thresholds</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>24.75</i>	<i>6.75</i>
Does the Project Exceed Thresholds?	No	No	No	No

2

3 SONGS facility and the beach southwest of the SONGS facility. For the Proposed  
4 Project, the nearshore environment is characterized by water depth of less than 15 feet  
5 (4.6 m), which consists of the area extending westward approximately 1,800 feet (549  
6 m) from the MLLW line. Water depths greater than 15 feet (4.6 m) characterize the  
7 offshore environment.

8 Onshore activity would involve plugging the conduits from the SONGS tsunami gates to  
9 the MLLW line, a distance of approximately 65 feet (19.9 m). The conduit has an  
10 interior diameter of approximately 12 feet and, given the length of the conduit to be  
11 plugged, would require approximately 280 CY (214 m<sup>3</sup>) of concrete to complete the plug  
12 for each conduit. Construction work in the onshore environment would involve only the  
13 use of terrestrial equipment, which would access the project site via existing paved  
14 roadways and the SONGS facility. Table 4.5-5 lists the equipment and anticipated  
15 emissions from equipment required to complete the onshore work.

16 **Table 4.5-5. Estimated Maximum Daily Emissions from Onshore Activities**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
High Pressure Water Blasting Unit	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Rough Terrain Crane	3.1	11.7	8.0	0.5
Hydraulic Pump	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Diving Air Compressor	1.1	6.0	4.0	0.3
Cement Pump	0.8	6.0	4.6	0.3
10 CY Cement Trucks	5.4	21.1	14.1	0.8
120 bbl Vacuum Truck	2.7	10.6	7.0	0.4
<b>Total Emissions</b>	<b>14.7</b>	<b>65.3</b>	<b>44.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>

17

1 The nearshore work would include removal of manhole risers D-1 and D-2 and I-1 and  
 2 I-2. Due to the low water levels closer to shore, the manhole riser removal would  
 3 require the use of an SSV. The SSV would be launched from the crane barge and  
 4 dragged closer to shore by a beach winch, and a winch onboard the crane barge would  
 5 drag the SSV to locations offshore. The SSV would be supported by a floating umbilical  
 6 from the crane barge and would not contain any air emission sources. Table 4.5-6 lists  
 7 the equipment and anticipated emissions required to complete the nearshore work.

8 **Table 4.5-6. Estimated Maximum Daily Emissions from Nearshore Activities**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
High Pressure Water Blasting Unit	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Industrial Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Diving Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Jet Pump	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Hydraulic Pump	1.4	7.8	5.1	0.4
Diesel Powered Welder	0.5	3.0	2.0	0.2
Excavator	2.4	9.1	6.2	0.4
Bulldozer	3.1	11.9	8.1	0.5
Spooling Truck	0.9	6.6	5.0	0.3
Beach Winch	3.1	21.3	26.2	1.2
Crane Barge Crane	3.9	14.7	10.1	0.6
Crane Barge Generator Set	4.1	29.7	22.4	1.3
Support Tugboat	14.4	54.6	37.4	2.2
Crew Boat	4.2	15.8	10.8	0.6
Crew Boat Generator Set	1.9	11.0	7.2	0.6
<b>Total Emissions</b>	<b>43.0</b>	<b>205.2</b>	<b>154.6</b>	<b>9.2</b>

9  
 10 The offshore work would include removal of manhole risers D-3 and D-4 and I-3 through  
 11 I-5 and the terminal structures at the end of each conduit. All offshore work would take  
 12 place from a crane barge. The crane barge would be repositioned over each location to  
 13 remove the manhole risers and the terminal structures. Table 4.5-7 lists the equipment  
 14 and anticipated emissions required to complete the offshore work.

15 After completion of the disposition activities, a debris survey and cleanup program  
 16 would be conducted. A boat would conduct a sonar scan of the area for any debris left  
 17 from the work; divers would then recover the material to be shipped back to the Port of  
 18 Long Beach, along with the manhole risers and terminal structures. Table 4.5-8 lists the  
 19 equipment and anticipated emissions required to complete the debris survey and  
 20 cleanup work.

21

1 **Table 4.5-7. Estimated Maximum Daily Emissions from Offshore Activities**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
High Pressure Water Blasting Unit	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Industrial Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Diving Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Jet Pump	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Hydraulic Pump	1.4	7.8	5.1	0.4
Diesel Powered Welder	0.5	3.0	2.0	0.2
Crane Barge	3.9	14.7	10.1	0.6
Crane Barge Generator	4.1	29.7	22.4	1.3
Support Tugboat	14.4	54.6	37.4	2.2
Crew Boat	4.2	15.8	10.8	0.6
Crew Boat Generator	1.9	11.0	7.2	0.6
<b>Total Emissions</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>156.4</b>	<b>109.0</b>	<b>6.8</b>

2

3 **Table 4.5-8. Estimated Maximum Daily Emissions from Debris Survey and**  
4 **Clearance**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
Debris Survey Boat	8.3	31.6	21.6	1.2
High Pressure Water Blasting Unit	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Industrial Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Diving Air Compressor	0.9	5.0	3.3	0.3
Jet Pump	0.7	4.9	3.7	0.2
Crane Barge Crane	3.9	14.7	10.1	0.6
Crane Barge Generator Set	4.1	29.7	22.4	1.3
Support Tugboat	14.4	54.6	37.4	2.2
Crew Boat	4.2	15.8	10.8	0.6
Crew Boat Generator Set	1.9	11.0	7.2	0.6
<b>Total Emissions</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>177.2</b>	<b>123.5</b>	<b>7.5</b>

5

6 The Proposed Project would require 4 months to complete. Annual emissions were  
7 developed by multiplying the daily emissions from each piece of equipment by the  
8 number days and hours each piece of equipment would operate. Hours and days of  
9 operation as well as daily emission factors are provided along with other assumptions in  
10 Appendix C. Table 4.5-9 presents the annual emissions for the Proposed Project. As

1 shown in Table 4.5-9, annual emissions in the SDAB associated with the Proposed  
 2 Project would not exceed applicable thresholds (Class III); therefore, no mitigation is  
 3 required.

4 **Table 4.5-9. Estimated Annual Emissions**

Equipment	Emissions – pounds per day			
	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>
Onshore	0.16	0.68	0.46	0.03
Nearshore	0.89	4.15	2.96	0.18
Offshore	0.74	3.43	2.39	0.15
Debris Clearance	0.82	3.77	2.64	0.16
Total Quarterly Emissions (Tons)	2.61	12.03	8.45	0.52
<i>SDAPCD Annual Emission Thresholds (Tons)</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>50</i>
Does the Project Exceed SDAPCD Thresholds?	No	No	No	No

5

6 Table 4.5-10 summarizes the air quality impacts and mitigation measures.

7 **Table 4.5-10. Air Quality Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Impact	Mitigation Measures
<b>AIR-1:</b> Disposition Emissions in SCAB	No mitigation required
<b>AIR-2:</b> Disposition Emissions in SDAB	No mitigation required

8

## 9 **4.5.5 Impacts of Alternatives**

### 10 **4.5.5.1 Complete Removal of Conduits Alternative**

11 The complete excavation, removal, and disposition of the entire SONGS Unit 1 cooling  
 12 water system (all structures, foundations, and other components), would have a greater  
 13 air quality impact due to its duration, up to 1 year, and the use of additional heavy  
 14 construction equipment.

#### 15 **Impact AIR-ALT-1: Complete Removal Alternative Emissions in the South Coast** 16 **Air Basin**

17 **The Complete Removal Alternative could generate air emissions that exceed**  
 18 **thresholds for construction emissions in the SCAB (Class II).**

19 Offshore work under the Complete Removal Alternative would use similar equipment to  
 20 that analyzed in the Proposed Project. However, the work would be conducted both

1 day and night, with clearing and excavation occurring during nighttime operations and  
 2 extraction of the conduits occurring during daylight operations. It is anticipated that the  
 3 equivalent of at least one barge per week of debris from offshore disposition work would  
 4 be towed into the Port of Long Beach under the Complete Removal Alternative,  
 5 resulting in greater emissions in the SCAB. Estimated emissions from towing activities  
 6 in the SCAB for this alternative are shown in Table 4.5-11. As shown in the table,  
 7 estimated NO<sub>x</sub> emissions would exceed the SCAQMD daily and quarterly thresholds,  
 8 and the air quality impact would be potentially significant (Class II).

9 If this alternative is selected, the contractor would obtain air quality permits for the boat  
 10 that will be used for towing. The permit process may require emission controls or the  
 11 purchase of offsets. In either case, the granting of the permit would assure that the  
 12 impact would be mitigated to a less than significant level.

13 **Table 4.5-11. Estimated Daily and Quarterly Emissions in the SCAB – Complete**  
 14 **Removal Alternative**

	Estimated Emissions			
	VOC	NOX	CO	PM10
Daily Emissions – pounds	32	121	82	5
<i>SCAQMD Emission Thresholds- pounds per day</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>550</i>	<i>150</i>
Does the Alternative Exceed SCAQMD Thresholds?	No	<b>Yes</b>	No	No
Quarterly Emissions – tons	1.0	3.9	2.7	0.2
<i>SCAQMD Emission Thresholds – tons per quarter</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>24.75</i>	<i>6.75</i>
Does the Alternative Exceed SCAQMD Thresholds?	No	<b>Yes</b>	No	No

15

16 Mitigation Measures for Impact AIR-ALT-1: Construction Emissions in the SCAB

17 **MM AIR-1** The Contractor shall obtain a permit from SCAQMD to operate the  
 18 tow boat(s) in the SCAB.

19 **Impact AIR-ALT-2: Complete Removal Alternative Emissions in the San Diego Air**  
 20 **Basin**

21 **The Complete Removal Alternative could generate air emissions that exceed**  
 22 **thresholds for construction emissions in the SDAB (Class II).**

23 The onshore portion of the Complete Removal Alternative would require as much as  
 24 2 acres (0.8 ha) of beachfront for construction staging and materials storage;  
 25 construction activity in this area would generate additional PM<sub>10</sub> emissions. The 300-  
 26 foot-long (91-m) trestle required for removing the conduits would involve a crane and  
 27 other diesel engine driven equipment for installation and removal of sheet-pile barriers

1 extending 400 feet (122 m) from the beach along the north and south perimeters of the  
2 conduits. This alternative would require additional truck trips to import materials to  
3 onshore site, and to remove conduit debris to an appropriate recycling facility in either  
4 San Diego or Orange County.

5 Estimated emissions from towing activities in the SCAB for this alternative are shown in  
6 Table 4.5-12. As shown in the table, estimated NOx emissions would exceed the  
7 thresholds established for annual emissions in the SDAB, and the air quality impact  
8 would be potentially significant (Class II).

9 If this alternative is selected, the contractor should modify the work plan to reduce the  
10 NOx emissions. Alternative available measures include the use of electric powered  
11 equipment instead of diesel engine powered; the use of aqueous diesel fuel, which  
12 would reduce NOx emissions by approximately 14 percent; and the use of some  
13 equipment equipped with new-generation cooled, exhaust gas recirculation engines,  
14 which reduce NOx emissions by approximately 40 percent.

15 **Table 4.5-12. Estimated Annual Emissions in the SDAB – Complete Removal**  
16 **Alternative**

	Emissions – tons			
	VOC	NOX	CO	PM10
Annual Emissions	13.6	56.9	39.1	2.4
<i>SDAPCD Annual Emission Thresholds</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>50</i>
Does the Alternative Exceed SDAPCD Thresholds?	No	<b>Yes</b>	No	No

17

18 Mitigation Measures for Impact AIR-ALT-2: Construction Emissions in the SDAB

19 **MM AIR-2** The Contractor shall demonstrate that the equipment and fuels  
20 have been selected to result in NOx emissions that would not  
21 exceed 50 tons per year.

22 **4.5.5.2 Removal of Nearshore Components Alternative**

23 **Impact AIR-ALT-3: Removal of Nearshore Components Alternative Emissions in**  
24 **the South Coast Air Basin**

25 **The Complete Removal Alternative would not exceed the SCAQMD's CEQA**  
26 **thresholds for emissions (Class III)**

27 The offshore terminal structure, manhole riser and debris removal activities would be  
28 the same as for the Proposed Project. Thus, the barge and tow boat activities would be

1 the same as for the proposed project, and SCAB emissions would be the same or  
2 similar to those shown in Table 4.5-4. The SCAQMD thresholds would not be  
3 exceeded, and there would be no significant impact (Class III). No mitigation is  
4 required.

5 **Impact AIR-ALT-4: Removal of Nearshore Components Alternative Emissions in**  
6 **the San Diego Air Basin**

7 **The Complete Removal Alternative would not exceed air emissions thresholds**  
8 **established for the SDAB (Class III)**

9 As noted above, the offshore terminal structure, manhole riser and debris removal  
10 activities in the SDAB would be the same as for the Proposed Project. The onshore  
11 activities would be the same as for the Complete Removal Alternative. The overall  
12 project effort and duration for the Nearshore Components Removal Alternative would be  
13 less than for the Complete Removal Alternative, and emissions are estimated at less  
14 than 80 percent of those shown in Table 4.5-12. Therefore, thresholds established for  
15 impact in the SDAB would not be exceeded, and there would be no significant impact  
16 (Class III). No mitigation is required.

17 **4.5.5.3 Crush Conduits and Remove Terminal Structures Alternative**

18 The excavation and exposure of the conduits under this alternative would be identical to  
19 the onshore portion the Complete Removal Alternative; however, the conduits would be  
20 crushed in place. This effort would employ a drop chisel-shaft to crush the conduits.  
21 The onshore and nearshore conduits would be exposed and crushed by a crane using  
22 the trestle described in the Complete Removal Alternative, while the offshore conduits  
23 would be exposed by dredging and then crushed by a crane onboard a crane barge.  
24 The conduit rubble would remain in place, with the onshore portions being buried by  
25 new fill material and the offshore conduits eventually being buried over time by  
26 migrating sediments transported by local currents.

27 **Impact AIR-ALT-5: Crush Conduit and Remove Terminal Structures Alternative**  
28 **Emissions**

29 **The Crush Conduit and Remove Terminal Structures Alternative would not**  
30 **exceed air emission thresholds established for the SCAB or SDAB (Class III)**

31 This alternative would cause greater impacts than the Proposed Project scenario by  
32 increasing the disturbed area and introducing significant new air emission sources or  
33 operating previously analyzed equipment for a greater amount of time. However, this

1 alternative would result in fewer air impacts than the Complete Removal Alternative as it  
2 would require less intense activities and less heavy construction equipment. This  
3 alternative would not require as much earth moving or truck and barge trips associated  
4 with the transport of recovered conduit components. This alternative would not exceed  
5 SDAPCD or SCAQMD thresholds (Class III). No mitigation is required.

#### 6 **4.5.5.4 Artificial Reef Alternative**

7 The Artificial Reef Alternative reflects several components of the Proposed Project. It  
8 would involve dismantling the top two sections of the terminal structures and placing a  
9 steel grill over the conduit opening. The concrete sections would remain permanently  
10 on the seafloor around the existing rock riprap, creating an artificial reef, or the concrete  
11 sections could be removed and placed at another artificial reef in nearby coastal waters.

#### 12 **Impact AIR-ALT-6: Artificial Reef Alternative Emissions**

13 **The Artificial Reef Alternative would not exceed air emission thresholds**  
14 **established for the SCAB or SDAB (Class III).**

15 Under this habitat enhancement alternative, the need for dredging would be eliminated,  
16 the manhole risers would be left undisturbed, the marker buoys and anchors would be  
17 removed, and the onshore portions of the conduits would not be plugged with concrete.

18 In terms of air quality, this alternative would generate the fewest environmental impacts  
19 of all the build alternatives. Daily air emissions during the removal of the terminal  
20 structures would be similar to those for offshore work under the Proposed Project, but  
21 for a shorter period, and would not exceed applicable significance thresholds for air  
22 quality (Class III). No mitigation is required.

#### 23 **4.5.5.5 No Project Alternative**

24 The No Project Alternative would avoid all air emissions associated with the  
25 dispositioning of the conduits. This alternative would result in no impacts to air quality.

#### 26 **4.5.6 Cumulative Project Impact Analysis**

27 The following discussion analyzes the contribution of the Proposed Project to  
28 cumulative effects on air quality. The majority of the decommissioning activities for the  
29 Proposed Project would occur offshore in the vicinity of the terminal structures. No  
30 other past, present, or reasonably foreseeable projects have been identified for the  
31 offshore area near the structures. Therefore, there would be no cumulative local air  
32 quality impact.

1 Due to the limited schedule of the Proposed Project, i.e., 4 months, air emissions would  
2 be short term and would cease at the completion of the project; emissions would not be  
3 cumulatively considerable. The Proposed Project does not include any long-term  
4 components, and the contribution to ongoing regional violations of Federal or State air  
5 quality standards would be negligible. Therefore, the Proposed Project, in conjunction  
6 with other known projects, would not result in a significant cumulative air quality impact.

#### 7 **4.5.7 References**

- 8 California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2001. *ARB Fact Sheet: Air Pollution Sources,*  
9 *Effects and Control*, October 29.
- 10 California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2003. News Release: Air Board Approves  
11 South Coast Clean Air Plan, Release 03-24, October 29.
- 12 California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2004a. *Ambient Air Quality Standards.*  
13 Available at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/>.
- 14 California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2004b. *Letter (no subject) to Mr. Wayne Nastri,*  
15 *Regional Administrator, Region 9, U.S. EPA, with recommendations for PM<sub>2.5</sub>*  
16 *area designations. February 11.* Available at [http://www.epa.gov/pmdesigna](http://www.epa.gov/pmdesignations/documents/04Recommendations/9/s/California.pdf)  
17 [tions/documents/04Recommendations/9/s/California.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/pmdesignations/documents/04Recommendations/9/s/California.pdf).
- 18 California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2004c. *California Air Quality Data.* Available at  
19 <http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqd/aqd.htm>. February 11.
- 20 San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD). 2004a. *2004 Triennial*  
21 *Revision of the Regional Air Quality Strategy for San Diego County*, July 28.
- 22 San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD). 2004b. *San Diego County*  
23 *Air Pollution Control District 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Designation.* April 14.  
24 Available at [http://www.sdapcd.co.san-iego.ca.us/info/notices/8\\_hour\\_ozone.pdf](http://www.sdapcd.co.san-iego.ca.us/info/notices/8_hour_ozone.pdf).
- 25 South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 1993. *CEQA Air Quality*  
26 *Handbook*, April.
- 27 South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2003. *2003 Final Air Quality*  
28 *Management Plan*, August.
- 29 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004a. *Six Common Air Pollutants,*  
30 Available at <http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/6poll.html>.

- 
- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004b. *8-Hour Ground-level Ozone*  
2 *Designations. Region 9: State Designations.* Available at  
3 <http://www.epa.gov/ozonedesignations/index.htm>.
- 4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004c. *Section 185A definition and*  
5 *Federal Air Quality designations*, available at [http://www.epa.gov/oar/oaqps/](http://www.epa.gov/oar/oaqps/greenbk/define.html#Ozone%20Classifications)  
6 [greenbk/define.html#Ozone%20Classifications](http://www.epa.gov/oar/oaqps/greenbk/define.html#Ozone%20Classifications)
- 7 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004d. *Green Book*, Available at:  
8 [http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk/ index.html](http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk/index.html)
- 9 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004e. *8-Hour Ground-level Ozone*  
10 *Designations, Sample Nonattainment Requirements, Ozone Classification*  
11 *Sample of Requirements.* Available at <http://www.epa.gov/ozonedesignations/>  
12 [index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/ozonedesignations/).
- 13 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2004f. *PM2.5 Designations.* Available  
14 at <http://www.epa.gov/pmdesignations>.
- 15
- 16